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The denotational semantics of loops is part of a more general mathematical theory of complete partial orders and continuous functions. Some of the basics of that theory are presented below, culminating in the Knaster-Tarski Fixed-Point Theorem. We use the Fixed-Point Theorem to prove that our denotational definition of while loops is a well-formed mathematical definition and constitutes the least fixed point of the functional $\Gamma$. We begin with important definitions.

Definition: A partial order (p.o.) is a set $P$ on which there is a binary relation $\sqsubseteq$ which is
(i) reflexive: $\forall p \in P . p \sqsubseteq p$,
(ii) transitive: $\forall p, q, r \in P .(p \sqsubseteq q) \wedge(q \sqsubseteq r) \Longrightarrow(p \sqsubseteq r)$, and
(iii) antisymmetric: $\forall p, q \in P .(p \sqsubseteq q) \wedge(q \sqsubseteq p) \Longrightarrow(p=q)$.

Definition: A p.o. ( $P, \sqsubseteq$ ) has a bottom element $\perp_{P}$ iff there exists an element $\perp_{P} \in P$ such that for all $p \in P, \perp_{P} \sqsubseteq p$.

Observe that $(\Sigma \rightharpoonup \Sigma, \subseteq)$ is a partial order because the subset relation $\subseteq$ is reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric. The empty set $\}$ (i.e., the partial function that is undefined for all inputs) is a bottom element of this partial order because the empty set is a subset of every set.

Definition: We say $p \in P$ is an upper bound of a subset $X \subseteq P$ iff $\forall q \in X . q \sqsubseteq p$.
Note that not every set of partial functions has an upper bound. For example, if $f(\sigma) \neq g(\sigma)$, then the set $\{f, g\}$ has no upper bound because there is no function $h$ such that $f \subseteq h$ and $g \subseteq h$. However, for any two partial functions such that $f \subseteq g, g$ is an upper bound of $\{f, g\}$.

Definition: We say $p$ is a least upper bound of $X$, written $p=\bigsqcup X$, if $p$ is an upper bound of $X$ and $p \sqsubseteq q$ for all upper bounds $q$ of $X$. We also denote the least upper bound of two elements $p, q \in P$ as $p \sqcup q$.

In the above example, $g$ is also a least upper bound for $\{f, g\}$ because $g=f \cup g$.
Definition: An $\omega$-chain of a partial order $(P, \sqsubseteq)$ is an infinite sequence $p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots \in P$ such that $p_{0} \sqsubseteq p_{1} \sqsubseteq \cdots$.

Recall that we proved in class that $\perp \subseteq \Gamma(\perp) \subseteq \Gamma^{2}(\perp) \subseteq \cdots$ is a family of nested subsets. Therefore, $\perp, \Gamma(\perp), \Gamma^{2}(\perp), \ldots$ is an $\omega$-chain for $(\Sigma \rightharpoonup \Sigma, \subseteq)$.

Definition: A partial order ( $P, \sqsubseteq$ ) is a complete partial order (cpo) iff every $\omega$-chain $p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots \in P$ has a least upper bound $\bigsqcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i} \in P$.

Observe that $(\Sigma \rightharpoonup \Sigma, \subseteq)$ is a cpo because for every $\omega$-chain, the infinite union of all partial functions in the chain is also a partial function in $\Sigma \rightharpoonup \Sigma$. That infinite union is a least upper bound of the chain. For example, $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma^{i}(\perp)$ is a least upper bound for the chain $\perp, \Gamma(\perp), \Gamma^{2}(\perp), \ldots \in \Sigma \rightharpoonup \Sigma$.

Definition: A function $f: P \rightarrow P$ is monotonic iff for all $p, q \in P, p \sqsubseteq q \Longrightarrow f(p) \sqsubseteq f(q)$.
Theorem. Functional $\Gamma$ is monotonic.
The proof is simple, and is left as an exercise to the reader.
Definition: A function $f: P \rightarrow P$ is continuous iff it is monotonic and for all $\omega$-chains $p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots \in P$, we have

$$
\bigsqcup_{i \geq 0} f\left(p_{i}\right)=f\left(\bigsqcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)
$$

Theorem. Functional $\Gamma$ is continuous.
Proof. Let $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots \in \Sigma \rightharpoonup \Sigma$ be an arbitrary $\omega$-chain in cpo $(\Sigma \rightharpoonup \Sigma, \subseteq)$. The proof that $\Gamma$ is continuous consists of two parts: First we prove that if $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma\left(p_{i}\right)$ then $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)$. This proves that $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma\left(p_{i}\right) \subseteq \Gamma\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)$. Next we prove that if $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)$ then $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma\left(p_{i}\right)$. This proves that $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma\left(p_{i}\right) \supseteq \Gamma\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)$. We conclude therefore that $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma\left(p_{i}\right)=\Gamma\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)$.

Proof of $\subseteq$ direction: Let $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma\left(p_{i}\right)$ be given. Thus, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma\left(p_{n}\right)$. Since $p_{n} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}$, it follows from the monotonicity of $\Gamma$ that $\Gamma\left(p_{n}\right) \subseteq$ $\Gamma\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)$. Therefore $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)$.

Proof of $\supseteq$ direction: Now instead let $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)$ be given. From the definition of $\Gamma$, we know there are two possible cases:

Case 1: If $\mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma=F$ then $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma$. Since $\{(\sigma, \sigma) \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma=F\}$ is a subset of $\Gamma(x)$ for every set $x$, it follows that $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma\left(p_{i}\right)$.
Case 2: If $\mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma=T$ then $\sigma^{\prime}=\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}\right)(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma)$. Thus, $\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \bigcup_{i \geq 0} p_{i}$, so there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \bar{p}_{n}$. Since $\mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma=T$, it follows from the definition of $\Gamma$ that $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma\left(p_{n}\right)$. We conclude that $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \in \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma\left(p_{i}\right)$.

Definition: Let $f: P \rightarrow P$ be a continuous function on a cpo $P$. A fixed point of $f$ is an element $p \in P$ such that $f(p)=p$.

Theorem (Knaster-Tarski Fixed-Point Theorem): Let $f: P \rightarrow P$ be a continuous function on a cpo $P$ with bottom $\perp$. Then $\bigsqcup_{i \geq 0} f^{i}(\perp)$ is a least fixed point of $f$.

From the fixed-point theorem we conclude that $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma^{i}(\perp)$ is a least fixed point of $\Gamma$.

