Axiomatic Semantics CS 4301/6371: Advanced Programming Languages

Kevin W. Hamlen

April 18, 2024

Roadmap

- Operational Semantics
 - large-step and small-step varieties
 - formally defines the operation of a machine that executes the program
- Denotational Semantics
 - defines the mathematical object (i.e., function) that a program denotes
- Static Semantics (Type Theory)
 - a *static analysis* that prevents certain runtime errors ("stuck states")
- Today: Axiomatic Semantics

Axiomatic Semantics

- Goal: We wish to prove complete correctness of mission-critical code.
 - Type-theory too weak* (just proves soundness)
 - Operational semantics requires us to step outside the derivation system to prove things about derivations. Non-derivation parts cannot be machine-checked.
 - Denotational semantics creates a massive mathematical object that encodes all memory states (too hard to reason about).
- Solution: Axiomatic Semantics
 - inference rules that encapsulate the entire correctness proof into a derivation
 - Derivation is fully machine-checkable, so no reliance on (error-prone) humans writing perfect proofs or perfectly checking proofs.

*Actually, advanced type systems like λ_C encode an entire axiomatic semantics into the type system, but let's classify that as type theory + axiomatic semantics.

Two Kinds of Correctness

Partial Correctness

- Notation: $\{A\}c\{B\}$ (called a *Hoare triple*)
- If A is true before executing c, and if c terminates, then B is true after executing c.
- A is precondition, and B is postcondition
- Total Correctness
 - Notation: [A]c[B]
 - If A is true before executing c, then c eventually terminates and B is true once it does.

1
$$\{x \le 10\}$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1{?}

1 $\{x \le 10\}$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $\{x = 11\}$

- 1 { $x \leq 10$ }while x <= 10 do x := x + 1{x = 11}
- **2** $[x \le 10]$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1[?]

1
$$\{x \le 10\}$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $\{x = 11\}$

2 $[x \leq 10]$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1[x = 11]

- 1 $\{x \le 10\}$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $\{x = 11\}$
- 2 $[x \leq 10]$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1[x = 11]
- **3** [T] while x <= 10 do x := x + 1[?]

- 1 ${x \le 10}$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 ${x = 11}$
- 2 $[x \leq 10]$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1[x = 11]
- $\texttt{I} \ [T] \texttt{while } \texttt{x} \mathrel{<=} 10 \texttt{ do } \texttt{x} \mathrel{:=} \texttt{x} \mathrel{+} 1[x \geq 11]$

1
$${x \le 10}$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 ${x = 11}$

2
$$[x \leq 10]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x = 11]$

3
$$[T]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x \ge 11]$

4
$$[x = \overline{i}]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1[?]

1
$$\{x \le 10\}$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $\{x = 11\}$

2
$$[x \le 10]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x = 11]$

3
$$[T]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x \ge 11]$

4
$$[x = \overline{i}]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x = \max(11, \overline{i})]$

- 1 ${x \le 10}$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 ${x = 11}$
- 2 $[x \leq 10]$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1[x = 11]

3
$$[T]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x \ge 11]$

4
$$[x = \overline{i}]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x = \max(11, \overline{i})]$

5 $\{T\}$ while true do skip $\{F\}$

- 1 ${x \le 10}$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 ${x = 11}$
- 2 $[x \leq 10] \texttt{while x <=} 10 \texttt{ do x := x +} 1[x = 11]$

3
$$[T]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x \ge 11]$

4
$$[x = \overline{i}]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x = \max(11, \overline{i})]$

- 5 $\{T\}$ while true do skip $\{F\}$
 - {any A}any non-terminating program{any B}

1 ${x \le 10}$ while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 ${x = 11}$

2
$$[x \leq 10]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x = 11]$

3
$$[T]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x \ge 11]$

4
$$[x = \overline{i}]$$
 while x <= 10 do x := x + 1 $[x = \max(11, \overline{i})]$

5
$$\{T\}$$
 while true do skip $\{F\}$

• {any A}any non-terminating program{any B}

6 $\{F\}$ any program $\{any B\}$

Language of Assertions

First-order logic with arithmetic:

arithmetic exps
$$a ::= n \mid v \mid \bar{v} \mid a_1 + a_2 \mid a_1 - a_2 \mid a_1 * a_2$$
assertions $A ::= T \mid F \mid a_1 = a_2 \mid a_1 \leq a_2 \mid A_1 \land A_2$ $\mid A_1 \lor A_2 \mid \neg A \mid A \Rightarrow A_2 \mid \forall \bar{v}.A \mid \exists \bar{v}.A$

- Meta-variables (v
) are mathematical variables (not program variables) that have fixed (arbitrary) integer values across all assertions.
- From these one can construct all functions and logical operators, so we will freely use extensions to the above.
 - But if you write something extremely exotic, I reserve the right to challenge you on whether it can actually be expressed using the above.

Hoare Logic

- First published by Tony Hoare [1969]
 - First and most famous axiomatic semantics
 - "An axiomatic basis for computer programming"
 - Often cited as one of the greatest CS papers of all time (only 6 pages long!)
 - Optional: read the original paper (linked from course web site)
- Adaption to SIMPL consists of...
 - six axioms (rules) describing SIMPL programs
 - inference rules of first-order logic
 - axioms of arithmetic (e.g., Peano arithmetic)

Skip Rule

$$\overline{\{A\}\mathsf{skip}\{\,?\,\}}^{(1)}$$

Skip Rule

 $\overline{\{A\} {\rm skip}\{A\}}^{(1)}$

Sequence Rule

 $(2) = \{A\}c_1; c_2\{B\}$

Sequence Rule

$$\frac{\{A\}c_1\{C\}}{\{A\}c_1;c_2\{B\}}$$
(2)

 $\overline{\{A\}}$ if b then c_1 else $c_2\{B\}$

$$\frac{\{A\}c_1\{B\}}{\{A\}\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2\{B\}}(3a)$$
$$\frac{\{A\}c_2\{B\}}{\{A\}\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2\{B\}}(3b)$$

$$\frac{\{A\}c_1\{B\}}{\{A\}\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2\{B\}}(3a)$$
$$\frac{\{A\}c_2\{B\}}{\{A\}\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2\{B\}}(3b)$$

Problem: These rules can derive false assertions (unsound)!

$$\frac{\{T\}x := 0\{x = 0\}}{\{T\} \text{if } x \le 0 \text{ then } x := 0 \text{ else skip}\{x = 0\}}$$
(3a)

$$\frac{\{A\}c_1\{B\}}{\{A\}\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2\{B\}}(3)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \{A\}c_1\{B\} & \{A\}c_2\{B\} \\ \{A\} \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2\{B\} \end{array} (3)$$

Problem: This rule cannot derive some true assertions (incomplete)!

$$\frac{\vdots}{\{T\}\mathtt{x}:=0\{x\geq 0\}} \qquad \frac{?}{\{T\}\mathtt{skip}\{x\geq 0\}} (3)$$
$$\frac{}{\{T\}\mathtt{if}\ \mathtt{x}\leq 0\ \mathtt{then}\ \mathtt{x}:=0\ \mathtt{else}\ \mathtt{skip}\{x\geq 0\}}$$

Solves completeness problem without sacrificing soundness:

$$\frac{\{T \land x \le 0\} \mathtt{x} := 0 \{x \ge 0\}}{\{T \land \neg (x \le 0)\} \mathtt{skip} \{x \ge 0\}}$$
(3)
$$\frac{\{T\} \mathtt{if} \mathtt{x} \le 0 \mathtt{ then } \mathtt{x} := 0 \mathtt{else skip} \{x \ge 0\}}{\{T\} \mathtt{if} \mathtt{x} \le 0}$$

$$\frac{1}{\{A\}v := a\{?\}}^{(4)}$$

$$\overline{\{A\}v := a\{?\}}^{(4)}$$

$${x > 10}x := x + 1{x > 11}$$

$$\overline{\{A\}v := a\{B\}}^{(4)}$$

where B = A with all a's replaced with v?

$${x > 10}x := x + 1{x > 11}$$

$$\overline{\{A\}v := a\{B\}}^{(4)}$$

where B = A with all a's replaced with v?

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} &\{x>10\}\mathbf{x}:=\mathbf{x}+1\{x>11\}\\ \text{equivalent}\\ & \\ &\{x+1>11\}\mathbf{x}:=\mathbf{x}+1\{x>11\} \end{split}$$

$$\frac{1}{\{ ? \}v := a\{B\}}$$
(4)

$$\overline{\{B[a/v]\}v:=a\{B\}}^{(4)}$$

While Rule

 $\{A\} \texttt{while} \ b \ \texttt{do} \ c\{B\}$

While Rule

 $\frac{\{A\} \text{if } b \text{ then } (c \text{; while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}\{B\}}{\{A\} \text{while } b \text{ do } c\{B\}} (5)$

While Rule

$$\frac{\{A \land b\}c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c\{B\} \qquad \{A \land \neg b\} \text{skip}\{B\}}{\{A\} \text{if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}\{B\}}_{\{A\} \text{while } b \text{ do } c\{B\}} (5)$$

$$\frac{\{A \land b\}c\{C\} \quad \{C\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c\{B\}}{\{A \land b\}c; \text{ while } b \text{ do } c\{B\}} (2) \quad \{A \land \neg b\} \text{skip}\{B\}}{\{A\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{ while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else } \text{skip}\{B\}}_{\{A\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c\{B\}} (5)}$$

$$\frac{\{A \land b\}c\{C\} \quad \overline{\{C\}\text{ while } b \text{ do } c\{B\}}^{(5)}}{\frac{\{A \land b\}c; \text{ while } b \text{ do } c\{B\}}{\left\{A\}\text{ if } b \text{ then } (c; \text{ while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else } \text{skip}\{B\}}{\{A\}\text{ while } b \text{ do } c\}} (3)$$

:

 $\{A\}$ while b do $c\{$? }

$$\frac{\{A \wedge b\}c\{A\}}{\{A\} \text{while } b \text{ do } c\{ \ ? \ \}} (5)$$

$$\frac{\{A \wedge b\}c\{A\}}{\{A\} \text{while } b \text{ do } c\{ \qquad A\}} (5)$$

$$\frac{\{A \land b\}c\{A\}}{\{A\} \text{while } b \text{ do } c\{\neg b \land A\}} (5)$$

$$\frac{\{I \land b\}c\{I\}}{\{I\} \text{while } b \text{ do } c\{\neg b \land I\}} (5)$$

I is called a loop invariant

Recall that we earlier needed a way to prove (derive) equivalence of assertions:

Recall that we earlier needed a way to prove (derive) equivalence of assertions:

Rule of Consequence:

$$\frac{\{A'\}c\{B'\}}{\{A\}c\{B\}} \tag{6}$$

Recall that we earlier needed a way to prove (derive) equivalence of assertions:

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} &\{x>10\}\mathbf{x}:=\mathbf{x}+1\{x>11\}\\ \text{equivalent}\\ & \\ &\{x+1>11\}\mathbf{x}:=\mathbf{x}+1\{x>11\} \end{split}$$

Rule of Consequence:

$$\frac{\models A \Rightarrow A' \qquad \{A'\}c\{B'\}}{\{A\}c\{B\}} \tag{6}$$

 \models with nothing to the left means implication is **universally true** (i.e., not merely true in this program or loop)

 $\blacksquare \models A \Rightarrow A' \quad \longleftarrow \mathsf{Assumptions} \text{ may be safely weakened}$

Recall that we earlier needed a way to prove (derive) equivalence of assertions:

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} &\{x>10\}\mathbf{x}:=\mathbf{x}+1\{x>11\}\\ \text{equivalent}\\ & \\ &\{x+1>11\}\mathbf{x}:=\mathbf{x}+1\{x>11\} \end{split}$$

Rule of Consequence:

$$\frac{\models A \Rightarrow A' \qquad \{A'\}c\{B'\} \qquad \models B' \Rightarrow B}{\{A\}c\{B\}}$$
(6)

 \models with nothing to the left means implication is **universally true** (i.e., not merely true in this program or loop)

- $\blacksquare \models A \Rightarrow A' \quad \longleftarrow \mathsf{Assumptions} \text{ may be safely weakened}$
- $\blacksquare \models B' \Rightarrow B \quad \longleftarrow \mathsf{Conclusions} \text{ (goals) may be safely strengthened}$

Advanced Programming Languages

Rule of Consequence Example

 $\{x>10\}{\tt x}\!:=\!{\tt x}\!+\!1\{x>11\}$

Rule of Consequence Example

2

$$\frac{1}{\models x > 10 \Rightarrow x + 1 > 11} \frac{1}{\{x + 1 > 11\}\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} + 1\{x > 11\}} (4) \frac{1}{\models x > 11 \Rightarrow x > 11}}{\{x > 10\}\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} + 1\{x > 11\}} (6)$$

:

Rule of Consequence Example

2

$$\frac{1}{|x| + 1 > 11} \frac{1}{\{x + 1 > 11\} x = x + 1\{x > 11\}} (4) \frac{1}{|x| + 1 \Rightarrow x > 11}}{\{x > 10\} x = x + 1\{x > 11\}} (6)$$

.

When you write axiomatic derivations in this class:

- You are **not** required to write out the derivations of consequence premises $(\models A)$.
- I assume those are derivable using the laws of propositional logic and integer arithmetic.
- But make sure your implications $X \Rightarrow Y$ are **universally true**!

Axiomatic Semantics of SIMPL

$$\overline{\{A\}} \operatorname{skip}\{A\}^{(1)} \qquad \overline{\{B[a/v]\}} v := a\{B\}^{(4)}$$

$$\frac{\{A\}c_1\{C\} \quad \{C\}c_2\{B\}}{\{A\}c_1; c_2\{B\}} (2) \qquad \qquad \frac{\{I \land b\}c\{I\}}{\{I\} \text{while } b \text{ do } c\{\neg b \land I\}} (5)$$

$$\frac{\{A \land b\}c_1\{B\} \quad \{A \land \neg b\}c_2\{B\}}{\{A\} \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2\{B\}} (3) \quad \frac{\models A \Rightarrow A' \quad \{A'\}c\{B'\} \quad \models B' \Rightarrow B}{\{A\}c\{B\}} (6)$$