A Faster Algorithm for Maximum Flow in Directed Planar Graphs with Vertex Capacities

³ Julian Enoch

4 Department of Computer Science, University of Texas at Dallas, United States of America

5 Kyle Fox

6 Department of Computer Science, University of Texas at Dallas, United States of America

7 Dor Mesica

8 Efi Arazi School of Computer Science, The Interdisciplinary Center Herzliya, Israel

⁹ Shay Mozes

¹⁰ Efi Arazi School of Computer Science, The Interdisciplinary Center Herzliya, Israel

Abstract -

¹² We give an $O(k^3 n \log n \min(k, \log^2 n) \log^2(nC))$ -time algorithm for computing maximum integer

13 flows in planar graphs with integer arc and vertex capacities bounded by C, and k sources and sinks.

- This improves by a factor of $\max(k^2, k \log^2 n)$ over the fastest algorithm previously known for this
- ¹⁵ problem [Wang, SODA 2019].

The speedup is obtained by two independent ideas. First we replace an iterative procedure of Wang that uses O(k) invocations of an $O(k^3 n \log^3 n)$ -time algorithm for maximum flow algorithm in a planar graph with k apices [Borradaile et al., FOCS 2012, SICOMP 2017], by an alternative procedure that only makes one invocation of the algorithm of Borradaile et al. Second, we show two alternatives for computing flows in the k-apex graphs that arise in our modification of Wang's procedure faster than the algorithm of Borradaile et al. In doing so, we introduce and analyze a sequential implementation of the parallel highest-distance push-relabel algorithm of Goldberg and Tarring [IACM 1088]

23 Tarjan [JACM 1988].

²⁴ 2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Network flows

²⁵ Keywords and phrases flow, planar graphs, vertex capacities

²⁶ Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.CVIT.2016.23

27 **1** Introduction

The maximum flow problem has been extensively studied in many different settings and variations. This work concerns two related variants of the maximum flow problem in planar graphs. The first variant is the problem of computing a maximum flow in a directed planar network with integer arc *and vertex* capacities, and k sources and sinks. The second variant, which is used in algorithms for the first variant, is the problem of computing a maximum flow in a directed network that is nearly planar; there is a set of k vertices, called apices, whose removal turns the graph planar.

The problem of maximum flow in a planar graph with vertex capacities has been studied 35 in several works since the 1990s [9, 14, 7, 2, 13]. For a more detailed survey of the history of 36 this problem and other relevant results see [13] and references therein. Vertex capacities pose 37 a challenge in planar graphs because the standard reduction from a flow network with vertex 38 capacities to a flow network with only arc capacities does not preserve planarity. The problem 39 can be solved by algorithms for maximum flow in sparse graphs (i.e., graphs with n vertices 40 and O(n) edges that are not necessarily planar). The fastest such algorithms currently known 41 are an $O(n^2/\log n)$ -time algorithm [11] for sparse graphs, and an $O(n^{4/3+o(1)}C^{1/3})$ -time 42 algorithm for sparse graphs with integer capacities bounded by C [8]. Until recently, there 43 was no planarity exploiting algorithm for the case of more than a single source and a single 44



23:2 A Faster Algorithm for Max Flow in Directed Planar Graphs with Vertex Capacities

sink. Significant progress on this problem was recently made by Wang [13]. Wang developed an $O(k^5 n \log^3 n \log^2(nC))$ -time algorithm, where k is the number of sources and sinks, and C is the largest capacity of a single vertex. This is faster than using the two algorithms for general sparse graphs mentioned above when $k = \tilde{O}(n^{1/5}/\log^2 C + (nC)^{1/15})$.

Wang's algorithm uses multiple calls to an algorithm of Borradaile et al. [2] for computing 49 a maximum flow in a k-apex graph with only arc capacities. The algorithm of Borradaile 50 et al. [2] is based on an approach originally suggested by Hochstein and Weihe [6] for a 51 slightly more restricted problem. In Borradaile et al.'s approach, a maximum flow in a k-apex 52 graph with n vertices is computed by simulating the Push-Relabel algorithm of Goldberg and 53 Tarjan [4] on a complete graph with k vertices, corresponding to the k apices of the input 54 graph. Whenever the Push-Relabel algorithm pushes flow on an arc of the complete graph, 55 the push operation is simulated by sending flow between the two corresponding apices in the 56 input k-apex graph. This can be done efficiently using an $O(n \log^3 n)$ time multiple-source 57 multiple-sink (MSMS) maximum flow algorithm in planar graphs, which is the main result of 58 the paper of Borradaile et al. [2]. Overall, their algorithm for maximum flow in k-apex graphs 59 takes $O(k^3 n \log^3 n)$ time. Flow in k-apex graphs can also be computed using the algorithms 60 for sparse graphs mentioned above. The $O(k^3 n \log^3 n)$ -time algorithm of Borradaile et al. is 61 faster than these algorithms when $k = \tilde{O}(n^{1/3}/\log^2 C + (nC)^{1/9}).$ 62

1.1 Our results and techniques

We improve the running time of Wang's algorithm to $O(k^3 n \log n \min(k, \log^2 n) \log^2(nC))$. 64 This is faster than Wang's result by a factor of $\max(k^2, k \log^2 n)$, extending the range of 65 values of k for which the planarity exploiting algorithm is the fastest known algorithm for 66 the problem to $k = \tilde{O}(n^{1/3}/\log^2 C + (nC)^{1/9})$. The improvement is achieved by two main 67 ideas. At the heart of Wang's algorithm is an iterative procedure for eliminating excess 68 flow from vertices violating the capacity constraints. Each iteration consists of computing a 69 circulation with some desired properties. Wang computes this circulation using O(k) calls 70 to the algorithm of Borradaile et al. for maximum flow in k-apex graphs. We show how to 71 compute this circulation using a constant number of invocations of the algorithm for k-apex 72 graphs. This idea alone improves on Wang's algorithm by a factor of k. 73

To further improve the running time, we modify the algorithm of Borradaile et al. for 74 maximum flow in k-apex graphs [2]. The algorithm of Borradaile et al. uses the Push-Relabel 75 algorithm of Goldberg and Tarjan [4]. We introduce a sequential implementation of the 76 parallel highest-distance Push-Relabel algorithm. In this algorithm, which we call batch-77 highest-distance, a single operation, Bulk-Push, pushes flow on multiple arcs simultaneously, 78 instead of just on a single arc as in Goldberg and Tarjan's Push operation. More specifically, 79 we simultaneously push flow on all admissible arcs whose tails have maximum height (see 80 Section 3). This is reminiscent of parallel and distributed Push-Relabel algorithms [4, 3], 81 but our algorithm is sequential, not parallel. We prove that the total number of Bulk-Push 82 operations performed by the batch-highest-distance algorithm is $O(k^2)$ (this should be 83 compared to $O(k^3)$ Push operations for the FIFO or highest-distance Push-Relabel algorithms). 84 We then show that, in the case of the k-apex graphs that show up in Wang's algorithm, we 85 can implement each Bulk-Push operation using a single invocation of the $O(n \log^3 n)$ -time 86 MSMS maximum flow algorithm for planar graphs [2]. Hence, we can find a maximum flow 87 in such k-apex graphs in $O(k^2 n \log^3 n)$ time, which is faster by a factor of k than the time 88 required by the algorithm of Borradaile et al. 89

We also give another way to modify the algorithm of Borradaile et al. for maximum flow in k-apex graphs; the second way is better when $k = o(\log^2 n)$. We observe that the

structure of the k-apex graphs that arise in Wang's algorithm allows us to implement each of the $O(k^3)$ Push operations of the FIFO Push-Relabel algorithm used by Borradaile et al.

⁹⁴ in just $O(n \log n)$ time. This is done using a procedure due to Miller and Naor [10] for the ⁹⁵ case when all sources and sinks lie on a single face.

Roadmap. In Section 2 we provide preliminary background and notations. Section 3 describes the sequential implementation of the parallel highest-distance Push-Relabel algorithm, and its use in an algorithm for finding maximum flow in k-apex graphs. In Section 4 we describe how to use this Push-Relabel variant to obtain an improved algorithm for computing

¹⁰⁰ maximum flow in planar graphs with vertex capacities.

¹⁰¹ **2** Preliminaries

All the graphs we consider in this paper are directed. For a graph G we use V(G) and E(G)to denote the vertex set and arc set of G, respectively. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, let deg(v)denote the degree of v in G.

For a path P we denote by P[u, v] the subpath of P that starts at u and ends at v. We denote by $P \circ Q$ the concatenation of two paths P, Q such that the first vertex of Q is the last vertex of P.

A flow network is a directed graph G with a capacity function $c: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ on the vertices and arcs of G, along with two disjoint sets $S, T \subset V(G)$ called sources and sinks, respectively. We assume without loss of generality that sources and sinks have infinite capacities, and that, for any arc $e = (u, v) \in E(G)$, the reverse arc (v, u), denoted rev(e) is also in E(G), and has capacity c(rev(e)) = 0.

Let $\rho: E(G) \to [0,\infty)$. To avoid clutter we write $\rho(u,v)$ instead on $\rho((u,v))$. For 113 each vertex v let $\rho^{in}(v) = \sum_{(u,v)\in E(G)} \rho(u,v)$, and $\rho^{out}(v) = \sum_{(v,u)\in E(G)} \rho(v,u)$. The function ρ is called a *preflow* if it satisfies the following conservation constraint: for all 114 115 $v \in V(G) \setminus (S), \rho^{in}(v) \geq \rho^{out}(v)$. The excess of a vertex v with respect to a preflow ρ is 116 defined by $ex(\rho, v) = \rho^{in}(v) - \rho^{out}(v)$. A preflow is *feasible on an arc* $e \in E(G)$ if $\rho(e) \leq c(e)$. 117 It is feasible on a vertex $v \in V(G)$ if $\rho^{in}(v) \leq c(v)$. A preflow is said to be feasible if, in 118 addition to the conservation constraint, it is feasible on all arcs and vertices. The value of a 119 preflow ρ is defined as $|\rho| = \sum_{s \in S} \rho^{out}(s) - \rho^{in}(s)$. A preflow f satisfying ex(f, v) = 0 for 120 all $v \in V(G) \setminus (S \cup T)$, is called a *flow*. A flow whose value is 0 is called a *circulation*. A 121 maximum flow is a feasible flow whose value is maximum. 122

▶ Remark 1. The problem of finding a maximum flow in a flow network with multiple sources and sinks can be reduced to the single-source, single-sink case by adding a super source s and super sink t, and infinite-capacity arcs (s, s_i) and (t_i, t) for every $s_i \in S$ and $t_i \in T$. If the original network is planar then this transformation adds two apices to the graph. Throughout the paper, whenever we refer to the graph G, we mean the graph G after this transformation, i.e., with a single source, the apex s, and a single sink, the apex t.

The violation of a flow f at a vertex v is defined by $\operatorname{vio}(f, v) = \max\{0, f^{in}(v) - c(v)\}$. Thus, if f is a feasible flow then $\operatorname{vio}(f, v) = 0$ for all vertices v. The violation of the flow f is defined to be $\operatorname{vio}(f) = \max_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{vio}(f, v)$.¹

A preflow ρ is *acyclic* if there is no cycle C such that $\rho(e) > 0$ for every arc $e \in C$. A preflow *saturates* an arc e if $\rho(e) = c(e)$.

¹ We define violations only with respect to flows (rather than preflows) because we will only discuss preflows in the context of flow networks without finite vertex capacities.

23:4 A Faster Algorithm for Max Flow in Directed Planar Graphs with Vertex Capacities

The sum of two preflows ρ and η is defined as follows. For every arc $e \in E(G)$, $(\rho+\eta)(e) = \max\{0, \rho(e) + \eta(e) - \rho(\operatorname{rev}(e)) - \eta(\operatorname{rev}(e))\}$. Multiplying the preflow ρ by some constant c to get the flow $c\rho$ is defined as $(c\rho)(e) = c \cdot \rho(e)$ for all $e \in E(G)$.

The residual capacity of an arc e with respect to a preflow ρ , denoted by $c_{\rho}(e)$, is $c(e) - \rho(e) + \rho(\text{rev}(e))$. The residual graph of a flow network G with respect to a preflow ρ is the graph G where the capacity of every arc $e \in E(G)$ is set to $c_{\rho}(e)$. It is denoted by G_{ρ} . A path of G is called *augmenting* or residual (with respect to a preflow ρ) if it is also a path of G_{ρ} .

¹⁴² Suppose G and H are flow networks such that every arc in G is also an arc in H. If f' is a ¹⁴³ (pre)flow in H then the *restriction* of f' to G is the (pre)flow f in G defined by f(e) = f'(e)¹⁴⁴ for all $e \in E(G)$.

¹⁴⁵ **3** An algorithm for maximum flow in k-apex graphs

In this section we introduce a sequential implementation of the parallel highest-distance
Push-Relabel algorithm of Goldberg and Tarjan [4], and use it in the algorithm of Borradaile
et al. [2] for maximum flow in k-apex graphs. We first give a high-level description of the
Push-Relabel algorithm.

¹⁵⁰ 3.1 The Push-Relabel algorithm [4]

Let H be a flow network (not necessarily planar) with source s and sink t, arc capacities 151 $c: E(H) \to \mathbb{R}$, and no finite vertex capacities. The Push-Relabel algorithm maintains a 152 feasible preflow function, ρ , on the arcs of H. A vertex u is called *active* if $ex(\rho, u) > 0$. The 153 algorithm starts with a preflow that is zero on all arcs, except for the arcs leaving the source 154 s, which are saturated. Thus, all the neighbors of s are initially active. When the algorithm 155 terminates, no vertex is active and the preflow function is guaranteed to be a maximum flow. 156 The algorithm also maintains a label function h (also known as distance or height function) 157 over the vertices of H. The label function $h: V(H) \to \mathbb{N}$ is valid if h(s) = |V(H)|, h(t) = 0158 and $h(u) \leq h(v) + 1$ for every residual arc $(u, v) \in E(H_o)$. 159

The algorithm progresses by performing two basic operations, Push and Relabel. A Push operation applies to an arc (u, v) if (u, v) is residual, $ex(\rho, u) > 0$, and h(u) = h(v) + 1. The operation moves excess flow from u to v by increasing the flow on e by min $\{ex(\rho, u), c(e) - \rho(e)\}$.

The other basic operation, $\mathsf{Relabel}(u)$, assigns u the label $h(u) = \min\{h(v) : (u, v) \in E(H_{\rho})\} + 1$ and applies to u only if u is active and h(u) is not greater than the label of any neighbor of u in H_{ρ} . In other words, $\mathsf{Relabel}$ applies to an active vertex u only if the excess flow in u cannot be pushed out of u (because h(u) is not high enough). The algorithm performs applicable Push and $\mathsf{Relabel}$ operations until no vertex is active.

To fit our purposes, we think of the algorithm as one that only maintains explicitly the excess $ex(\rho, v)$ and residual capacity $c_{\rho}(e)$ of each vertex v and arc e of H. The preflow ρ is implicit. In this view, a Push(u, v) operation decreases $ex(\rho, u)$ and $c_{\rho}(u, v)$ by $\min\{ex(\rho, u), c_{\rho}(u, v)\}$ and increases $ex(\rho, v)$ and $c_{\rho}(v, u)$ by the same amount.

¹⁷³ We reformulate Goldberg and Tarjan's correctness proof of the generic Push-Relabel ¹⁷⁴ algorithm to fit this view.

▶ Lemma 2 ([4]). Any algorithm that performs applicable Push and Relabel operations in any order satisfies the following properties and invariants:

- 177 (1) $ex(\rho, \cdot)$ and $c_{\rho}(\cdot)$ are non-negative.²
- ¹⁷⁸ (2) The function h is a valid labeling function.

```
179 (3) For all v \in V, the value of h(v) never decreases, and strictly increases when Relabel(v)
180 is called.
```

- 181 (4) $h(v) \le 2|V(H)| 1$ for all $v \in V(H)$.
- 182 (5) Immediately after Push(u, v) is performed, either (u, v) is saturated or u is inactive.

Proof. Properties (1) and (5) are immediate from the definition of Push and the fact that
excess and residual capacities only change during Push operations. Property (2) corresponds
to Lemma 3.1 in [4], Property (3) is proved in Lemma 3.6 in [4], and Property (4) in Lemma
3.7 in [4].

Lemma 3. [4, Lemma 3.3] Properties (1), (2) imply that there is no augmenting path from s to t at any point of the algorithm.

▶ Lemma 4. [4, Lemma 3.8] Properties (3), (4) imply that the number of Relabel operations is at most 2|V(H)| - 1 per vertex and at most $2|V(H)|^2$ overall.

▶ Lemma 5. [4, Lemmas 3.9, 3.10] Properties (1)-(5) imply that the number of Push operations is $O(|V(H)|^2|E(H)|)$.

¹⁹³ By Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, the algorithm terminates. Since upon termination no vertex is ¹⁹⁴ active, the implicit preflow ρ is in fact a feasible flow function. By Lemma 3 ρ is a maximum ¹⁹⁵ flow from s to t.

Variants of the Push-Relabel algorithm differ in the order in which applicable Push and Relabel operations are applied. Some variants, such as FIFO, highest-distance, maximalexcess, etc., guarantee faster termination than the $O(|V(H)|^2|E(H)|)$ guarantee given above.

¹⁹⁹ 3.2 A sequential implementation of the parallel highest-distance ²⁰⁰ Push-Relabel algorithm

We present a sequential implementation of the parallel highest-distance Push-Relabel algorithm, which we call Batch-Highest-Distance. This algorithm attempts to push flow on multiple edges simultaneously in an operation called Bulk-Push. In that sense, it resembles the parallel version of the highest-distance Push-Relabel algorithm. It is important to note, however, that Bulk-Push is a sequential operation and not a parallel/distributed one.

We define Bulk-Push, a batched version of the Push operation. Bulk-Push(U, W) operates on two sets of vertices, U and W. It is applicable under the following requirements:

208 (i) ex(u) > 0 for all $u \in U$.

(ii) There exists an integer h such that h(u) = h and h(w) = h - 1 for all $u \in U$ and $w \in W$.

(iii) There is a residual arc (u, w) for some $u \in U$ and $w \in W$.

Note that in a regular Push-Relabel algorithm, conditions (i) and (ii) imply that Push(u, w)is applicable to any residual arc (u, w) with $u \in U$ and $w \in W$. Condition (iii) guarantees there is at least one such arc. Bulk-Push pushes as much excess flow as possible from vertices in U to vertices in W so that after Bulk-Push the following property holds:

²¹⁶ (5*) Immediately after Bulk-Push(U, W) is called, for all $u \in U$ and $w \in W$, either (u, w) is ²¹⁷ saturated or u is inactive.

² This corresponds to the function ρ being a feasible preflow.

23:6 A Faster Algorithm for Max Flow in Directed Planar Graphs with Vertex Capacities

We replace property (5) with the more general property (5^{*}) in Lemma 2 and Lemma 5. With this modification, Lemmas 2, 3, 4 and 5 apply to our sequential implementation. The proofs from [4] need no change except replacing Push with Bulk-Push. Hence, our variant terminates correctly with a maximum flow from s to t.

 \blacktriangleright Remark. One may think of Bulk-Push(U, W) as performing in parallel all Push operations 222 on arcs whose tail is in U and whose head is in W. However, not every maximum flow 223 with sources U and sinks W can be achieved as the sum of flows pushed by multiple Push 224 operations. For example, consider the case where U consists of a single vertex u, with 225 $ex(u) = 2, W = \{w_1, w_2\}$, and the residual capacities of (u, w_1) and (u, w_2) are both 2. 226 Bulk -Push(U, W) may push one unit of excess flow from u on each of (u, w_1) and (u, w_2) , but 227 $\mathsf{Push}(u, w_i)$ would push 2 units of flow on (u, w_i) , and no flow on the other arc. Therefore, the 228 correctness of this variant cannot be argued just by simulating Bulk-Push by multiple Push 229 operations. Instead we chose to argue correctness by stating the generalized property (5^*) . 230

We now discuss a concrete policy for choosing which Bulk-Push and Relabel operations 231 to perform in the above algorithm. This policy is similar, but not identical, to the highest-232 distance Push-Relabel algorithm [4, 3]. As long as there is an active vertex, the algorithm 233 repeatedly executes the following two steps, which together are called a *pulse*. Let h_{max} 234 be the maximum label of an active vertex. That is, $h_{max} = \max\{h(v) : \exp(\rho, v) > 0\}$. Let 235 H_{max} be the set of all the active vertices whose height is h_{max} . In the first step of the pulse, 236 the algorithm invokes $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}(H_{max}, W)$ where W is the set of all vertices $w \in V$ such 237 that $h(w) = h_{max} - 1.^3$ In the second step of the pulse, the algorithm applies the Relabel 238 operation to all remaining active vertices in H_{max} in arbitrary order. 239

Algorithm 1 Batch-Highest-Distance(G, c)

1: Initialize $h(\cdot), c_{\rho}(\cdot)$ and $ex(\cdot)$

2: while there exists an active vertex \mathbf{do}

3: $h_{max} \leftarrow \max\{h(v) : \exp(\rho, v) > 0\}$

4: $H_{max} \leftarrow \{ v \in V(H) : ex(\rho, v) > 0, \ h(v) = h_{max} \}$

5: $W \leftarrow \{w \in V(H) : h(w) = h_{max} - 1\}$

6: Bulk-Push (H_{max}, W)

- 7: Relabel all active vertices in H_{max} in arbitrary order
- 8: end while

Remark. The crucial difference between this policy and the highest-distance Push-Relabel algorithm [4, 3] is that in the highest-distance algorithm a vertex u with height h_{max} is relabeled as soon as no more Push operations can be applied to u. In contrast, our variant first pushes flow from all vertices with height h_{max} and only then relabels all of them.

We partition the pulses into two types according to whether any vertices are relabeled in the relabel step of the pulse. A pulse in which at least one vertex is relabeled is called *saturating*. All other pulses are called *non-saturating*.⁴

³ Formally it may be that $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}(H_{max}, W)$ is not applicable because condition (iii) is not satisfied, e.g., when $W = \emptyset$. In such cases $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}$ does not push any flow. Condition (iii) is essential for the termination of the generic generalized algorithm, which may repeat such empty calls to $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}$ indefinitely. However, we prove in Lemma 6 that in our specific policy there are $O(|V(H)|^2)$ pulses, regardless of the flow pushed (or not pushed) by $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}$ in each pulse.

 $^{^4}$ This is a generalization of the notions of saturating and non-saturating Push operations in [4].

²⁴⁷ By Lemma 4, the total number of Relabel operations executed by the batch-highest-²⁴⁸ distance algorithm is $O(|V(H)|^2)$. We now prove the same bound on the number of Bulk-Push ²⁴⁹ operations.

▶ Lemma 6. The number of pulses (and hence also the number of calls to Bulk-Push) executed by the batch-highest-distance algorithm is $O(|V(H)|^2)$.

Proof. Note that the Relabel step of a saturating pulse consists of at least one call to Relabel which strictly increases the height of an active vertex v whose height (before the increase) was h_{max} . Hence, a saturating pulse strictly increases the value of h_{max} . The fact that the height of each vertex never decreases and is bound by 2|V(H)| implies that (i) there are $O(|V(H)|^2)$ saturating pulses, and (ii) the total increase in h_{max} over all saturating Bulk-Push operations is $O(|V(H)|^2)$.

As for non-saturating pulses, note that since excess flow is always pushed to a vertex with 258 lower height, the push step of a pulse does not create excess in any vertex with height greater 259 than or equal to h_{max} , so all vertices with height greater than h_{max} remain inactive during 260 the pulse. By property (5^{*}), for every $u \in H_{max}$ and $w \in W$, either (u, w) is saturated, or u 261 is inactive. Since the pulse is non-saturating, it follows that all the vertices in H_{max} become 262 inactive during the pulse. Hence, the value of h_{max} strictly decreases during a non-saturating 263 pulse. Since $h_{max} \ge 0$, the total decrease in h_{max} is also $O(|V(H)|^2)$, so there are $O(|V(H)|^2)$ 264 non-saturating pulses. 265

Note that we do not claim that implementing the Bulk-Push operation by applying applicable Push(u, w) operations for $u \in U$, $w \in W$ until no more such operations can be applied would result in fewer Push operations than the $O(|V(H)|^2|E(H)|)$ bound of Lemma 5 for the generic Push-Relabel algorithm. However, in Section 4 we will show a situation where each call to Bulk-Push can be efficiently implemented using a single invocation of a multiple-source multiple-sink algorithm in a planar graph.

3.3 The algorithm of Borradaile et al. for *k*-apex graphs [2]

The algorithm of Borradaile et al. [2, Section 5] uses the framework of Hochstein and Weihe [6]. 273 Let H be a graph with a set V^{\times} of k apices. Denote $V_0 = V(H) \setminus V^{\times}$. The goal is to 274 compute a maximum flow in H from a source $s \in V(H)$ to a sink $t \in V(H)$. We assume 275 that s and t are apices. This is without loss of generality since treating s and t as apices 276 leaves the number of apices in O(k). Let K^{\times} be a complete graph over V^{\times} . The algorithm 277 computes a maximum flow ρ from s to t in H by simulating a maximum flow computation 278 from s to t in K^{\times} using the Push-Relabel algorithm. Whenever a Push operation is performed 279 on an arc (u, v) of K^{\times} it is implemented by pushing flow from u to v in the graph H_{uv} , 280 induced by $V_0 \cup \{u, v\}$ on the residual graph of H with respect to the flow computed so far. 281 Note that, because no vertex of V_0 is an apex of H, H_{uv} is a 2-apex graph with apices u, v. 282 Borradaile et al. use this fact to compute a maximum flow from u to v in H_{uv} as follows. 283 They split u into multiple copies, each incident to a different vertex w for which (u, w) is 284 an arc of H_{uv} . A similar process is then applied to v. Note that the resulting graph is 285 planar. A maximum flow from u to v in H_{uv} is equivalent to a maximum flow with sources 286 the copies of u and sinks the copies of v in the resulting graph. This flow can be computed 287 by the multiple-source multiple-sink maximum flow algorithm (the main result in [2]) in 288 $O(|V(H)|\log^3 |V(H)|)$ time. 289

The correctness of implementing the Push-Relabel algorithm on K^{\times} in this way was proved by Hochstein and Weihe [6] by proving essentially that the algorithm satisfies the

23:8 A Faster Algorithm for Max Flow in Directed Planar Graphs with Vertex Capacities

²⁹² properties in Lemma 2. Borradaile et al. used the FIFO policy of Push-Relabel, which ²⁹³ guarantees that the number of Push operations is $O(k^3)$, so the overall running time of their

algorithm is $O(k^3 |V(H)| \log^3 |V(H)|)$.

²⁹⁵ 3.4 An algorithm for maximum flow in *k*-apex graphs

We use the algorithm of Borradaile et al. for maximum flow in k-apex graphs from the 296 previous section, but use our new batch-highest-distance Push-Relabel algorithm instead of 297 the FIFO Push-Relabel algorithm to compute the maximum flow in K^{\times} . Note that, in order 298 to implement the batch-highest-distance algorithm on K^{\times} we only need to maintain the 299 excess $ex(\rho, v)$ and labels h(v) of each vertex $v \in K^{\times}$, and to be able to implement Bulk-Push 300 so that after the execution, property (5^*) is fulfilled. We do not define a flow function in 301 K^{\times} nor do we explicitly maintain residual capacities of arcs of K^{\times} . Instead, we maintain a 302 preflow ρ in H, and define that an arc (u, v) of K^{\times} is residual if and only if there exists a 303 residual path from u to v in H_{ρ} that is internally disjoint from the vertices of V^{\times} . Under 304 this definition, there is no path of residual arcs in K^{\times} starting at s and ending at t if and 305 only if there is no such path in H. Since K^{\times} has O(k) vertices, by Lemma 6, the algorithm 306 performs $O(k^2)$ pulses. 307

We next describe how a Bulk-Push(U, W) operation in K^{\times} is implemented. Let $A = U \cup W$. 308 Let H_A be the graph obtained from H_ρ by deleting the vertices $V^{\times} \setminus A$. Bulk-Push(U, W)309 in K^{\times} is implemented by pushing a maximum flow in H_A with sources the vertices U and 310 sinks the vertices W, with the additional restriction that the amount of flow leaving each 311 vertex $u \in U$ is at most the excess of u. The efficiency of the procedure depends on how 312 fast we can compute the maximum flow in H_A . We denote the time to execute a single 313 Bulk-Push operation in the graph H_A by T_{BP} . Note that $T_{BP} = \Omega(k)$, as it takes $\Omega(k)$ time 314 to construct H_A from H_ρ . 315

The proof of correctness is an easy adaptation of the proof of Hochstein and Weihe [6]. We cannot use their proof without change because Hochstein and Weihe considered only Push operations along a single arc of K^{\times} rather than the Bulk-Push operations which involves more than a single pair of vertices of K^{\times} .

▶ Lemma 7. Maximum flow in k-apex graphs can be computed in $O(k^2 \cdot T_{BP})$ time.

³²¹ **Proof.** We first show that the properties (1)-(4) in the statement of Lemma 2, and the ³²² generalized property (5^*) from Section 3.2 hold.

Property (1) holds since $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}(U, W)$ limits the amount of flow pushed from each vertex $u \in U$ by the excess of u. Properties (3) and (4) hold without change since Relabel is not changed.

To show property (5^{*}) holds, recall that an arc (u, w) of K^{\times} is residual if there exists, in the residual graph H_{ρ} with respect to the current preflow ρ , a residual path from u to w that is internally disjoint from any vertex of V^{\times} . With this definition it is immediate that property (5^{*}) holds, since our implementation of Bulk-Push(U, W) pushes a maximum flow in H_A from U that is limited by the excess flow in each vertex of U. Hence, after Bulk-Push(U, W) is executed, for every $u \in U$ and $w \in W$, either there is no residual path from u to w in H_{ρ} that is internally disjoint from V^{\times} , or u is inactive.

As for property (2), since we did not change Relabel, h remains valid after calls to Relabel. It remains to show that h remains a valid labeling after Bulk-Push(U, W). Consider two vertices $a, b \in V^{\times}$. We will show that after Bulk-Push(U, W), either the arc (a, b) of K^{\times} is saturated (i.e., is no residual path from a to b in H_{ρ}), or $h(a) \leq h(b) + 1$. The flow pushed



Figure 1 Illustration of property (2) in the proof of Lemma 7. A $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}(U, W)$ operation pushes flow along paths P and P' (dashed red paths). If Q (blue solid path) is residual after the $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}$ operation then the dotted black paths were residual before.

(in H_A) by the call Bulk-Push(U, W) can be decomposed into a set \mathcal{P} of flow paths, each of which starts at a vertex of U and ends at a vertex of W.

Assume that after performing $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}(U,W)$ there is an augmenting a-to-b path, Q in 339 H_{ρ} . If Q does not intersect any path $P \in \mathcal{P}$ then Q was residual also before $\mathsf{Bulk-Push}(U,W)$ 340 was called, so $h(a) \leq h(b) + 1$ because h was a valid labeling before the call. Otherwise, Q 341 intersects some path in \mathcal{P} . Let c, d be the first and last vertices of Q that also belong to 342 paths in \mathcal{P} . Let $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}$ be paths such that $c \in P$ and $d \in P'$. Let $w \in W$ be the last 343 vertex of P and let $u \in U$ be the first vertex of P'. See Figure 1 for an illustration. Then, 34 before Bulk -Push(U, W) was called, $Q[a, c] \circ P[c, w]$ was a residual path from a to w, and 345 $P'[u, d] \circ Q[d, b]$ was a residual path from u to b. Since h was a valid labeling before the call, 346 we have 347

$$h(u) \le h(b) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h(a) \le h(w) + 1$$

349 Since h(u) = h(w) + 1 it follows that

350
$$h(a) \le h(w) + 1 = h(u) \le h(b) + 1$$

³⁵¹ showing property (2).

We have shown that properties (1)-(4) and (5^{*}) hold. Hence, by Lemmas 6 and 4, the algorithm terminates after performing $O(|V^{\times}|^2) = O(k^2)$ Bulk-Push and Relabel operations. Since each Relabel takes O(k) time, and each Bulk-Push takes $\Omega(k)$ time, the total running time of the algorithm is $O(k^2 \cdot T_{BP})$. By Lemma 3, when the algorithm terminates there is no residual path from s to t in K^{\times} . By our definition of residual arcs of K^{\times} this implies that there is no residual path from s to t in H_{ρ} , so ρ is a maximum flow from s to t in H.

4 A faster algorithm for maximum flow with vertex capacities

In this section, we give a faster algorithm for computing a maximum flow in a directed planar graph with integer arc and vertex capacities bounded by C, parameterized by the number k of terminal vertices (sources and sinks). The fastest algorithm currently known for this problem is by Wang [13]. It runs in $O(k^5n \text{ polylog}(nC))$ time. We first sketch Wang's algorithm. We only go into details in the parts of the algorithm that will be modified in our algorithm in Section 4.2.

23:10 A Faster Algorithm for Max Flow in Directed Planar Graphs with Vertex Capacities

365 4.1 Wang's algorithm

Wang's algorithm uses the following two auxiliary graphs. In both these graphs only the arcs are capacitated. Let G be a planar network. Let k be the total number of sources and sinks in G. Recall from Remark 1 that we turn G into a 2-apex flow network with a single super-source s and super-sink t.

Definition 8 (The graph G°). For a flow network G, the network G° is obtained by the following procedure. For each vertex $v \in V(G)$, replace v with an undirected cycle C_v with $d = \deg(v)$ vertices $v_1, ..., v_d$.⁵ Each arc in C_v has capacity c(v)/2. Connect each arc incident to v with a different vertex v_i , preserving the clockwise order of the arcs so that no new crossings are introduced.

▶ Definition 9 (The graph G^{\times}). Let f be a flow in G. Let X be the set of infeasible vertices, i.e., vertices $x \in V(G)$ such that $f^{in}(x) > c(x)$. The graph G^{\times} is defined as follows. Starting with G° , for each vertex $x \in X$, replace the cycle representing x with two vertices x^{in} , x^{out} and an arc (x^{in}, x^{out}) of capacity c(x).

Every arc of capacity c > 0 going from a vertex $u \notin C_x$ to a vertex in C_x becomes an arc (u, x^{in}) of capacity c. Similarly, every arc of capacity c > 0 going from a vertex of C_x to a vertex $u \notin C_x$ becomes an arc (x^{out}, u) with capacity c.

Note that even though $G - \{s, t\}$ is planar, $G^{\times} - \{s, t\}$ is not. $\{x^{in} : x \in X\} \cup \{x^{out} : x \in X\} \cup \{s, t\}$ is an apex set in G^{\times} . Thus, G^{\times} is a (2|X|+2)-apex graph.

Recall that if H and G are two graphs such that every arc of G is also an arc of H, then the restriction of a flow f' in H to G is a flow f in G such that f(e) = f'(e) for all $e \in E(G)$. Thus we can speak of the restriction of a flow f° in G° , to a flow f in G, and of the restriction of a flow f^{\times} in G^{\times} to a flow f in G.

Let λ^* be the value of the maximum flow in G. Wang's algorithm uses binary search to 388 find λ^* . Let λ be the current candidate value for λ^* . The algorithm computes a flow f° with 389 value λ in the graph G° . Let f be the restriction of f° to G. Wang proves that the set X 390 of infeasible vertices under f has size at most k-2, and that the sum of the violations of 391 the vertices in X is at most (k-2)C. As long as vio(f) > 2k, the algorithm improves f. 392 This improvement phase, which will be described shortly, is the crux of the algorithm. If 393 $vio(f) \leq 2k$, then $O(k^2)$ iterations of the classical Ford-Fulkerson algorithm suffice to get rid 394 of all the remaining violations. 395

The improvement phase of the algorithm is based on finding a circulation g that cancels the violations on the infeasible vertices and does not create too much violation on other vertices. It can then be shown that adding $1/k \cdot g$ to the flow f decreases vio(f) by a multiplicative factor of roughly 1 - 1/k. After $O(k \log(kC))$ iterations of the improvement step, vio(f) is at most 2k.

Wang proves that in order to find the circulation g, it suffices to compute a circulation g^{\times} in G^{\times} that satisfies the following properties:

- 403 **1.** $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ is feasible in G^{\times} .
- 404 2. The restriction of $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ to G has no violations on vertices of X.
- ⁴⁰⁵ **3.** The restriction of $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ to G has at most $(k-2) \cdot \operatorname{vio}(f)$ violation on any vertex in ⁴⁰⁶ $V(G) \setminus X$.

⁵ By undirected cycle we mean that there are directed arcs in both directions between every pair of consecutive vertices of the cycle C_v .

The desired circulation g is the restriction of g^{\times} to G. If no such g^{\times} exists then g does not exist, which implies that $\lambda > \lambda^*$.

Wang essentially shows that any algorithm for finding g^{\times} in O(T) time, where $T = \Omega(n)$, yields an algorithm for maximum flow with vertex capacities in $O(kT \log(kC) \log(nC))$ time. The additional terms stem from the $O(k \log(kC))$ iterations of the improvement step, and the $\log(nC)$ steps of the binary search. Wang shows how to compute g^{\times} in $T = O(k^4 n \log^3 n)$ time, by eliminating the violation at each vertex of X one after the other in an auxiliary graph obtained from G^{\times} . Thus, the overall running time of his algorithm is $O(k^5 n \log^3 n \log(kC) \log(nC))$.

416 4.2 A faster algorithm for computing g^{\times}

We propose a faster way of computing the circulation g^{\times} by eliminating the violations in all the vertices of X in a single shot. Doing so correctly requires some care in defining the appropriate capacities in the auxiliary graph, since we only know that for each $x \in X$, g^{\times} should eliminate at least vio(f, x) units of flow from x, but the actual amount of flow eliminated from x may have to be larger. This issue does not come up when resolving the violations one vertex at a time as was done by Wang.

⁴²³ Define an auxiliary graph H as follows. Starting with $G_{f^{\times}}^{\times}$, the residual graph of G^{\times} ⁴²⁴ with respect to f^{\times} ,

For each $x \in X$, set the capacity of the arc (x^{in}, x^{out}) to be 0 and the capacity of (x^{out}, x^{in}) to be c(x).

427 Add a super source s' and arcs (s', x^{in}) with capacity vio(f, x) for every $x \in X$.

Add a super sink t' and arcs (x^{out}, t') with capacity vio(f, x) for every $x \in X$.

Note that $\{s,t\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x^{in}, x^{out}\} \cup \{s',t'\}$ is an apex set of size O(k) in H (recall from Remark 1 that s and t are the super source and super sink of the original graph G).

The circulation g^{\times} can be found using the following algorithm. Find a maximum flow h'from s' to t' in H using Lemma 7. Convert h' to an acyclic flow h of the same value using the algorithm of Sleator and Tarjan [12] (cf. [13, Lemma 2.5]). If h does not saturate every arc incident to s' and t', return that the desired circulation g does not exist. Otherwise, h can be extended to the desired circulation g^{\times} by setting $g^{\times}(x^{out}, x^{in}) = h(x^{out}, x^{in}) + \text{vio}(f, x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $g^{\times}(e) = h(e)$ for all other arcs.

The following lemma shows that any single Bulk-Push operation in the algorithm of 437 Lemma 7 on H can be implemented by a constant number of calls to the $O(n \log^3 n)$ -time 438 multiple-source multiple-sink maximum flow algorithm in planar graphs of Borradaile et 439 al. [2]. There are two challenges that need to be overcome. First, the graph H is O(k)-apex 440 graph rather than planar. Second, the algorithm of Borradaile et al. computes a maximum 441 flow from multiple sources to multiple sinks, not a maximum flow under the restriction that 442 each source sends at most some given limit. This is not a problem in the case of a single 443 source, or a limit on just the total value of the flow, since then some of the flow pushed can 444 be "undone". When each of the multiple sources has a different limit, undoing the flow from 445 one source can create residual paths from another source that did not vet reach its limit. 446

▶ Lemma 10. Any single Bulk-Push operation in the execution of the algorithm of Lemma 7 on the graph H defined above can be implemented in $O(n \log^3 n)$ time.

⁴⁴⁹ **Proof.** Let $V^{\times} = \{s, t\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x^{in}, x^{out}\} \cup \{s', t'\}$ be the set of apices of H. Recall that ⁴⁵⁰ the algorithm of Lemma 7 invokes the batch-highest-distance Push-Relabel algorithm on ⁴⁵¹ a complete graph K^{\times} over V^{\times} , and maintains a corresponding preflow in H. Consider a ⁴⁵² single Bulk-Push(U, W) operation from a set of apices U to a set of apices W. Let ρ denote

23:12 A Faster Algorithm for Max Flow in Directed Planar Graphs with Vertex Capacities

the preflow pushed in H up to this Bulk-Push operation. Let $A = U \cup W$. To correctly implement Bulk-Push(U, W), we find a flow ρ' with sources U and sinks W in the graph H, which satisfies the following properties:

456 (i) For every $u \in U$, $ex(\rho + \rho', u) \ge 0$, and

(ii) For every $u \in U$ and $w \in W$, either $ex(\rho + \rho', u) = 0$ or there is no residual path in H₂₅₈ $H_{\rho+\rho'}$ from u to w that is internally disjoint from V^{\times} .

⁴⁵⁹ Condition (i) guarantees that ρ' does not push more flow from a vertex $u \in U$ than the ⁴⁵⁰ current excess of u. Condition (ii) is condition (5^{*}) from Section 3.2.

Let H'' be the graph obtained from H_{ρ} by deleting the vertices $V^{\times} \setminus A$. Note that the absence of residual paths that are internally disjoint from V^{\times} in H'' is equivalent to the absence of such paths in H. We will compute ρ' using a constant number of invocations of the $O(n \log^3 n)$ -time multiple-source multiple-sink maximum flow algorithm in planar graphs of Borradaile et al. [2]. Instead of invoking this algorithm on H'', which is not planar, we shall invoke it on modified versions of H'' which are planar.

467 Starting with H'', we split each vertex $w \in W$ into $\deg(w)$ copies. Each arc e that was 468 incident to w before the split is now incident to a distinct copy of w, and is embedded so 469 that it does not cross any other arc in the graph. Let H' denote the resulting graph, and let 470 W' denote the set of vertices created as a result of splitting all the vertices of W.

The set W' replaces W as the set of sinks of the flow ρ' we need to compute. Note that U is an apex set in H'. We then build the flow ρ' gradually, by computing the following steps, each using a single invocation of the multiple-source multiple-sink maximum flow algorithm of Borradaile et al. in $O(n \log^3 n)$ time. In what follows, when we say that the flow ρ' satisfies condition (ii) for a subset U' of U we mean that for every $u \in U'$ and $w \in W$, either $ex(\rho + \rho', u) = 0$ or there is no residual path in $H_{\rho+\rho'}$ from u to w that is internally disjoint from V^{\times} .

(1) If $s \in U$, starting with H', we split s into deg(s) copies. Each arc e that was incident 478 to s before the split is now incident to a distinct copy of s, and is embedded so that it 479 does not cross any other arc in the graph. We also delete all other vertices of U. We 480 compute in the resulting graph, which is planar, a maximum flow with sources the copies 481 of s and the sinks W'. Let ρ'_s be the flow computed. If $|\rho'_s| > \exp(\rho, s)$, we decrease $|\rho'_s|$ 482 by pushing $|\rho'_s| - \exp(\rho, s)$ units of flow back from W' to the copies of s. This can be 483 done in O(n) time in reverse topological order w.r.t. ρ'_s (cf. [2, Section 1.4]). We view ρ'_s 484 as a flow in H, and set $\rho' = \rho'_s$. By construction ρ' satisfies condition (i), and satisfies 485 condition (ii) for the subset $\{s\}$. 486

(2) If $t \in U$, starting with $H'_{\rho'}$, we repeat step (1) with t taking the role of s to compute a flow ρ'_t . Set $\rho' \leftarrow \rho' + \rho'_t$ By construction of ρ'_t , ρ' now satisfies satisfies condition (i), and satisfies condition (ii) for the subset $U \cap \{s, t\}$.

(3) Let U^{in} be the set $U \cap \{x^{in} : x \in X\}$. If $U^{in} \neq \emptyset$, starting with $H'_{\rho'}$, we delete all the 490 vertices of U that are not in U^{in} . Note that, since the resulting graph does not contain 491 s, t, s', t', nor any x^{out} for any $x \in X$, and since arcs incident to x^{in} only cross those 492 incident to x^{out} , the resulting graph is planar. For every $x^{in} \in U^{in}$ we add a vertex x'493 and an arc (x', x^{in}) with capacity $ex(\rho, x^{in})$. The resulting graph is still planar. We 494 compute a maximum flow ρ'_{in} with sources $\{x': x^{in} \in U^{in}\}$ and sinks W'. We view ρ'_{in} 495 as a flow in H, and set $\rho' \leftarrow \rho' + \rho'_{in}$. By construction of ρ'_{in} , ρ' now satisfies condition 496 (i), and satisfies condition (ii) for the subset $U \cap (\{s, t\} \cup U^{in})$. 497

(4) We repeat step (3) with *out* taking the role of *in* to compute a flow ρ'_{out} . By construction of ρ'_{in} , ρ' now satisfies condition (i), and satisfies condition (ii) for $U \cap (\{s,t\} \cup U^{in} \cup U^{out})$. Since s' and t' are the source and sink of the flow computed by the Push-Relabel algorithm,

they are never active vertices, so they never belong to U. Hence $\{s,t\} \cup U^{in} \cup U^{out} \supseteq U$, and conditions (i) and (ii) are fully satisfied by ρ' .

⁵⁰³ Combining Lemma 10 and Lemma 7, we get the following lemma.

504 • Lemma 11. The algorithm described above finds a circulation g^{\times} such that

505 **1.** $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ is feasible in G^{\times} .

506 2. The restriction of $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ to G has no violations at vertices of X.

⁵⁰⁷ **3.** The restriction of $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ to G has violation at most $(k-2) \cdot \operatorname{vio}(f)$ at any vertex in ⁵⁰⁸ $V(G) \setminus X$.

in $O(k^2 n \log^3 n)$ time if such a circulation exists.

Proof. We first analyze the running time. Computing the graph H can be done in O(n)time. Computing the flow h' in H using the algorithm of Lemma 7 takes $O(k^2 \cdot T_{BP})$ time. By Lemma 10, $T_{BP} = O(n \log^3 n)$ for the graph H. Transforming h' to an acyclic flow husing the algorithm of Sleator and Tarjan [12] takes $O(n \log n)$ time. Finally, computing g^{\times} from h takes O(n) time. Hence, the total time to compute g^{\times} is $O(k^2 n \log^3 n)$.

In order to prove the correctness of the algorithm, we will first prove that there exists a feasible flow h in H that saturates every arc incident to s' and t' if and only if there exists a circulation g^{\times} in G^{\times} that satisfies conditions (1) and (2) in the statement of the lemma.

(\Leftarrow) Assume the circulation g^{\times} exists in G^{\times} . Define a flow h in H as follows. For every arc $e \in E(H)$ not of the form (x^{out}, x^{in}) set $h(e) = g^{\times}(e)$. For every $x \in X$, set $h(x^{out}, x^{in}) = g^{\times}(x^{out}, x^{in}) - \operatorname{vio}(f, x)$, $h(s, x^{in}) = \operatorname{vio}(f, x)$ and $h(x^{out}, t) = \operatorname{vio}(f, x)$. Since the restriction of $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ to G has no violations on the vertices of $x, g^{\times}(x^{out}, x^{in}) \ge \operatorname{vio}(f, x)$, so $h(x^{out}, x^{in}) \ge 0$ and h is a well defined flow. By definition, the flow h saturates every arc incident to s' and t'.

To show that h is feasible in H it is enough to show that $h(x^{out}, x^{in}) \leq c(x)$ for every $x \in X$ (on all other arcs h is feasible because g^{\times} is feasible in $G_{f^{\times}}^{\times}$). Let $x \in X$. Since $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ is feasible in G^{\times} , $g^{\times}(x^{out}, x^{in}) \leq f^{\times}(x^{in}, x^{out})$. Since $h(x^{out}, x^{in}) = g^{\times}(x^{out}, x^{in}) - \operatorname{vio}(f, x)$, $h(x^{out}, x^{in}) \leq f^{\times}(x^{in}, x^{out}) - \operatorname{vio}(f, x) = c(x)$.

 (\Rightarrow) Assume there exist a feasible flow h in H that saturates every arc incident to s' 528 and t', and let g^{\times} be the circulation obtained from h as described above. We show that 529 $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ is feasible in G^{\times} . On all arcs e not of the form $(x^{out}, x^{in}), g^{\times}(e) = h(e)$ and the 530 capacity of e in $G_{f^{\times}}^{\times}$ equals the capacity of e in H. Therefore, since h is a feasible flow in 531 H, g^{\times} is feasible on e in $G_{f^{\times}}^{\times}$, so $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ is feasible on e in G^{\times} . We now focus on the 532 arcs (x^{out}, x^{in}) for each $x \in X$. Let $e = (x^{out}, x^{in})$. Observe that $0 \le h(e) \le c(x)$. Since 533 $g^{\times}(e) = h(e) + \operatorname{vio}(f, x)$ we have that $\operatorname{vio}(f, x) \leq g^{\times}(e) \leq c(x) + \operatorname{vio}(f, x) = f^{\times}(e)$. Since 534 $(f^{\times} + g^{\times})(x^{in}, x^{out}) = f^{\times}(x^{in}, x^{out}) - g^{\times}(x^{out}, x^{in}), \text{ we have } 0 \le (f^{\times} + g^{\times})(x^{in}, x^{out}) \le c(x),$ 535 so $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ is feasible in G^{\times} . 536

To finish proving the (\Rightarrow) direction, we show that the restriction of $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ to G has no violations on the vertices of X. By definition of G^{\times} and of residual graph, the only arcs in $G_{f^{\times}}^{\times}$ that can carry flow out of x^{in} are the reverses of the arcs that carry flow into x in f, and the only arcs that can carry flow into x^{out} are the reverses of the arcs that carry flow out of x in f. We will show that $(f + g)^{in}(x) \leq c(x)$ by considering separately the contribution of the flow on arcs of G that in G^{\times} are incident to x^{out} , and arcs of G that in G^{\times} are incident to x^{in} .

The only arc of f^{\times} that carries flow into x^{out} is (x^{in}, x^{out}) . Thus, there is no arc e of Gsuch that $f^{\times}(e)$ carries flow into x^{out} . Since g^{\times} only carries flow into x^{out} along the reverses of arcs that carry flow out of x^{out} in f^{\times} and since for every such arc $e', g^{\times}(e') \leq f^{\times}(\text{rev}(e'))$, there is also no arc e of G such that $(f^{\times} + g^{\times})(e)$ carries flow into x^{out} .

23:14 A Faster Algorithm for Max Flow in Directed Planar Graphs with Vertex Capacities

The total flow that f^{\times} carries into x^{in} is $c(x) + \operatorname{vio}(f, x)$. Let z denote the total amount of flow that g^{\times} carries into x^{in} . Since the only arc incident to x^{in} that carries flow in g^{\times} and does not belong to G is (x^{out}, x^{in}) , the total amount of flow that g^{\times} carries into x^{in} on arcs that belong to G is $z - g^{\times}(x^{out}, x^{in})$. On the other hand, g^{\times} carries z units of flow out of x^{in} , and all of this flow is pushed along the reverses of arcs that carry flow into x^{in} in f^{\times} (and also belong to G). Hence, the total amount of flow that $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ carries into x^{in} on arcs that belong to G is $c(x) + \operatorname{vio}(f, x) + (z - g^{\times}(x^{out}, x^{in})) - z$. Therefore,

555
$$(f+g)^{in}(x) = c(x) + \operatorname{vio}(f,x) - g^{\times}(x^{out},x^{in}))$$

$$= c(x) + \operatorname{vio}(f, x) - (h(x^{out}, x^{in}) + \operatorname{vio}(f, x))$$

558

= c(x) + vio(f, x) - (h(x - f, x - f) + vio(f, x))= $c(x) - h(x^{out}, x^{in})$ $\leq c(x).$

We have thus shown that the algorithm computes a flow g^{\times} satisfying conditions (1) and (2) in the statement of the lemma. To see that condition (3) is also satisfied, note that the value of the flow h is $\sum_{x \in X} \operatorname{vio}(f, x) \leq (k-2) \cdot \operatorname{vio}(f)$. Since h is acyclic, $h^{in}(v) \leq (k-2) \cdot \operatorname{vio}(f)$ for all $v \in H$. Since for all $v \in V(G) \setminus X$, $f^{in}(v) \leq c(v)$, and $h^{in}(v) = (g^{\times})^{in}(v)$, it follows that the violation of $f^{\times} + g^{\times}$ at v is at most $(k-2) \cdot \operatorname{vio}(f)$.

Using the $O(k^2 n \log^3 n)$ -time algorithm of Lemma 11 in the improvement phase of Wang's algorithm instead of using Wang's $O(k^4 n \log^3 n)$ -time procedure for this phase results in a running time of $O(k^3 n \text{ polylog}(nC))$ for finding a maximum flow in G.

568 **4.3** The case $k = o(\log^2 n)$

As previously mentioned, we can use an alternative algorithm to the one of Lemma 11 for 569 the case of $k = o(\log^2 n)$. This algorithm computes the circulation q^{\times} in $O(k^3 n \log n)$ time. 570 We use the same auxiliary graph H as defined above and again compute a maximum flow h'571 from s' to t' in H. Let $V^{\times} = \{s,t\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x^{in}, x^{out}\} \cup \{s',t'\} \cup S \cup T$ be the set of apices 572 of H along with the original sources S and sinks T of G, and let K^{\times} be the complete graph 573 on V^{\times} . As in the strategy of Borradaile et al. [2], we simulate a maximum flow computation 574 from s' to t' in K^{\times} using the FIFO Push-Relabel algorithm. Each Push(u, v) operation can be 575 performed in only $O(n \log n)$ time. In short, we push along the arc (u, v) directly if u or v is 576 one of s, t, s', or t'. If $u \in \{x_1^{in}, x_1^{out}\}$ and $v \in \{x_2^{in}, x_2^{out}\}$ for two distinct vertices $x_1, x_2 \in X$, 577 then we can directly push from u to v in a planar subgraph of H using the single-source 578 single-sink maximum flow algorithm in planar graphs of Borradaile and Klein [1]. Finally, if 579 $u, v \in \{x^{in}, x^{out}\}$ for some $x \in X$, we can split both u and v into several copies that all lie 580 on a common face. We can then use a divide-and-conquer procedure of Miller and Naor [10] 581 to perform the Push in $O(n \log n)$ time. See Appendix A for details. By using the better of 582 the two procedures for computing q^{\times} , we get our main theorem. 583

Theorem 12. A maximum flow in an n-vertex planar flow network G with integer arc and vertex capacities bounded by C can be computed in $O(k^3 n \log n \min(k, \log^2 n) \log(kC) \log(nC))$ time.



Figure 2 Illustration of the auxiliary graphs used in the algorithm of Lemma 10. Only a portion of the graphs around some vertex $x \in X$ is shown. (a) the graph G° . (b) the graph H. Note that the only crossings are between arcs incident to x^{in} and arcs incident to x^{out} . (c) the graph H' in the case that x^{out} belongs to W. x^{out} is split into multiple copies, eliminating all arc crossings.

Appendices

588

A Alternative algorithm for $k = o(\log^2 n)$

We now provide an alternative algorithm to the one given in the previous section that is faster for small $k = o(\log^2 n)$. Specifically, we describe an algorithm for computing the circulation g^{\times} that runs in $O(k^3 n \log n)$ time instead of the $O(k^2 n \log^3 n)$ time required by the algorithm of Lemma 11. The final running time for computing a maximum flow with integer arc and vertex capacities is therefore $O(k^4 n \log n \log(kC) \log(nC))$.

We use the same auxiliary graph H as defined above and again compute a maximum 594 flow h' from s' to t' in H. Let $V^{\times} = \{s,t\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x^{in}, x^{out}\} \cup \{s',t'\} \cup S \cup T$ be the 595 set of apices of H along with the original sources S and sinks T of G, and let K^{\times} be the 596 complete graph on V^{\times} . Instead of using the batch-highest-distance Push-Relabel algorithm 597 as in Lemma 7, we more directly follow the strategy of Borradaile et al. [2] by simulating a 598 maximum flow computation from s to t in K^{\times} using the FIFO Push-Relabel algorithm. We 599 do not wish to directly use the multiple-source multiple-sink flow algorithm of Borradaile et 600 al. [2], because then each of the $O(k^3)$ Push operations would take $O(n \log^3 n)$ time. But as 601 above, we may take advantage of the structure of H to perform each Push operation more 602 603 quickly.

▶ Lemma 13. Any single (individual arc) Push operation in the graph H defined above can be implemented in $O(n \log n)$ time.

⁶⁰⁶ **Proof.** Consider a single Push(u, v) operation where $u, v \in V^{\times}$. Let ρ denote the preflow ⁶⁰⁷ pushed in H by the FIFO Push-Relabel algorithm up to this Push operation. We find a flow ρ' ⁶⁰⁸ with source u and sink v in the graph H such that either $ex(\rho + \rho', u) = 0$ or $ex(\rho + \rho', u) > 0$ ⁶⁰⁹ and there is no residual path in $H_{\rho+\rho'}$ from u to v that is internally disjoint from V^{\times} .

Let H' be the graph obtained from H_{ρ} by deleting the vertices $V^{\times} \setminus \{u, v\}$. Instead of invoking the $O(n \log^3 n)$ -time multiple-source multiple-sink maximum flow algorithm of Borradaile et al. [2], we will compute ρ' as follows. As before, we must consider a few different cases.

If u = s or v = s, then $v \in S$ or $u \in S$, respectively. We push up to $ex(\rho, u)$ units of flow directly along the arc (u, v) in constant time, either saturating the arc or reducing the excess flow in u to 0. We may similarly push directly along the arc (u, v) in constant time if one of u or v is one of t, s', or t' instead.

If $u \in \{x_1^{in}, x_1^{out}\}$ and $v \in \{x_2^{in}, x_2^{out}\}$ for two distinct vertices $x_1, x_2 \in X$, then the graph

- ⁶¹⁹ H' is planar. We add a vertex u' and an arc (u', u) with capacity $ex(\rho, u)$ and compute the ⁶²⁰ maximum flow ρ' with source u' and sink v using the single-source single-sink maximum ⁶²¹ flow algorithm in planar graphs of Borradaile and Klein [1].
- If neither of the above cases apply, then $u, v \in \{x^{in}, x^{out}\}$ for some $x \in X$. If arc (u, v)622 has positive residual capacity, we push up to $ex(\rho, u)$ units of flow directly along it in 623 constant time. Similar to Step 1 in the proof of Lemma 10, starting with H', we split u 624 into deg(u) copies so that each arc that was incident to u is now incident to a distinct 625 copy of u. Similarly, we split v into deg(v) copies so each arc that was incident to v is 626 now incident to a distinct copy of v. The resulting graph is planar, and all copies of u627 and v lie on a common face. As mentioned by Borradaile et al. [2, p. 1280], we can then 628 plug the linear time shortest paths in planar graphs algorithm of Henzinger et al. [5] into 629 a divide-and-conquer procedure of Miller and Naor [10] to compute a maximum flow ρ'_{μ} 630 with sources the copies of u and sinks the copies of v in $O(n \log n)$ time. Again, if the 631 value of this flow is greater than the excess of u, we push the appropriate amount of flow 632 back to the copies of u in O(n) time. Finally, we view ρ'_u as a flow in H to set $\rho' = \rho'_u$. 633 634
- As a consequence of the previous lemma, we immediately get a variation of Lemma 11 with a running time of $O(k^3 n \log n)$. We use our $O(k^3 n \log n)$ time algorithm in the improvement phase of Wang's algorithm whenever $k = o(\log^2 n)$.

638		References —
639	1	Glencora Borradaile and Philip N. Klein. An $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for maximum st-flow in a
640		directed planar graph. J. ACM, 56(2):9:1-9:30, 2009. doi:10.1145/1502793.1502798.
641	2	Glencora Borradaile, Philip N. Klein, Shay Mozes, Yahav Nussbaum, and Christian Wulff-
642		Nilsen. Multiple-source multiple-sink maximum flow in directed planar graphs in near-linear
643		time. SIAM J. Comput., 46(4):1280-1303, 2017. doi:10.1137/15M1042929.
644	3	Joseph Cheriyan and S. N. Maheshwari. Analysis of preflow push algorithms for maximum
645		network flow. SIAM J. Comput., 18(6):1057–1086, 1989. doi:10.1137/0218072.
646	4	Andrew V. Goldberg and Robert Endre Tarjan. A new approach to the maximum-flow problem.
647		J. ACM, 35(4):921-940, 1988. doi:10.1145/48014.61051.
648	5	Monika Rauch Henzinger, Philip N. Klein, Satish Rao, and Sairam Subramanian. Faster
649		shortest-path algorithms for planar graphs. J. Comput. Syst. Sci., 55(1):3–23, 1997. doi:
650		10.1006/jcss.1997.1493.
651	6	Jan M. Hochstein and Karsten Weihe. Maximum s-t-flow with k crossings in $O(k^3 n \log n)$
652		time. In Nikhil Bansal, Kirk Pruhs, and Clifford Stein, editors, Proceedings of the Eighteenth
653		Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2007, New Orleans, Louisiana,
654		USA, January 7-9, 2007, pages 843-847. SIAM, 2007. URL: http://dl.acm.org/citation.
655		cfm?id=1283383.1283473.
656	7	Haim Kaplan and Yahav Nussbaum. Maximum flow in directed planar graphs with vertex
657	_	capacities. Algorithmica, 61(1):174–189, 2011. doi:10.1007/s00453-010-9436-7.
658	8	Tarun Kathuria, Yang P. Liu, and Aaron Sidford. Unit capacity maxflow in almost $O(m^{4/3})$
659		time. In 61st IEEE Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2020,
660		Durham, NC, USA, November 16-19, 2020, pages 119–130. IEEE, 2020. doi:10.1109/
661	0	FUCS46700.2020.00020.
662	9	Samir Knuller and Joseph Naor. Flow in planar graphs with vertex capacities. Algorithmica,
663	10	(1)(5):200-225, 1994. doi:10.1007/BF01240735.
664	10	Gary L. Miner and Joseph Naor. Flow in planar graphs with multiple sources and sinks. $SIAM$
665	11	J. Comput., 24(5):1002–1017, 1995. doi:10.1157/50097559769162997. James B. Orlin, Max flows in $O(nm)$ time, or better. In Dan Bonch, Tim Boughgardon, and
667	11	Joan Feigenbaum editors Sumposium on Theory of Computing Conference STOC'13 Palo
669		Alto CA USA June 1-4 2013 pages 765-774 ACM 2013 doi:10 1145/2488608 2488705
669	12	Daniel Dominic Sleator and Robert Endre Tarian. A data structure for dynamic trees. J.
670		Comput. Syst. Sci., 26(3):362–391, 1983. doi:10.1016/0022-0000(83)90006-5.
671	13	Yipu Wang. Maximum integer flows in directed planar graphs with vertex capacities and
672		multiple sources and sinks. In Timothy M. Chan, editor, Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual
673		ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2019, San Diego, California, USA,
674		January 6-9, 2019, pages 554-568. SIAM, 2019. doi:10.1137/1.9781611975482.35.
675	14	Xianchao Zhang, Weifa Liang, and Guoliang Chen. Computing maximum flows in undirected
676		planar networks with both edge and vertex capacities. In Xiaodong Hu and Jie Wang, editors,
677		Computing and Combinatorics, 14th Annual International Conference, COCOON 2008, Dalian,
678		China, June 27-29, 2008, Proceedings, volume 5092 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science,
679		pages 577-586. Springer, 2008. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-69733-6_57.