

One-way/Trapdoor Functions

- ★ For public key cryptography, we need functions
 - ► Easy to calculate, given the secret
 - ► Hard to invert if you do not know the secrets
- ★ We have few candidates
 - ▶ Discrete Logarithm
 - **▶** Factorization
 - ► Linear decoding



Discrete Logarithm Related Problems

- \bigstar Let G be a cyclic group where |G| = m
- \bigstar Let $g \in G$ be a generator of G
- \bigstar Discrete Logarithm function $DLog_{G,g}: G \mapsto Z_m$

$$DLog_{G,g}(a) = i : \text{ if } g^i = \underline{a}$$

Problem	Given	Figure out
Discrete logarithm (DL)	g^x	x
Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH)	g^x, g^y	g^{xy}
Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH)	g^x, g^y, g^z	Is $z \equiv xy \pmod{ G }$?

Discrete Logarithm Problem

- \bigstar Let G be a cyclic group where |G| = m
- \bigstar Let $g \in G$ be a generator of G
- \bigstar Let A be an algorithm that returns $i \in Z_m$
- ★ We consider the following experiment:

Experiment
$$\operatorname{Exp}^{\operatorname{dl}}_{G,g}(A)$$

 $x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_m \; ; \; X \leftarrow g^x$
 $\overline{x} \leftarrow A(X)$
If $g^{\overline{x}} = X$ then return 1 else return 0

The dl-advantage of A is defined as

$$\mathbf{Adv}^{\mathrm{dl}}_{G,g}(A) = \Pr\left[\mathbf{Exp}^{\mathrm{dl}}_{G,g}(A) = 1\right]$$
.

UTD

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- \bigstar Let G be a cyclic group where |G| = m
- \bigstar Let $g \in G$ be a generator of G
- $\bigstar \text{ Alice announces}(X) = \int_{\rho \text{ observed}}^{\rho \text{ observed}} for \text{ random } x \in Z_m$
- Bob announces $\widehat{Y} = g \widehat{y}$ for random $y \in Z_m$
- \bigstar Alice and Bob set g^{xy} as the joint key Note $X^y = \underline{Y}^x = g^{xy}$
- ★ Diffie-Hellman assumption: \mathcal{I}^{\times} \mathcal{I}^{\times}
 - \blacktriangleright Hard to calculate g^{xy} from X and Y

Computational Diffie-Hellman

- \bigstar Let G be a cyclic group where |G| = m
- \bigstar Let $g \in G$ be a generator of G
- \bigstar Let A be an algorithm that returns $b \in G$
- ★ We consider the following experiment:

Experiment
$$\operatorname{Exp}^{\operatorname{cdh}}_{G,g}(A)$$

 $x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_m \; ; \; y \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_m$
 $X \leftarrow g^x \; ; \; Y \leftarrow g^y$
 $Z \leftarrow A(X,Y)$
If $Z = g^{xy}$ then return 1 else return 0

The cdh-advantage of A is defined as

$$\mathbf{Adv}^{\mathrm{cdh}}_{G,g}(A) \ = \ \Pr\left[\mathbf{Exp}^{\mathrm{cdh}}_{G,g}(A) = 1\right] \ . \quad \blacksquare$$



Decisional Diffie-Hellman problem

- \bigstar Let G be a cyclic group where |G| = m
- \bigstar Let $g \in G$ be a generator of G
- Adversary is given $X = g^x$, $Y = g^y$ for random $x, y \in Z_m$ and Z
- \bigstar In world 0:
 - $ightharpoonup Z = g^z ext{ for random } z \in Z_m$
- ★ In world 1:

$$ightharpoonup Z = g^{xy}$$

Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problem

- \bigstar Let G be a cyclic group where |G| = m
- \bigstar Let $g \in G$ be a generator of G
- \bigstar A returns a bit $b \in \{0, 1\}$
- \bigstar We consider the following experiment:

Experiment
$$\operatorname{Exp}^{\operatorname{ddh-1}}_{G,g}(A)$$
 Experiment $\operatorname{Exp}^{\operatorname{ddh-0}}_{G,g}(A)$ $x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_m$ $y \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_m$ $y \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_m$ $z \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_m$

The ddh-advantage of A is defined as

$$\mathbf{Adv}^{\mathrm{ddh}}_{G,g}(A) \ = \ \Pr\left[\mathbf{Exp}^{\mathrm{ddh-1}}_{G,g}(A) = 1\right] - \Pr\left[\mathbf{Exp}^{\mathrm{ddh-0}}_{G,g}(A) = 1\right] \ . \quad \blacksquare$$



Relationships between Problems

★ Let G be a cyclic group where |G| = m★ Let $g \in G$ be a generator of G★ Let A_{dl} be an adversary against DL problem
★ Let A_{cdh} be an adversary against CDH problem
★ Let A_{ddh} be an adversary against DDH problem
★ Proposition 7.4: $g^{\star}, g^{\star} = g^{\star} g^{\star}$ $(g^{\star}, g^{\star}, g^{\star}, g^{\star}) = g^{\star} g^{\star}$

 $\operatorname{Adv}_{G,g}^{dl}(A_{dl}) \le Adv_{G,g}^{cdh}(A_{cdh}) \le Adv_{G,g}^{ddh}(A_{ddh}) + \frac{1}{|G|}$

Proof of Proposition 7.4

★ Define
$$A_{cdh}$$
 given A_{dl}

Adversary $A_{cdh}(X, Y)$
 $\bar{x} \leftarrow A_{dl}(X)$

Return $Z^{\bar{x}}$

★ If A_{dl} is successful then $Y^{\bar{x}} = Y^x = (g^y)^x = g^{xy}$

★ Define A_{ddh} given A_{cdh}

Adversary $A_{ddh}(X, Y, Z)$
 $\bar{Z} \leftarrow A_{cdh}(X, Y)$

Return $(Z = \bar{Z})$

★ Claim:

$$\Pr\left[\mathbf{Exp}_{G,g}^{\mathrm{ddh-1}}(A_{\mathrm{ddh}}) = 1\right] = \mathbf{Adv}_{G,g}^{\mathrm{cdh}}(A_{\mathrm{cdh}})$$

$$\Pr\left[\mathbf{Exp}_{G,g}^{\mathrm{ddh-0}}(A_{\mathrm{ddh}}) = 1\right] = \frac{1}{|G|}, \quad AdJ \quad (A_{\mathrm{ddh}}) = AdJ \quad (A_{\mathrm{cdh}}) = AdJ \quad (A_{\mathrm$$

The Choice of the Group

- \bigstar For any reasonable G, an algorithm:
 - Finds the Discrete Logarithm in $O(|G|^{\frac{1}{2}})$ $\sim O(|G|)$
- ★ Two important algorithms for general groups
 - ▶ Pollards algorithm
 - ► Shanks baby-step giant-step algorithm
- ★ We will explore Shanks algorithm as an example

UT D

Shank's DL Algorithm

$$\bigstar$$
 Given $|G| = m$ and $n \leftarrow \lceil \sqrt{(m)} \rceil$

$$\bigstar$$
 Let $N \leftarrow g^n$

10, X, =>X

Note that for any
$$x \in Z_m$$
,
$$(x = nx_1 + x_0) \text{ for } 0 \le x_0, x_1 \le n$$

$$\star g^x = g^{nx_1 + x_0} = X \text{ implies} \underbrace{Xg^{-x_0} = g^{nx_1}}$$

★ Shanks Algorithms Idea:

Find
$$a, b$$
 s.t. $\mathcal{X}g^{-b} = g_n^{\alpha}$ (\mathfrak{I}^n)

 \bigstar Running time is $O(|G|^{\frac{1}{2}})$

Integer modulo a prime

- \bigstar Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and g is a generator of G
- \bigstar Solving DDH is easy in Z_p^*
- ★ For any $p \ge 3$, there exists A attacking DDH problem s.t. A has \blacktriangleright running time $O(|p|^3)$
 - $Adv_{G,g}^{ddh}(A) = \frac{1}{2}$
- ★ Currently best known solution for CDH is through solving DL
- ★ There may be other solutions for CDH without solving DL
- * General Number Field Sieve finds DL in NO+ poly (log(p))

$$O(e^{(C+o(1)).\ln(p)^{1/3}.(\ln(\ln(p)))^{1/3}})$$



Integer modulo a prime

- \bigstar If the factorization of p-1 has all small factors then DL is easy to solve
- \bigstar In Practice, make sure that p-1 has a large prime divisor
- ★ Common choice:
 - $ightharpoonup p = sq + 1 ext{ for } s \ge 2 ext{ and } q ext{ is prime}$
- ★ Constants are important in practice
- ★ Parallel and distributed implementations can decrease running time
- \bigstar 1024 bit p are needed/recommended in commercial applications



The RSA System

- \bigstar Let N = pq for primes p and q
- \bigstar Let $ed = 1 \mod \phi(N)$
- $\bigstar RSA_{N,e}: Z_N^* \mapsto Z_N^* \text{ s.t } RSA_{N,e}(m) = \widehat{m^e} \mod N$
- ★ Note that

$$RSA_{N,d}(RSA_{N,e}(x)) = (x^e)^d \mod N$$

$$= x^{ed} \mod N$$

$$= x^{k\phi(n)+1} \mod N$$

$$= x \times X$$



The RSA System

- \bigstar RSA assumption:
 - ► Given $e, N, RSA_{N,e}(m)$, it is hard to find m
- \bigstar Note that given e and $\phi(N)$, it is easy to find d
- ★ In practice, we need efficient ways to find
 - \blacktriangleright k bit long primes p and q

Miller-Rabin Primality Test

- ★ Primality test can be done in deterministic polynomial time
- ★ Deterministic primality test is slow in practice
- ★ Miller-Rabin Test is a randomized test
- \bigstar Note that for prime p and $p-1=2^sm$ and $a\in Z_p^*$
 - $ightharpoonup a^m = 1 \bmod p$
 - ▶ or $a^{2^{j}m} = -1 \mod p$ for $0 \le j \le s 1$

Miller-Rabin Primality Test

- \bigstar N is odd composite number where $N-1=2^s r$
- ★ Let $a \in \{0, ^{N}-1\}$

 \star a is strong witness if

$$ightharpoonup a^r \neq 1$$

- \star a is a strong liar if it is not a strong witness
- \bigstar For composite N, there are at most (N/4) strong liars

UTD

Miller-Rabin Primality Test

```
MILLER-RABIN(n,t)
INPUT: an odd integer n \geq 3 and security parameter t \geq 1.
OUTPUT: an answer "prime" or "composite" to the question: "Is n prime?"
   1. Write n-1=2^{s}r such that r is odd.
   2. For i from 1 to t do the following:
       2.1 Choose a random integer a, 2 \le a \le n-2.
       2.2 Compute y = a^r \mod n using Algorithm 2.143.
       2.3 If y \neq 1 and y \neq n-1 then do the following:
                i\leftarrow 1.
                While j \leq s - 1 and y \neq n - 1 do the following:
                      Compute y \leftarrow y^2 \mod n.
                     If y = 1 then return("composite").
                     j\leftarrow j+1.
                If y \neq n-1 then return ("composite").
```

Return("prime").

For any n composite, the error probability of Miller-Rabin is less than $O(\frac{1}{4}^t)$