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## UTD Cryptosystems Based on DL

- DL is the underlying one-way function for
- Diffie-Hellman key exchange
- DSA (Digital signature algorithm)
- ElGamal encryption/digital signature algorithm
- Elliptic curve cryptosystems
- DL is defined over finite groups


## UTD Discrete Logarithm Problem

- Let $p$ be a prime and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be nonzero integers in $Z_{p}$ and suppose

$$
\beta \equiv \alpha^{\mathrm{x}} \bmod \mathrm{p}
$$

- The problem of finding $x$ is called the discrete logarithm problem.
- We can denote it as

$$
x=\log _{\alpha} \beta
$$

- Often, $\alpha$ is a primitive root mod $p$
- Reminder: $Z_{p}$ is a field $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$
- Addendum: $Z_{p}^{*}$ is a cyclic finite group $\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$
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## Example: Discrete Log

- Example:
- Let $p=11, \alpha=2$, and $\beta=9$.
- By exhaustive search,

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha^{x}$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 1 |

- $\log _{2} 9=6$.
- $\beta \equiv \alpha x \bmod p$.
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## Computing Discrete Log

- When $p$ is small, it is easy to compute discrete logarithms by exhaustive search.
- However, it is a hard problem to solve for primes $p$ with more than 200 digit.
- One-way function.
- It is easy to compute modular exponentiation
- But, it is hard to compute the inverse operation of the modular exponentiation, i.e. discrete log.


## The ElGamal PKC

- Based on the difficulty of discrete logarithm, was invented by Tahir ElGamal in 1985.
- Alice wants to send a message $m$ to Bob.
- Bob chooses a large prime $p$ and a primitive root $\alpha$.
- Assume $m$ is an integer $0<m<p$.
- Bob also picks a secret integer a and computes
$-\beta \equiv \alpha^{\mathrm{a}} \bmod \mathrm{p}$.
- $(p, \alpha, \beta)$ is Bob's public key.
- (a) is his private key


## UTD The ElGamal PKC: Protocol

Alice Bob

Chooses a secret integer k
Computes $r \equiv \alpha^{k} \bmod p$
Computes $t \equiv \beta^{k} \cdot m$ mod $p$
Sends (r, t) to Bob.
Computes $t \cdot r^{-a} \equiv \mathrm{~m} \bmod \mathrm{p}$
This works since
$\mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{r}^{-\mathrm{a}} \equiv \beta^{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot\left(\alpha^{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{-\mathrm{a}} \equiv\left(\alpha^{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot\left(\alpha^{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{-\mathrm{a}} \equiv \mathrm{m} \bmod \mathrm{p}$
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## Analysis of ElGamal PKC

- a must be kept secret.
- $k$ is a random integer,
$-\beta^{k}$ is also a random nonzero integer mod $p$.
- Therefore, $t \equiv \beta^{k} \cdot m$ mod $p$ is the message $m$ multiplied by a random integer.
-t is also a random integer
- Knowing r does not help either.
- If Eve knows k,
- she can calculate $t \cdot \beta^{-k} \equiv \mathrm{~m} \bmod \mathrm{p}$.
- $k$ must be secret


## Analysis of ElGamal PKC

- A different random k must be used for each message $m$.
- Assume Alice uses the same $k$ for two different messages $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{2}$,
- the corresponding ciphertexts are ( $r, t_{1}$ ) and $\left(r, t_{2}\right)$.
- If Eve finds out the plaintext $\mathrm{m}_{1}$, she can also determine $m_{2}$ as follows
$-\mathrm{t}_{1} / \mathrm{m}_{1} \equiv \beta^{\mathrm{k}} \equiv \mathrm{t}_{2} / \mathrm{m}_{2}(\bmod \mathrm{p})=>\mathrm{m}_{2} \equiv\left(\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{~m}_{1}\right) / \mathrm{t}_{1}$


## UTID Semantic Security (IND-CPA for Public Key Encryption)

- The IND-CPA game

Challenger
Adversary
picks a random key
pair (K, $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ ), and picks
random $b \in\{0,1\}$


## UTID <br> Semantic Security of ElGamal

- Note that the generic ElGamal encryption scheme is not semantically secure.
- We can infer whether a ciphertext is quadratic residue or not.
- We can use the above fact to come up with two message where one of them is a quadratic residue and the other one is a quadratic non-residue so that attacker has high advantage in distinguishing encryptions.
- The above attack does not work if $\beta$, every plaintext is quadratic residue and $p=2 q+1$ where $q$ is prime.
- It can be shown that this version is semantically secure if $D L$ is infeasible.


## UTID <br> CDH and DDH

- Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH)
- Given a multiplicative group (G, *), an element $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}$ having order q , given $\alpha^{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\alpha^{y}$, find $\alpha^{x y}$
- Decision Diffie-Hellman (DDH)
- Given a multiplicative group (G, *), an element $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}$ having order q , given $\alpha^{\mathrm{x}}, \alpha^{\mathrm{y}}$, and $\alpha^{z}$, determine if $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{xy}} \equiv \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{z}}$ mod n
- Discrete Log is at least as hard as CDH, which at least as hard as DDH.


## UTID <br> CDH and ElGamal

- Prove that any algorithm that solves CDH can be used to decrypt E/Gamal ciphertexts
- Proof Sketch: "=>" Assume that algorithm OracleCHD solves CDH and let $\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)$ be an ElGamal encryption and let public key $(p, \alpha, \beta)$ and $y_{1}=\alpha^{k} \bmod p$
$\gamma=\operatorname{OracleCDH}\left(\alpha, \beta, y_{1}\right)$ and


## UTID DDH => ElGamal

- Given DDH oracle, find two messages whose ElGamal encryptions can be distinguished
- For any two $x_{0}, x_{1}$ : $\left(\beta=\alpha^{a}\right)$
$-E\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha^{r}, x_{0} \beta^{r}, \quad E\left(x_{1}\right)=\alpha^{t}, x_{1} \beta^{t}$
- Suppose receive ciphertext $\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)$
- Feed $<y_{1}, \beta \alpha^{b}, y_{2} y_{1}{ }^{b} / x_{0}>$
- when $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ is $E\left(x_{0}\right)$, this is $<\alpha^{r}, \alpha^{a+b}, x_{0} \alpha^{r b} \alpha^{r b} / x_{0}>=$ $<\alpha^{r}, \alpha^{a+b}, \alpha^{r(a+b)}>$
- when $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ is $E\left(x_{1}\right)$, this is $<\alpha^{t}, \alpha^{a+b}, \alpha^{t(a+b)} x_{1} / x_{0}>$
- if the DDH oracle say yes, we say 0 , otherwise we say 1

