Independent set of a gruph

is a subset of the ventices with no edge between two members of the subset

maximum independent set: given a graph G=(V,E).



Sappose Were given a tree T (an acyclic connected graph) N: # Vertices Let's root T. Ask is the root should be in the max ind set, <u>A</u>A<u>A</u>

IS no to root, recursively tind max set in each child subtree. Is yes to root, we cannot take children, so find max ind sets in grand child su 6trees MJS(v): size of max ind sot in subtree rooted at V.\_\_\_\_

Subproblems: One per ventex v in T.

Memoization: V.MIS for each node vin T

Dependencies: Children + grand children. Eval order: postorder r: rot of T MIS(~) Need to return Space: Oln) Time: O(n)

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathrm{MIS}(v):} \\ \text{for each child } w \text{ of } v \\ MIS(w) \\ withoutv \leftarrow 0 \\ \text{for each child } w \text{ of } v \\ withoutv \leftarrow withoutv + w.MIS \\ withv \leftarrow 1 \\ \text{for each grandchild } x \text{ of } v \\ withv \leftarrow withv + x.MIS \\ v.MIS \leftarrow \max \{withv, withoutv\} \\ \text{return } v.MIS \end{array}$ 



Class Scheduling;

Take as many chasses as possible so no two overlap in time,

(all in one day)

Given SEI..n]: start times F[1., n]: Sinish times

Chass is starts at SCiJt finishes at Flij

 $(0 \in S[i] \in F[i])$ 



Greedy strategy:

not shortest class

Earlies to Sinishv

Lemma: At least no maximal conflict free schedule inchades the class that finishes first.

Let S be the class that finishes first. Let X be a maximal

conflict free scheduling.

## If fex, were done! Otherwise let g be the first

# class to finish in X.

#### f finishes before g, so

# f does not conflict with any class in $X \ 293$ .

replace it with So remove g d f to get X. x' is conflict free + 1x' = 1x1

So, we sately grab f

#### as a greedy choice.

Remove those that conflict

From input set.

"Recursively" find best set

from rest of the input.



#### Greedy Algorithms:

Backtracking without going back.

Make first decision without

trying all choices,

Recarse.

Dono

-easy to think of plausible greedy algorithms -much harder to pick a correct one tjustify it

Proof is novally an exchange argument: ) Start with some optimal solution X. If X agrees with our greedy choice great! 2) Otherwise, do some kind of exchange so our choice goes into the optimal solution. 3) Argue solution is still Valid t optimal after the exchange.

You usually want to

## do dynamic programming insteal.

# I will warn you if you

# Should be greedy.