

Axiomatic Derivations

CS 4301/6371: Advanced Programming Languages

Kevin W. Hamlen

April 23, 2024

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$\{A\}$ **if** $x \leq y$ **then** $(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y$ **else skip** $\{B\}$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\} \qquad \{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\mathbf{skip}\{B\}}{\{A\}\mathbf{if } x \leq y \mathbf{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \mathbf{ else skip}\{B\}} \quad (3)$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\} (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \{B\} \quad \frac{\models ? \overline{\{?\} \text{skip} \{?\}}^{(1)} \models ? \Rightarrow B}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\} \text{skip} \{B\}}^{(3)}}{\{A\} \text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip} \{B\}}^{(6)}$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\} \quad \frac{\overline{\models W_1 \{B\} \text{skip}\{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \models B \Rightarrow B}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\} \text{skip}\{B\}}^{(3)}}{\{A\} \text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip}\{B\}}^{(6)}$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\} \quad \frac{\overline{\models W_1 \{B\} \text{skip}\{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \models B \Rightarrow B}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\} \text{skip}\{B\}}^{(3)}}{\{A\} \text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip}\{B\}}^{(6)}$$



Is W_1 universally true?

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\} \quad \frac{\models W_1 \overline{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \models B \Rightarrow B}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}}^{(3)}}{\{A\}\text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip}\{B\}}^{(6)}$$



Is W_1 universally true?

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge y < x) \implies (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$\frac{\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\} x := x + y; y := x - y \{ ? \} \quad \{ ? \} x := x - y \{ B \}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\} (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \{ B \}} (2) \quad \frac{\overline{\{B\} \text{skip} \{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \vdash B \Rightarrow B}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\} \text{skip} \{B\}} (6)}{\{A\} \text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip} \{B\}} (3)$$



Is W_1 universally true?

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge y < x) \implies (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$C_1 \equiv B[x - y/x]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y; y := x - y\{C_1\} \quad \overline{\{C_1\}x := x - y\{B\}}^{(4)}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\}}^{(2)} \quad \frac{\overline{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \overline{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}}^{(3)}}{\overline{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \overline{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}}^{(3)}} \quad \overline{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \overline{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}}^{(3)}}{\{A\}\text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip}\{B\}}^{(6)}$$



Is W_1 universally true?

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge y < x) \implies (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$C_1 \equiv B[x - y/x]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y\{ ? \} \quad \{ ? \}y := x - y\{C_1\}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y; y := x - y\{C_1\}} \quad (2) \quad \frac{\{C_1\}x := x - y\{B\}}{\{C_1\}x := x - y\{B\}} \quad (4) \quad \frac{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}}{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}} \quad (1) \quad \frac{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}}{B \Rightarrow B} \quad (1)}{\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y; y := x - y\{C_1\}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\}} \quad (2) \quad \frac{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}} \quad (3)}{\{A\}\text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip}\{B\}} \quad (6)$$



Is W_1 universally true?

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge y < x) \implies (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$C_1 \equiv B[x - y/x]$$

$$C_2 \equiv C_1[x - y/y]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y\{C_2\}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y; y := x - y\{C_1\}} \quad \overline{\{C_2\}y := x - y\{C_1\}}^{(4)}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y; y := x - y\{C_1\}} \quad \overline{\{C_1\}x := x - y\{B\}}^{(4)}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\}} \quad \overline{\{B\}\mathbf{skip}\{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \overline{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\mathbf{skip}\{B\}}^{(3)}}{\{A\}\mathbf{if } x \leq y \mathbf{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \mathbf{ else skip}\{B\}} \quad \overline{\{B\}\mathbf{skip}\{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \overline{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\mathbf{skip}\{B\}}^{(3)}}^{(6)} \quad \overline{\{C_1\}x := x - y\{B\}}^{(2)} \quad \overline{\{B\}\mathbf{skip}\{B\}}^{(1)} \quad \overline{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\mathbf{skip}\{B\}}^{(3)}}^{(6)}$$



Is W_1 universally true?

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge y < x) \implies (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$C_1 \equiv B[x - y/x]$$

$$C_2 \equiv C_1[x - y/y]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{ ? \} x := x + y \{ C_2 \}}^{(4)}}{\{ A \wedge x \leq y \} x := x + y \{ C_2 \}}^{(6)} \quad \frac{\overline{\{ C_2 \} y := x - y \{ C_1 \}}^{(4)}}{\{ C_2 \} y := x - y \{ C_1 \}}^{(2)}}{\{ A \wedge x \leq y \} x := x + y; y := x - y \{ C_1 \}}^{(4)} \quad \frac{\overline{\{ C_1 \} x := x - y \{ B \}}^{(4)}}{\{ C_1 \} x := x - y \{ B \}}^{(2)} \quad \frac{\overline{\{ B \} \text{skip} \{ B \}}^{(1)}}{\{ B \} \text{skip} \{ B \}}^{(1)} \quad \frac{\overline{\{ A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \} \text{skip} \{ B \}}^{(3)}}{\{ A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \} \text{skip} \{ B \}}^{(3)} \quad \frac{\overline{\{ A \wedge x \leq y \} x := x + y; y := x - y \{ C_1 \}}^{(4)} \quad \frac{\overline{\{ C_1 \} x := x - y \{ B \}}^{(2)} \quad \frac{\overline{\{ A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \} \text{skip} \{ B \}}^{(3)}}{\{ A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \} \text{skip} \{ B \}}^{(3)}}{\{ A \wedge x \leq y \} (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \{ B \}}^{(2)} \quad \frac{\overline{\{ A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \} \text{skip} \{ B \}}^{(3)}}{\{ A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \} \text{skip} \{ B \}}^{(3)}}{\{ A \} \text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip} \{ B \}}^{(6)}$$



Is W_1 universally true?

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge y < x) \implies (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j}) \\
 B &\equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \\
 W_1 &\equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B \\
 C_1 &\equiv B[x - y/x] \\
 C_2 &\equiv C_1[x - y/y] \\
 C_3 &\equiv C_2[x + y/x]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \vdash A \wedge x \leq y \Rightarrow C_3 \quad \vdash C_2 \Rightarrow C_2 \\
 \downarrow \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash A \wedge x \leq y \Rightarrow C_3}{\{C_3\}x := x + y\{C_2\}}(4)}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y\{C_2\}}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y; y := x - y\{C_1\}}(6)}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y; y := x - y\{C_1\}}(2)}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\}}(2)} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash C_2 \Rightarrow C_2}{\{C_2\}y := x - y\{C_1\}}(4)}{\{C_2\}y := x - y\{C_1\}}}{\{C_1\}x := x - y\{B\}}(2)}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}}(1)}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}}(3)}{\{A\}\text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip}\{B\}}(6)}
 \end{array}$$



Is W_1 universally true?

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge y < x) \implies (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$C_1 \equiv B[x - y/x]$$

$$C_2 \equiv C_1[x - y/y]$$

$$C_3 \equiv C_2[x + y/x]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash A \wedge x \leq y \Rightarrow C_3 \quad \vdash C_2 \Rightarrow C_2}{\downarrow \frac{\{C_3\}x := x + y\{C_2\}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y\{C_2\}} \text{ (4)} \downarrow \text{ (6)} \frac{\{C_2\}y := x - y\{C_1\}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y; y := x - y\{C_1\}} \text{ (2)} \frac{\{C_1\}x := x - y\{B\}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\}} \text{ (2)} \frac{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}} \text{ (1)} \frac{\vdash B \Rightarrow B}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}} \text{ (3)} \text{ (6)}}{\{A\}\text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip}\{B\}}$$



Is W_1 universally true?

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge y < x) \implies (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$



Is $A \wedge x \leq y \Rightarrow C_3$ universally true?

Axiomatic Derivation Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \vdash A \wedge x \leq y \Rightarrow C_3 \qquad \vdash C_2 \Rightarrow C_2 \\
 \downarrow \frac{\{C_3\}x := x + y\{C_2\}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y\{C_2\}} (4) \qquad \downarrow (6) \frac{\{C_2\}y := x - y\{C_1\}}{\{C_2\}y := x - y\{C_1\}} (4) \\
 \frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}x := x + y; y := x - y\{C_1\}}{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\}} (2) \qquad \frac{\{C_1\}x := x - y\{B\}}{\{C_1\}x := x - y\{B\}} (4) \qquad \frac{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}}{\{B\}\text{skip}\{B\}} (1) \qquad \frac{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}}{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}} (3) \\
 \frac{\{A \wedge x \leq y\}(x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y\{B\}}{\{A\}\text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip}\{B\}} (2) \qquad \frac{\{A \wedge \neg(x \leq y)\}\text{skip}\{B\}}{\{A\}\text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } (x := x + y; y := x - y); x := x - y \text{ else skip}\{B\}} (6)
 \end{array}$$

$$A \equiv (x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j})$$

$$B \equiv (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j}))$$

$$W_1 \equiv A \wedge \neg(x \leq y) \Rightarrow B$$

$$C_1 \equiv B[x - y/x]$$

$$C_2 \equiv C_1[x - y/y]$$

$$C_3 \equiv C_2[x + y/x]$$



Is W_1 universally true?

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge y < x) \implies (x = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$



Is $A \wedge x \leq y \Rightarrow C_3$ universally true?

$$C_3 \equiv C_2[x + y] \equiv C_1[x - y/y][x + y/x] \equiv B[x - y/x][x - y/y][x + y/x]$$

$$\equiv (x + y) - ((x + y) - y) = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge (x + y) - y = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})$$

$$\equiv y = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge x = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})$$

$$(x = \bar{i} \wedge y = \bar{j} \wedge x \leq y) \implies (y = \max(\bar{i}, \bar{j}) \wedge x = \min(\bar{i}, \bar{j})) \quad \checkmark$$

Building Axiomatic Derivations

- Work bottom-up, right-to-left.
- Never use the Rule of Consequence (6) unless no other rule applies.
 - Never use the Rule of Consequence more than once consecutively.
- When using Rule of Consequence, double-check its premises are universally true (and show work for partial credit).
 - No need to show explicit derivations for models premises, though.
- Each rule must be applied verbatim.
 - No simplifications of expressions, no rearrangement of conjuncts, no renaming of variables, etc.
 - But you may define and use abbreviations (e.g., $A \equiv \dots$) to shorten writing.
 - Simplifications and rearrangements of terms are for Rule of Consequence.
- With this procedure your derivation-building process should be essentially deterministic (no choices) except for while-loops.

While Loops

- Proving correctness of loops is the hard part.
 - Distills down to one central problem: What is the loop invariant?
 - This is the central challenge for almost all program verification.
- Illustration by example (next slide)

Example of While-loop Verification

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } \overbrace{1 \leq x}^b \text{ do } \underbrace{(y := y + x; x := x - 1)}_w \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$\{A\}w\{B\}$$

Example of While-loop Verification

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } \underbrace{1 \leq x}_b \text{ do } \underbrace{(y := y + x; x := x - 1)}_c \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$\frac{\vdash A \Rightarrow I \quad \frac{\{I \wedge b\}c\{I\}}{\{I\}w\{\neg b \wedge I\}} \text{ (5)} \quad \vdash (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B}{\{A\}w\{B\}} \text{ (6)}$$

Example of While-loop Verification

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } \underbrace{1 \leq x}_b \text{ do } \underbrace{(y := y + x; x := x - 1)}_w \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{I \wedge b\}y := y + x\{?\}}{\{I \wedge b\}c\{I\}} \quad \frac{\{?\}x := x - 1\{I\}}{(2)}}{\{I\}w\{\neg b \wedge I\}} \quad (5)}{\{A\}w\{B\}} \quad \frac{\{A\}w\{B\}}{\vdash A \Rightarrow I} \quad \frac{\vdash (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B}{(6)}$$

Example of While-loop Verification

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } \underbrace{1 \leq x}_b \text{ do } \underbrace{(y := y + x; x := x - 1)}_w \{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$C \equiv I[x - 1/x]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{I \wedge b\}y := y + x\{C\}}{\{C\}x := x - 1\{I\}} \quad (4)}{\{I \wedge b\}c\{I\}} \quad (5)}{\{I\}w\{\neg b \wedge I\}} \quad (6)}{\frac{\vdash A \Rightarrow I}{\{A\}w\{B\}} \quad \vdash (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B} \quad (6)$$

Example of While-loop Verification

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } \underbrace{1 \leq x}_b \text{ do } \underbrace{(y := y + x; x := x - 1)}_w \{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$C \equiv I[x - 1/x]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash I \wedge b \Rightarrow ? \quad \{?\}y := y + x\{?\}}{\{I \wedge b\}y := y + x\{C\}} \quad \frac{\vdash ? \Rightarrow C}{\{C\}x := x - 1\{I\}}}{\frac{\{I \wedge b\}c\{I\}}{\{I\}w\{\neg b \wedge I\}}} \quad (6) \quad (4) \quad (2) \quad (5)}{\frac{\vdash A \Rightarrow I}{\{A\}w\{B\}} \quad \vdash (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B} \quad (6)$$

Example of While-loop Verification

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } \underbrace{1 \leq x}_b \text{ do } \underbrace{(y := y + x; x := x - 1)}_w \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$C \equiv I[x - 1/x]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash I \wedge b \Rightarrow ? \quad \overline{\{?\}y := y + x\{C\}}^{(4)}}{\{I \wedge b\}y := y + x\{C\}} \quad \frac{\vdash C \Rightarrow C \quad \overline{\{C\}x := x - 1\{I\}}^{(4)}}{\{C\}x := x - 1\{I\}}^{(2)}}{\frac{\{I \wedge b\}c\{I\}}{\{I\}w\{\neg b \wedge I\}}^{(5)}}}{\frac{\vdash A \Rightarrow I \quad \frac{\{I \wedge b\}c\{I\}}{\{I\}w\{\neg b \wedge I\}}^{(5)} \quad \vdash (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B}{\{A\}w\{B\}}^{(6)}}^{(6)}$$

Example of While-loop Verification

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } \underbrace{1 \leq x}_b \text{ do } \underbrace{(y := y + x; x := x - 1)}_w \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$C \equiv I[x - 1/x]$$

$$I' \equiv C[y + x/y]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I' \quad \overline{\{I'\}y := y + x\{C\}} \quad (4)}{\{I \wedge b\}y := y + x\{C\}} \quad (6)}{\{C\}x := x - 1\{I\}} \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\models A \Rightarrow I \quad \frac{\{I \wedge b\}c\{I\}}{\{I\}w\{\neg b \wedge I\}} \quad (5)}{\{A\}w\{B\}} \quad \models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B \quad (6)$$

Example of While-loop Verification

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } \underbrace{1 \leq x}_b \text{ do } \underbrace{(y := y + x; x := x - 1)}_w \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$C \equiv I[x - 1/x]$$

$$I' \equiv C[y + x/y]$$

$$\frac{\frac{\textcircled{1} \models A \Rightarrow I}{\textcircled{2} \models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'} \frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{I'\}y := y + x\{C\}} \quad (4)}{\{I \wedge b\}y := y + x\{C\}} \quad (6)}{\{I \wedge b\}c\{I\}} \quad (5)}{\{I\}w\{\neg b \wedge I\}} \quad (2)}{\{A\}w\{B\}} \quad (6) \quad \textcircled{3} \models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1 $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2 $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3 $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1 $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2 $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3 $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1** $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2** $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3** $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y &= \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \\ &\Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1** $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2** $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3** $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

$$\neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \checkmark$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1** $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2** $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3** $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

$$x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$$

$$\neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \checkmark$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1** $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2** $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3** $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

$$x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \times$$

$$\neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \checkmark$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1** $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2** $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3** $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

$$x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \times$$

$$y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$$

$$\neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \checkmark$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1** $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2** $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3** $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

$$x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \times$$

$$y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \times$$

$$\neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \checkmark$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1 $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2 $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3 $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1 $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2 $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3 $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1 $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2 $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3 $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \\ \Rightarrow y &= \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1 $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant.
- 2 $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration.
- 3 $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

$$x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \quad \checkmark$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1 $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant. $x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0$
 $\Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$ ✓
- 2 $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration. $y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x$
 $\Rightarrow y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)x/2$
- 3 $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1** $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant. $x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0$
 $\Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$ ✓
- 2** $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration. $y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x$
 $\Rightarrow y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)x/2$ ✓
- 3** $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition.

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- 1** $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant. $x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0$
 $\Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$ ✓
- 2** $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration. $y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x$
 $\Rightarrow y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)x/2$ ✓
- 3** $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition. $\neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$
 $\Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- ❶ $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant. $x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0$
 $\Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$ ✓
- ❷ $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration. $y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x$
 $\Rightarrow y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)x/2$ ✓
- ❸ $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition. $\neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$
 $\Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$ ✗
counter-example: $x = -10, y = 0, \bar{i} = 9$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

- ❶ $\models A \Rightarrow I$ The precondition must imply the invariant. $x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0$
 $\Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$ ✓
- ❷ $\models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$ The invariant must be preserved by each loop iteration. $y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x$
 $\Rightarrow y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)x/2$ ✓
- ❸ $\models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$ The invariant with the loop termination condition must be enough to prove the postcondition. $\neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$
 $\Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2$ ✗
counter-example: $x = -10, y = 0, \bar{i} = 9$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

$$\textcircled{1} \models A \Rightarrow I$$

$$\begin{aligned} x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$$

$$\begin{aligned} y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x \wedge 0 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)x/2 \wedge 0 \leq x - 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$$

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x - 1$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

$$\textcircled{1} \models A \Rightarrow I$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$$

$$\begin{aligned} & y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x \wedge 0 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow & y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)x/2 \wedge 0 \leq x - 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow & y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x - 1$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

$$\textcircled{1} \models A \Rightarrow I$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$$

$$\begin{aligned} & y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x \wedge 0 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow & y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)x/2 \wedge 0 \leq x - 1 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow & y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x - 1$$

Finding the Loop Invariant

$$\underbrace{\{x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0\}}_A \text{ while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } (y := y + x; x := x - 1) \underbrace{\{y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2\}}_B$$

$$I \equiv ?$$

$$I' \equiv I[x - 1/x][y + x/y]$$

$$\textcircled{1} \models A \Rightarrow I$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x = \bar{i} \wedge 1 \leq \bar{i} \wedge y = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \models I \wedge b \Rightarrow I'$$

$$\begin{aligned} & y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 1 \leq x \wedge 0 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow & y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)x/2 \wedge 0 \leq x - 1 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \models (\neg b \wedge I) \Rightarrow B$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(1 \leq x) \wedge y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x \\ \Rightarrow & y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Example: Try $I \equiv y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x$

$$I' \equiv y + x = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - (x - 1)(x - 1 + 1)/2 \wedge 0 \leq x - 1$$

Two Kinds of Invariant Failure

- When ③ fails...
 - Invariant is too weak to prove the postcondition.
 - Need more/better information to know that loop computes correct results.
- When ① and/or ② fails...
 - Invariant is not even true (on every iteration)!
 - Can be thought of as being “too strong” (asserts things so powerful that they’re not true)
- Extreme cases:
 - $I = T$ (weakest possible invariant) guaranteed to satisfy ① and ②
 - $I = F$ (strongest possible invariant) guaranteed to satisfy ③
 - $I = B$ (postcondition) also guaranteed to satisfy ③ but almost never ① or ②

Tips for Finding Good Loop Invariants

- There is no magic procedure for finding a good invariant.
 - Need to understand *why* the program works
 - Think of a statement that's true before and after every iteration, and that somehow captures the “progress” that the loop is making toward a solution.
- Check whether your invariant satisfies the three criteria(!!!)
 - Really do the algebra; don't just guess that it seems true.
 - Don't use your knowledge of the loop when checking! Criteria must be *universally true* (i.e., pure algebraic proof with no appeal to code).
 - Identifying where criteria succeed and fail is worth significant partial credit.
- Many correct invariants consist of two pieces:
 - 1 “main part” captures loop's “progress” (e.g., $y = \bar{i}(\bar{i} + 1)/2 - x(x + 1)/2$)
 - 2 “boundary conditions” limit variable values (e.g., $0 \leq x$)
- Never introduce new, unquantified (meta-)variables in your invariant.
 - Example: Never define “ $I \equiv \dots n \dots$ where n is the number of loop iterations so far.”
 - Reason: Program does not “know” how many iterations so far. Somehow it is correct without tracking that.