Early central American forearc follows the subduction initiation rule
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A B S T R A C T
The “subduction initiation rule” (SIR) (Whattam and Stern, 2011) advocates that proto-arc and forearc complexes preserved in ophiolites and forearcs follow a predictable chemotemporal and/or chemostratigraphic vertical progression. This chemotemporal evolution is defined by a progression from bottom to top, from less to more depleted and slab-metasomatized sources. This progression has been recently documented for other igneous suites associated with subduction initiation. The Sona-Azuero forearc complex of southern Panama represents the earliest magmatic arc activity at the Central American Volcanic Arc system. Comparison of new and existing geochemical data for the circa 82-40 Ma Sona-Azuero Proto-Arc/Arc indicates clear progression from MORB-like to arc volatiles. This chemotemporal progression is described above and which follows the SIR. Sona-Azuero lavas are predominantly MORB-like, whereas those of the younger Chagres-Bayano complex are mostly VAB-like; lavas of the Golfito Proto-Arc of southern Costa Rica with the 70-39 Ma Chagres-Bayano Arc of eastern Panama exhibits a chemotemporal progression as described above and which follows the SIR. Sona-Azuero lavas are predominantly MORB-like, whereas those of the younger Chagres-Bayano complex are mostly VAB-like; lavas of the Golfito Proto-Arc typically show characteristics intermediate to that of the Sona-Azuero and Chagres-Bayano proto-arc/arc complexes. On the basis of isotope evidence as shown in other studies, lava types of all three complexes are clearly derived from a source contaminated by the Caribbean Large Igneous Province plume; we term these “plume-contaminated” forearc basalts and volcanic arc basalts, respectively. Apart from a plume-induced subduction initiation origin for the Panamanian forearc, these insights suggest otherwise similar petrogenetic origins and tectonic setting to lavas comprising earliest-formed forearc crust, and most ophiolites, which follow the SIR.

© 2019 International Association for Gondwana Research. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ophiolites are ancient, on-land vestiges of oceanic lithosphere ascribed to seafloor spreading to form a nascent proto-forearc in the earliest stages of subduction initiation. As shown by Whattam and Stern (2011), Tethyan-type supra-subduction zone ophiolites (Pearce et al., 1984; Pearce, 2003) of the Eastern-Mediterranean-Persian Gulf region (Robertson, 2004) exhibit similar chemostratigraphic/chemotemporal progressions (changes in lava chemistry with time) as that of forearc lithosphere of classic records of magmatism during subduction initiation, such as the Izu-Bonin-Mariana intra-oceanic arc system (Reagan et al., 2010; Ishizuka et al., 2011). Tethyan-type ophiolites for example, in Oman (Semail), Greece (Pindos) and Albania (Mirdita) formed by initial eruption of MOR-like tholeiitic basalts (i.e., forearc basalts, FAB, Reagan et al., 2010) followed by calc-alkaline volcanic arc basalt (VAB) or basaltic-andesite lavas and intrusives characterized by large ion lithophile-enrichment and high-field strength element-depletion, which were sometimes followed by latest-stage boninites (e.g., Alabaster et al., 1982; Saccani and Photiades, 2004; Dilek et al., 2008). This exact sequence is not universal but a common theme is emerging from global examples. Variations in subduction initiation progression is revealed by the Troodos ophiolite which lacks MORB-like tholeiites and instead shows a progression from earlier-formed basalt-andesite-dacite-rhyolite series backarc basin-like basalts to boninites (Pearce and Robinson, 2010). At the Izu-Bonin-Mariana forearc, generation of earliest MORB-like FAB during initial subduction initiation was followed by eruption of boninites (Reagan et al., 2010, 2017); from this time forward igneous activity retreated to the site of the long-term magmatic front. A similar chemotemporal progression has recently been documented for the nascent stages of Greater Antilles Arc system construction (Torró et al., 2017). This chemotemporal progression reflects complementary magmatic and mantle responses (MORB → VAB affinities and fertile lherzolite → depleted harzburgite, respectively) during subduction initiation and encapsulates the
Table 1

| Age constraints for the Golfo, Sona-Azuero, and Chagres-Bayano complexes. Abbreviations: A, arc; CR, Costa Rica; PA, proto-arc; PAN, Panama; OP, oceanic plateau. Superscripts: a, Azuero Arc includes the proto-arc of Buchs et al. (1962); Schmidt-Effifi (1975); Giudice and Recchi (1980); Buchs et al. (2009, 2010; Montes et al. 2012). b, Similarly, the Nb/Y vs. Zr/Ti plot suggests that alkaline affinities are the result of alteration (addition of alkalis). c, Similarly, the Nb/Y vs. Zr/Ti plot suggests the high K2O contents of some rock types which is confirmed by a Nb/Y vs. Zr/Ti plot. d, Similarly, the Nb/Y vs. Zr/Ti plot suggests that alkaline affinities are the result of alteration (addition of alkalis). e, Wegner et al. (2011) suggested alteration could account for the high K2O contents of some rock types which is confirmed by a Nb/Y vs. Zr/Ti plot. f, and g, interpreted by Hauff et al. (2000) and Buchs et al. (2009, 2010; Montes et al. 2012). h, Migmatites are increasingly metasomatized by fluids from the sinking slab during subduction initiation. Contribution of fluids from dehydrating oceanic crust and sediments on the sinking slab is minor during the early stages of subduction initiation, but continuous melting results in a depleted, harzburgitic residue. This residue is increasingly metasomatized by fluids from the sinking slab and later partial melting of this residue yields ‘typical’ suprasubduction-zone-like lavas with high-field strength element depletions and large ion element enrichments in the latter stages of subduction initiation. Recognizing the SIR has profound implications for the development of robust tectonic plate reconstructions and interpreting and understanding forearc formation during subduction initiation. Identification of the SIR in ophiolite complexes implies formation similar to that of forearc lithosphere via seafloor spreading during subduction initiation (Stern and Bloomer, 1992; Stern et al., 2012).

The purpose of this paper is not to provide a regional treatment of the tectonic evolution of or new stratigraphic constraints on the early Central American forearc but rather, to examine the early Central American forearc as an extension to cases for which the SIR has been tested so far. We do this by focusing on Late Cretaceous and Early Paleogene igneous rocks of Central America and compare their chemotemporal (changes in chemistry with time) progression.

"Subduction initiation rule" (SIR) of Whattam and Stern (2011). The SIR stipulates that the composition of subduction initiation lavas change from less to more high-field strength depleted and from less to more slab-metasomatized with time, i.e., lavas change from MORB-like to VAB-like over the course of subduction initiation, and this rule links forearcs formed during subduction initiation and ophiolites. This chemotemporal progression evolution reflects formation of proto-forearc/forearc lithosphere as a result of mantle melting that is increasingly influenced by enrichments from the sinking slab during subduction initiation. Mid-oceanic ridge-like FAB lavas are the consequence of decompression melting of up-welling asthenosphere and mark the initial magmatic manifestation of subduction initiation. Contribution of fluids from dehydrating oceanic crust and sediments on the sinking slab is minor during the early stages of subduction initiation, but continuous melting results in a depleted, harzburgitic residue. This residue is increasingly metasomatized by fluids from the sinking slab and later partial melting of this residue yields 'typical' suprasubduction-zone-like lavas with high-field strength element depletions and large ion element enrichments in the latter stages of subduction initiation. Recognizing the SIR has profound implications for the development of robust tectonic plate reconstructions and interpreting and understanding forearc formation during subduction initiation. Identification of the SIR in ophiolite complexes implies formation similar to that of forearc lithosphere via seafloor spreading during subduction initiation (Stern and Bloomer, 1992; Stern et al., 2012).

The purpose of this paper is not to provide a regional treatment of the tectonic evolution of or new stratigraphic constraints on the early Central American forearc but rather, to examine the early Central American forearc as an extension to cases for which the SIR has been tested so far. We do this by focusing on Late Cretaceous and Early Paleogene igneous rocks of Central America and compare their chemotemporal (changes in chemistry with time) progression.

Fig. 1. (a) Present tectonic configuration of the Circum-Caribbean region. (b) Magnification and detail of the boxed region in Fig. 1a showing the distribution of Late Cretaceous to middle Eocene (~75-39 Ma) arc magmatism in Golfito, easternmost Costa Rica, Sona-Azuero, southern Panama and Chagres-Bayano, eastern Panama. Abbreviations in: (a) CR, Costa Rica; GAA, Greater Antilles Arc; LAA, Lesser Antilles Arc; P, Panama; PR, Puerto Rico. (b) CR, Chagres-Bayano; CI, Coiba Island; Cord de Pan, Cordillera of Panama; GF, Golfito; PAN, Panama; PC, Panama City; PCFZ, Panama Canal Fracture Zone.
with the chemotemporal progression of other complexes associated with subduction initiation (forearcs and ophiolites).

Crucial to our chemotemporal arguments is recognition that the Sona-Azuero and Golfito proto-arc/arc segments in central Panama and SW Costa Rica, respectively, began to form at least 5 M.y. prior to establishment of the Chagres-Bayano Arc in central Panama (see Section 2 for Regional setting and the Supplementary Document for description of these complexes). We document the availability of absolute and relative ages which provides the basis of this recognition in the Supplementary Document (Table S1, see also Table 1).

2. Regional setting

As a result of detailed multidisciplinary studies of Late Cretaceous 'oceanic igneous terranes' (terminology of Hauff et al., 1997) and arc-related complexes exposed in the forearc of the Central American Volcanic Arc (Fig. 1a) in Costa Rica (e.g., Buchs et al., 2010) and Panama (Fig. 1b) (e.g., Hauff et al., 2000a; Tomascak et al., 2000; Geldmacher et al., 2001, 2008; Lissinna, 2005; Gazel et al., 2009, 2015; Wörner et al., 2009; Buchs et al., 2009, 2010; Wegner et al., 2011; Montes et al., 2012a, 2012b; Whattam and Stern, 2015a, 2015b) we can re-evaluate the chemotemporal evolution of these oldest Central American Volcanic forearc complexes in the context of subduction initiation processes. Most researchers agree that the bulk of the Caribbean Plate is composed of the Caribbean Large Igneous Province (CLIP) (Fig. 1a), an expansive oceanic "plateau" that formed over the Galapagos hotspot prior to its northeasterly drift and insertion between North and South America (see Kerr et al., 2000) prior to subduction initiation. Relatedly, the CLIP is interpreted by most as underlying younger arc segments not only in Costa Rica and Panama but also along NW South America in western Ecuador and Colombia all the way to the Leeward Antilles in Curaçao and Aruba (see references in Whattam and Stern, 2015a). The 'standard' model holds that volcanic arc construction occurred upon the trailing edge of the CLIP in present-day Costa Rica and Panama (Pindell and Kennan, 2009; Wörner et al., 2009; Buchs et al., 2010; Wegner et al., 2011) and elsewhere in the Caribbean realm along the southern margin of the Caribbean Plate in NW South America and the Leeward Antilles. In contrast, on the basis of collated geochemical, isotopic and radioisotopic age datasets of these 'plume-and-arc-related' complexes in Central America and NW South America which exhibit compositional and temporal overlap between Late Cretaceous hotspot-derived CLIP magmatism and the magmatic effects of subduction initiation, Whattam and Stern (2015a) suggested arc construction in a single, rapidly evolving hybrid plume- and subduction-related environment. By 'plume- and subduction-related' we mean proto-forearc/forearc complexes that were generated synchronously or nearly so as a result of interaction between the plumehead and the (soon to be) subduction-modified lithosphere that it interacted with; as a result, the complexes which constitute this study were generated from a mantle source that was plume-dominated at first and evolved with
time to be modified by subduction. According to this model, arc formation occurred subsequent to subduction initiation which was catalyzed by plume emplacement (plume-induced subduction initiation). A plume-induced subduction initiation mechanism has recently been shown to be valid on the basis of numerical thermomechanical modelling (Gerya et al., 2015).

Although the Farallon Plate has been subducting beneath the Western Americas since at least 200 Ma, subduction initiation at the Central America Arc was independent of Farallon Plate subduction. Central America subduction initiation began between 90 and 75 Ma along the western periphery of the Caribbean Large Igneous Province (CLIP), which was emplaced within the middle of the Farallon Plate beginning about 92 Ma (Sinton et al., 1997; Kerr et al., 2003 and references therein). Some previous studies of the Central American forearc igneous complexes discussed in this study (see Supplementary Document) suggest that subduction initiation began ~75-71 Ma with the magmatic arc growing on pre-existing oceanic plateau (CLIP) basement (Buchs et al., 2010; Wegner et al., 2011). In contrast, Lissianna et al. (2006) suggest that the infant Panamanian island arc on the western side of the CLIP dates back to 89 Ma. The studies of Lissianna et al. (2006) and Wegner et al. (2011) did not posit a cause for subduction initiation but Buchs et al. (2010) suggested this was tectonically induced, caused by compression of the thickened Caribbean Plate during westward migration of the Americas and collision with South America. As explained above, Whattam and Stern (2015a) in contrast suggested that subduction initiation was the result of plume emplacement and discuss why an induced subduction

### Table 2

Whole rock ICP-AES analyzes of Groups I, II, and III Azuero lavas from this study. Abbreviation: basalt, basaltic-andesite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300070</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300071</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300075</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300076</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300077</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300078</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300079</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300082</td>
<td>Recas</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300084</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300085</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300088</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**major oxides (wt.%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300070</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300071</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300075</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300076</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300077</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300078</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300079</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300082</td>
<td>Recas</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300084</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300085</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300088</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**trace elements (ppm)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300070</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300071</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300075</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300076</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300077</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300078</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300079</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300082</td>
<td>Recas</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300084</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>300085</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300088</td>
<td>basalt</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td>Group I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major element detection limits are about 0.001–0.4 wt% Other notes: Samples Group numbers in italics are samples with total oxide concentrations <96 wt % and which were thus excluded from our chemical plots (see Section 4.3.2.).


Table 2 Whattam, 2019.

* Group refers to classification on the basis of petrographic characteristics (see Supplementary Document) and trace element chemistry (see Section 5.1 and Supplementary Document).
initiation scenario was unlikely (see Section 5.4; see also Section 5.5 for a discussion of different subduction initiation mechanisms). We note that the timeframe of the Whattam and Stern (2015a) model, which postulates subduction initiation as the result of plume emplacement at 90 Ma, is consistent with the conclusions of Lissina et al. (2006) who suggest subduction initiation by 89 Ma.

The active Central American volcanic front stretches ~1100 km along the western margin of the Caribbean plate from Costa Rica through Nicaragua, El Salvador and Guatemala to the Guatemala-Mexico border at the southern margin of the North American plate (Fig. 1a). The locus of magmatic activity has shifted from east to west after the Eocene. Early plume- and subduction related sequences in the east comprise the ~89-40 Ma Sona-Azuero (Azuero Marginal Complex of Buchs et al., 2010), 72-69 Ma Golfito, and 70-39 Ma Chagres-Bayano complexes (Fig. 1b, see also Table 1, Table S1 and the Supplementary Document for description of these complexes). The Sona-Azuero and Chagres-Bayano complexes are interpreted as being floored by the 89-85 Ma CLIP (Wörner et al., 2009; Buchs et al., 2010; Wegner et al., 2011; Montes et al., 2012a, 2012b) and the Golfito Complex has been interpreted as both CLIP oceanic plateau (Hauflf et al., 2000) and more recently as arch (Buchs et al., 2010). Prior to the study of Buchs et al. (2010), it was generally assumed that most oceanic forearc units along western Costa Rica and Panama represented uplifted segments of the CLIP. Similar interpretations have been made for many oceanic complexes along NW South America and the Leeward Antilles (see Whattam and Stern, 2015a).
3. Methods

3.1. Petrography

Fifty new representative thin sections of the Sona-Azuero complex lavas were examined under a petrographic microscope. Sample locations and coordinates are provided in Fig. 2 and Table S2, respectively, and selected micrographs comprise Supplementary Fig. S1.

3.2. Elemental analysis

Whole-rock samples (n = 25) of the Azuero complex were crushed and ground to powders for major, trace and rare earth element determinations (Table 2). Details of analytical procedures and elemental analysis are provided in the Supplementary Document.

3.3. Data compilation and manipulation

3.3.1. Data compilation

For comparison with our 25 new whole-rock geochemical analyses of Azuero lavas (Table 2), we also compile geochemical analyses of lavas of the Sona-Azuero (Buchs et al., 2010; Wegner et al., 2011), Golfito (Hauff et al., 2000; Buchs et al., 2010) and Chagres-Bayano complexes (Wegner et al., 2011; Montes et al., 2012b). For further comparison with these Central America forearc complex datasets, we also compile data of global MORB (Gale et al., 2013) and volcanic arc basalts (VAB) of depleted arc systems (PetDB, https://www.earthchem.org/petdb and GEOROC, (http://georoc.mpch-mainz.gwdg.de/georoc); see Hawkesworth et al., 1997, for definition of ‘depleted arc systems’); Late Cretaceous to Eocene ‘plume and arc related’ units (Whattam and Stern, 2015a) in Panama and Costa Rica; lavas from complexes around the circum-Caribbean region interpreted as CLIP; SIR ophiolite lavas (Whattam and Stern, 2011); Izu-Bonin forearc basalts (Reagan et al., 2010; Shervais et al., 2019); and Izu-Bonin ‘forearc-like’ basalts (Arculus, 2015). The MORB dataset (Gale et al., 2013) is mostly basalt (mean of 50.4 wt % SiO2) but ranges from 44.9 to 70.4 wt % SiO2. Volcanic and plutonic samples comprising Late Cretaceous to Eocene (~85–39 Ma) ‘plume and arc-related’ units (Whattam and Stern, 2015a) in Panama and southernmost Costa Rica are considered as either oceanic plateau or proto-arc and arc constructed upon the CLIP (e.g., Buchs et al., 2010) or hybrid plume- and arc-related (Whattam and Stern, 2015a). Basalts interpreted as CLIP (n = 192 tholeiitic and 7 enriched oceanic-island-like basalts) are compiled from GEOROC and are from the Caribbean Sea; the western margin of the Caribbean Plate in Costa Rica (Nicoya, Herradura, Tortugal); the western margin of NW South America in western Ecuador (Píñón Formation and the Pallatanga and Pedernales-Esmeraldas unit) and western Colombia (Gorgona Island, Serrania de Baudo Formation and the Western Cordillera); the Lesser Antilles in Aruba (Aruba Lava Formation); and the Caribbean Sea (Colombian and Venezuelan Basins).

3.3.2. Data manipulation

In order to assure that suitable quality analyses of least altered samples of Sona-Azuero, Golfito and Chagres-Bayano were used in our study, only samples which yield oxides totals of 96–102 wt % (excluding loss on ignition, LOI) are plotted. In our geochemical plots using major elements, oxides are recalculated on an anhydrous (volatile-free) basis and normalized to 100%. However, our geochemical plots and arguments rely primarily on trace elements known to be non-mobile up to greenschist-facies metamorphic conditions.

Fig. 3. MgO vs. SiO2 for all lavas of this study superimposed with the fields of MORB and lavas of depleted arc systems (see text). Abbreviation: enr, enriched.

4. Results

Our lavas are subdivided into three chemical groups on the basis of chondrite-normalized La/Yb concentrations (see section 4.2.1 and Fig. 5). Groups I, II and III are analogous to those interpreted by others as plateau basalts, (volumetrically subordinate) enriched basalts and proto-arc or arc basalts (Buchs et al., 2010; Wegner et al., 2011), respectively. As shown by Wegner et al. (2011) and Whattam and Stern (2015a,b), Group I are tholeiitic, Group III range from tholeiitic to calc-alkaline and Group II are analogous to oceanic island tholeiites (Supplementary Fig. 2).

4.1. Petrography

Most Group I MORB-like (see Section 5) Azuero lavas are fine-grained tholeiitic basalts. Textures are typically intergranular to intersertal or subophitic and mineralogy is primarily plagioclase, clinopyroxene and alteration products (Supplementary Fig. S1a, b). In other very fine-grained varieties, clinopyroxene is not obvious and the mineralogy is dominated by thin, wispy, highly altered plagioclase laths. Other samples are coarser-grained and some of these, with large, sericitized plagioclase laths and fresh, subhedral, intersertal clinopyroxene, are texturally similar to Group III arc-like (see Section 5) basalts. The crystallization sequence of plagioclase followed by clinopyroxene is typical of MORB (Bryan, 1983; Pearce et al., 1984).

Group II oceanic island basalt-like (see Section 5, Supplementary Fig. S1c, d) lavas are subordinate, making up ~10–20% of the total Azuero samples in our dataset and the combined datasets of Buchs et al. (2010) and Wegner et al. (2011). Overall, these basalts are moderately to heavily altered and distinguished by their chocolate brown coloration and ubiquitous calcite- and chlorite-filled amygdales in the coarser-grained, weakly porphyritic and medium-grained plagioclase phryic samples. Fine-grained varieties of these basalts exhibit micro-porphyritic texture defined by ~1 mm clinopyroxene and 2–5 mm plagioclase phenocrysts. Apatite is an accessory phase (typically <5%).

Our Group III arc-like (see Section 5) Azuero lavas are rare (n = 3) and in contrast to lavas of Groups I and II, usually display micro-porphyritic or unique to this group, robustly porphyritic textures defined by large (1.5–20 mm), commonly euhedral, fresh
clinopyroxene phenocrysts (Supplementary Fig. S1e, f). The crystallization sequence, in contrast to the Group I MORB-like lavas, is clinopyroxene followed by plagioclase which is typical of hydrous (i.e., arc) magmas in which early plagioclase crystallization is suppressed (Pearce et al., 1984; Cameron 1985; Sisson and Grove 1993).

4.2. Major element chemistry

4.2.1. MgO vs. TiO₂, Al₂O₃, FeO, and K₂O

As developed below in Section 4.3, the Sona-Azuero lavas of Buchs et al. (2010), Wegner et al. (2011) and our dataset are subdivided into three groups on the basis of chondrite-normalized La/Yb concentrations. Group I are interpreted by Buchs et al. (2010) and Wegner et al. (2011) as plateau basalts; Group II as enriched basalts (Buchs et al., 2010); and Group III as proto-arc or arc volcanics (Buchs et al., 2010; Wegner et al., 2011). These groupings are referred to below.

A plot of SiO₂ vs. MgO (Fig. 3) shows that lavas considered in this study range from basaltic to rhyolitic with MgO concentrations of 1.06–11.68 wt%. Fractionation patterns for MORB (n = 14, 782) and

![Fig. 4. MgO vs. (a) TiO₂, (b) Al₂O₃, (c) FeO, (d) K₂O, (e) Ce, (f) Yb, (g) Y and (h) Zr for all lavas of this study superimposed with the fields of lavas of MORB and depleted arc systems (basalts only). Abbreviation: enr, enriched.](image)
depleted (see Hawkesworth et al., 1997) arc systems \((n = 4, 379)\) are broadly similar but with the latter ranging to lower MgO at low SiO\(_2\) contents \((< 55 \text{ wt\%})\) and higher MgO at higher SiO\(_2\) \((55–72 \text{ wt\%})\).

Despite the considerable overlap of MORB and VAB, a large number of Sona-Azuero Group III arc \((n = 8)\) and Chagre-Bayano samples \((n = 7)\) exhibit higher arc-like MgO than MORB at silica concentrations \(< 55 \text{ wt\%} SiO_2\). As well, two Sona-Azuero proto-arc, three Sona-Azuero Group II samples (including two from this study) and one Chagres-Bayano sample display lower SiO\(_2\) than MORB for a given MgO concentration at low SiO\(_2\) \((45–48 \text{ wt\%})\) and fall within the arc domain.

In Fig. 4a–d, we plot MgO vs. TiO\(_2\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), FeO\(_t\) and K\(_2\)O for basalts of our Azuero dataset alongside basalts of the Sona-Azuero, Golfito and Chagres-Bayano suites. For comparison, also plotted on Fig. 4 are lavas of MOR and depleted arc systems (basalts only). In all plots there exists considerable overlap between MORB and VAB but some samples plot uniquely within either field. In consideration of MgO vs. TiO\(_2\), all six Sona-Azuero Type II basalts plot uniquely within or just above the MORB field and outside of the arc field. Two of our three Type II Sona-Azuero basalts also have Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and FeO\(_t\) concentrations which plot within the MORB field. In MgO vs. TiO\(_2\) and the remainder of the MgO vs. major oxides plots (Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), FeO\(_t\) and K\(_2\)O), between two to four low-magnesium \((\leq 6 \text{ wt\% MgO})\) Chagre-Bayano lavas plot uniquely within the arc field. Similarly, apart from K\(_2\)O, one low MgO \((< 4.2 \text{ wt\% Mg})\) Sona-Azuero proto-arc sample plots distinctively within the arc field; two of our Sona-Azuero Type III arc lavas have TiO\(_2\) and FeO\(_t\) akin to arc basalts. Additionally, two high MgO \((10–12 \text{ wt\%})\) Chagre-Bayano lavas exhibit MgO vs. TiO\(_2\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), FeO\(_t\) and K\(_2\)O compositions that plot distinctly within the arc field or on the cusp between MORB and arc. The remainder of samples plot within the overlapping area between MORB and VAB.

4.3. Trace element chemistry

4.3.1. MgO vs. Ce, Yb, Y and Zr

We also plot MgO vs. a light and heavy rare earth element (LREE, Ce and HREE, Yb) and two high field strength elements (Y, Zr) for basalts of our Azuero dataset alongside basalts of the Sona-Azuero, Golfito and Chagres-Bayano suites for comparison with MORB and depleted arc system basalts (Fig. 4e–h). In terms of MgO vs. Ce, two of our Sona-Azuero high-TiO\(_2\) Group II samples plot above both the MORB and arc fields with the highest Ce of all samples \((46–47 \text{ ppm})\) whereas two Sona-Azuero Group II samples of Buchs et al. (2009) plot within the MORB field along with one Sona-Azuero proto-arc and arc sample. Many low-magnesium \((\leq 6 \text{ wt\% MgO})\) basalts plot within the arc field including two of our Sona-Azuero Group III arc lavas, three Sona-Azuero Group I lavas interpreted as plateau by Buchs et al. (2009) and Wegner et al. (2011), three Sona-Azuero Group III proto-arc and arc and six Chagre-Bayano basalts. On the other end of the MgO spectrum, one high-MgO \((> 10 \text{ wt\% MgO})\) Group I Sona-Azuero and one Chagres-Bayano basalt \((> 12 \text{ wt\% MgO})\) also plot within the arc field. The remaining basalts plot where the MORB and arc fields overlap in MgO vs. Ce space.

On a plot of MgO vs. Yb (Fig. 4f), four Sona-Azuero Group III arc basalts including all three of our Sona-Azuero Group III basaltic melts alongside six Chagres-Bayano basalts uniquely within the low-HREE arc field. Also, three Sona-Azuero Group I, six Sona-Azuero Group III proto-arc and arc and six Chagres-Bayano basalts plot outside of both the MORB and arc field; the remaining majority of

Abbreviations: OP, oceanic plateau; PAR, plume- and arc-related. The grey region in (b) to (f) represent the data presented in (a) and the dashed regions in (d) to (f) represent the data presented in (c).
samples plot within the MORB realm with Yb $> 1.4$.

Many samples exhibit arc-like HFSE concentrations. On a MgO vs. Y plot (Fig. 4g), our three Sona-Azuero Group III basalts, four of six Sona-Azuero Group II basalts and eight Sona-Azuero Group III proto-arc and arc basalts fall alongside almost half of the Chagres-Bayano basalts (12 of 26 samples) within the arc field. Our three Sona-Azuero Group III basalts and Chagres-Bayano basalts exhibit the lowest Y concentrations (‘14 ppm). The remaining basalts fall within an area of MORB and arc overlap. A similar trend is seen on MgO vs. Zr (Fig. 4h) with our Sona-Azuero Group III and Chagres-Bayano basalts exhibiting the lowest Zr arc-like contents of all samples.

4.3.2. Subdivision of Sona-Azuero lavas into three groups on the basis of $[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}}$

Table 3 provides the foundation for subdivision of our Azuero lavas into three groups on the basis of chondrite-normalized La/Yb concentrations ($[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}}$).

Compositionally, our Azuero Group I basalts are slightly to moderately light rare earth element depleted ($[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} = 0.75$–1.09, mean = 0.96) and compositionally analogous to the Group I (non-enriched) Azuero ‘plateau’ samples of Buchs et al. (2010) and the ‘CLIP’ basalts of Wegner et al. (2011) ($[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} = 0.63$–1.15, mean = 0.92, Table 3).

Our Group II Azuero basalts are volumetrically subordinate, light rare earth element-enriched ($[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} = 2.29$–2.86) and compositionally similar to the Group II ‘enriched plateau’ samples of Buchs et al. (2010) ($[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} = 1.92$–2.81) (Table 3). Taking all enriched samples into consideration (i.e., of Buchs et al., 2010 and ours), these basalts are perhaps best described as oceanic island tholeiites (OIT on Supplementary Figure 2) on the basis of TiO$_2$ vs. Y/Nb relations (Floyd and Winchester, 1975; Winchester and Floyd, 1977).

On the basis of chondrite-normalized rare earth element and N-MORB-normalized plots (see below), our Group III lavas are VAB-like and analogous to the Azuero Proto-Arc and Arc samples of Buchs et al. (2010) and the ‘CLIP’ Arc samples of Wegner et al. (2011), although our samples range to lower absolute rare earth element concentrations. Rare earth element patterns of Group III lavas range from slightly light rare earth element-enriched ($[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} = 1.28$–1.58, Table 3) to flat to slightly ‘U-shaped’ (see next section), i.e., middle rare element-depleted, e.g., two samples have $[\text{La}/\text{Gd}]_{\text{CN}} > 1$ and $[\text{Yb}/\text{Gd}]_{\text{CN}} > 1$.

4.3.3. Chondrite-normalized rare earth element and N-MORB-normalized incompatible element plots

Chondrite-normalized rare earth element and N-MORB-normalized plots of Sona-Azuero, Golfito and Chagres-Bayano basalts are provided in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>mean $[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}}$</th>
<th>range $[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}}$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>mean $[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}}$</th>
<th>range $[\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}}$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.75–1.09</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.63–1.15</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.29–2.86</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>1.96–2.81</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.28–1.58</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.76–1.21</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The Group I of others comprise the Azuero and Sona ‘CLIP’ basalts of Wegner et al. (2011) and the Group I Azuero Plateau basalts of Buchs et al. (2010); the Group II of others comprise the Group II basalts of Buchs et al. (2010); the Group III of others comprise arc basalts of Azuero, Sona and Coiba Island of Lissinna (2005) and the basalts of the proto-arc and arc of Buchs et al., (2010). Chondrite abundances are from Sun and McDonough (1989). Table 3 Whattam 2019.
Although the rare earth element patterns of Golfito and Chagres-Bayano basalts are similar to those of Sona-Azuero there are some significant differences. Rare earth element patterns of proto-arc lavas of the Golfito Complex (Fig. 5d) generally fall within the range of the Group I and Group III Sona-Azuero lavas interpreted as oceanic plateau and proto-arc/arc respectively, but range to very low absolute rare earth element abundances (mean \( \sum \) rare earth element of 32) and light rare earth element fractionations (e.g., \([\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} \) of 0.81). These values are higher only than that of the Chagres-Bayano Arc (mean \( \sum \) rare earth element –30) and the lone Chagres-Bayano basalt interpreted as oceanic plateau (\([\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} \) of 0.47) (Fig. 5e and f). Relatedly, this \([\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} \) ratio of the lone Chagres-Bayano basalt interpreted as oceanic plateau (0.47) is much lower than that of the mean of Sona-Azuero oceanic plateau and arc basalts (with mean \([\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} \) of 0.93 and 1.54, respectively). Although the Chagres-Bayano Arc exhibits mean \([\text{La}/\text{Yb}]_{\text{CN}} \) intermediate to that of the Sona-Azuero oceanic plateau and proto-arc basalts interpreted as oceanic plateau (0.47) vs. 0.93 and 1.54, respectively), the absolute rare earth element abundances of Chagres-Bayano Arc lavas range to much lower concentrations (mean \( \sum \) rare earth elements of 30 of \( 3^{+} \) chondrite (Fig. 5f)).

In terms of N-MORB-normalized incompatible element patterns, the most conspicuous differences between our Atlantic Groups are: the lack of large ion lithophile element enrichment in fluid-mobile elements (e.g., Rb, Ba, K, Pb) in Group II, which is well developed in both Groups I and III; the lack of a negative Nb-anomaly (with respect to Th and Ce) in Groups I and II, but which is obvious in Group III; and the pattern of Y and the heavy rare earth elements (Yb, Lu), which are flat in Groups I and III but which decrease with the pattern of Y and the heavy rare earth elements (Yb, Lu), which are flat in Groups I and III but which decrease with the changing Yb content in Groups I and III. The differences between the N-MORB-normalized patterns of the Sona-Azuero basalts interpreted as CLIP plus our Group I basalts interpreted as segments of the earliest hybrid, plume-dominated unit and basalts interpreted as arc are modest, apart from the prominent Nb depletion and the slightly more, fully modified compositions of the latter. For example, although the CLIP basalts exhibit no obvious negative Nb-depletion, they do exhibit modest negative Zr and Ti anomalies and contain only slightly less Ba and Pb (Fig. 6a).

N-MORB normalized plots of Golfito and Chagres-Bayano basalts are provided in Fig. 6d–f. Golfito proto-arc basalts fall completely within the range of Sona-Azuero oceanic plateau and proto-arc/arc basalts but range to lower concentrations of large ion lithophile elements (B, P, K, Pb and Sr) (Fig. 6d). Although a negative Nb anomaly is not well developed in the lone Golfito sample interpreted as oceanic plateau (Hauff et al., 2000) it does exhibit a subtle negative Zr anomaly and concentrations of U, Pb, K and Sr higher than or similar to Golfito basalts interpreted as arc (Buchs et al., 2010); the Golfito proto-arc samples of Buchs et al. (2010) exhibit subtle but clear negative Nb anomalies (Fig. 6d). The N-MORB-normalized incompatible element plot of the lone Chagres-Bayano sample interpreted as oceanic plateau (Fig. 6e) is somewhat anomalous as it lacks the high LILE enrichments exhibited by most other arc (e.g., Chagres-Bayano Arc, Fig. 6f) and oceanic plateau samples.

5. Discussion

In the following sections, we explore the significance of our new geochemical data and that of other workers to address the following questions: (1) Do Late Cretaceous – Paleogene igneous rocks of Panama and Costa Rica follow the “subduction initiation rule” promulgated for the Izu-Bonin-Mariana arc and many ophiolites?; (2) What is the nature of the contact between “oceanic plateau” and arc and chemotemporal evolution of magmatism?; and (3) What was the nature of the early arc system?

5.1. Evidence of adherence of the early central American volcanic arc system to the subduction initiation rule

We focus here on elements that are not mobilized by hydrothermal alteration and metamorphism for the purpose of constraining mantle source fertility, degrees of partial melting and mantle source fugacity. Ratios of Zr/Y, Nb/Y (and Nb/Yb) are useful as these decrease with mantle depletion and as partial melting increases. Because of the compatibility of Y and Yb in garnet, these ratios can also reflect if garnet was present during melt generation but this may be the case only in Group II enriched oceanic island tholeiite-like basalts which exhibit low Y and heavy rare earth element relative to middle rare earth element (Fig. 5b). In Figs. 7–9, in addition to plotting Panamanian and Costa Rican samples from this study, we also plot SIR ophiolite basalts and basaltic andesites for comparison. We do this because SIR ophiolite lavas are (i) thought to have formed during SI in a proto-forearc environment and show a distinctive chemotemporal (change in chemistry with time) trend from less-to-more-depleted and subduction-modified compositions with time (i.e., MORB- to VAB-like) (Whattam and Stern, 2011) which (ii) along with other chemical criteria (see below), are critical for understanding the evolution of the mantle sources for Sona-Azuero and Chagres-Bayano.

5.1.1. Source fertility

In Fig. 7, we plot Zr vs Zr/Y. As demonstrated by Whattam and Stern (2011) and shown in Fig. 7a, whereas the older lavas of the earliest-formed lower subduction initiation unit ophiolite units are mostly MORB-like (84% of the lower unit basalts plot as MORB), those of the younger, uppermost unit in contrast plot completely within the VAB field.

These relations show that forearc units progress from tapping/melting of a less to more depleted source or lower to higher degrees of partial melting over the course of seafloor spreading during subduction initiation. Notably, all tholeiitic Group I Sona-Azuero basalts interpreted as CLIP (Fig. 7b) plot within the compositional field defined by all (Group III) Sona-Azuero and most Chagres-Bayano and Golfito basalts interpreted as proto-arc (Fig. 7c) suggesting a similar source or degrees of partial melting for Sona-Azuero oceanic plateau and arc. As well, Fig. 7c confirms what was shown by the N-MORB and rare earth element plots, i.e., that Chagres-Bayano arc basalts were derived from a more depleted source, or one that has undergone higher degrees of partial melting than Sona-Azuero. Similarly, the Golfito basalts exhibit evidence of derivation from a source intermediate in composition to that of Sona-Azuero and Chagres-Bayano and Sona-Azuero Group III basalts are more similar in composition to Sona-Azuero Group I than to Chagres-Bayano. Geochemical relations demonstrate a MORB to VAB transition from the Sona-Azuero to the Chagres-Bayano unit and demonstrate adherence to the SIR for the early Central American Volcanic forearc.

5.1.2. Degrees of partial melting

Fig. 7 shows that the source(s) of the Chagres-Bayano basalts and our Group III Azuero basalts were either more depleted or underwent higher degrees of partial melting than the Sona-Azuero source. These plots however, don't distinguish between the two possibilities, and if the latter is accurate, provide estimates of the relative degrees of partial melting.

Cr vs. Y (Fig. 8) can be used to determine relative degrees of partial melting of basalts (Murton 1989). Superimposed on Fig. 8 are sources 1, 2 and 3 (S1, S2, S3) which represent a MORB source, a more depleted source after 20% MORB extraction, and a most depleted source after ~12% extraction of S2, respectively. We caution that the F values discussed below are model dependent and we are interested not in absolute degrees of partial melting but...
differences in partial melting between lavas of Sona-Azuero and Chagres-Bayano. Also shown in Fig. 8a are fields defined by MORB, VAB, and boninite (Pearce, 1983). Inspection of Fig. 4b reveals that whereas the lower basalts of subduction initiation rule ophiolites are consistent with ~20–40% partial melting of S1 or 20% partial melting of S1 and ~10% partial melting of S2, the upper unit basaltic andesites of subduction initiation rule ophiolites indicate higher degrees of partial melting (~10–40% partial melting of S2). A similar relation is seen for Sona-Azuero vs. Chagres-Bayano lavas (Fig. 8c and d). Most Sona-Azuero basalts interpreted as oceanic plateau plot within the MORB field and are consistent with ~20–40% partial melting of S1 (Fig. 8c). Approximately half of the Sona-Azuero basalts interpreted as arc and some Chagres-Bayano arc basalts also plot within the MORB field consistent with ~ up to 40% partial melting of S1, or perhaps more realistically ~12% melting of S2 (Fig. 8d). The other half of the Sona-Azuero basalts interpreted as arc along with the Golfito basalts record partial melting estimates of 10–20% of S2. Compared to S1 ophiolites, the Chagres-Bayano Arc basalts clearly exhibit evidence of derivation from the higher degrees of partial melting. About 35% of the Chagres-Bayano arc basalts plot within the boninite field and are consistent with derivation via up to about 16–17% partial melting of S3. Although boninites require >52 wt% SiO₂, <8 wt% MgO and <0.5 wt% TiO₂ according to the IUGS (Le Bas, 2000), some of these Chagres-Bayano basalts which plot as boninite contain >8 wt% MgO and <0.5 wt% TiO₂ (e.g., samples P-010223-6, PAN-03-016, Wegner et al., 2011). Such high degrees of partial melting reflect exceptionally hot and shallow forearc mantle beneath young intra-oceanic subduction-related systems (e.g., Tatsumi and Eggins, 1995). Like the Zr vs Zr/Y plot (Fig. 7) the Cr/Y plot (Fig. 8) demonstrates an unequivocal chemotemporal evolution that follows the SIR.

5.1.3. Source oxygen fugacity

It has long been recognized that MORB are distinguishable from VAB on the basis of Ti/V due to the more oxidized nature (lower Ti/V...
ratio) of arc environments vs. the more reduced nature of MORB sources (Shervais, 1982). We plot SIR ophiolite lavas vs. the older and younger segments of the Sona-Azuero, Chagres-Bayano and Golfito complexes in Ti/V space in Fig. 9a to demonstrate that the features developed above in various incompatible and immobile elements hold true when considering source fugacity; i.e., whereas the older Sona-Azuero suite (basalts interpreted as oceanic plateau and proto-arc/arc) is consistent with derivation from a MORB-like source, basalts from Chagres-Bayano on the other hand are clearly more consistent with derivation from a more arc-like source.

In Fig. 9d–f, we also plot FAB from the Izu Bonin Mariana Arc system (Reagan et al., 2010), Exp. 352 forearc basalts from the outer Izu Bonin Mariana Arc system (Shervais et al., 2019), and Exp. 351 Site U1438 (Arculus, 2015) forearc basalt-like lavas (which presently occupy the rear arc of the Izu-Bonin Mariana Arc system). Lowermost basalts of the Izu-Bonin Mariana forearc were first interpreted as trapped Philippine Sea Plate (DeBari et al., 1999), a similar interpretation of which was applied to the Tonga forearc (Meffre et al., 2012). However, these first-formed lavas (forearc basalt) are MORB-like and instead mark the first magmatic expression of subduction initiation (Reagan et al., 2010). As shown in Fig. 9e, the vast majority of the older MORB-like Sona-Azuero and the lone Chagres-Bayano basalt (all considered as oceanic plateau) and Golfito basalts plot within the fields defined by the forearc basalts. Similarly, the vast majority of the younger Chagres-Bayano Arc basalts also plot within the field defined by forearc basalts (Fig. 9f), whereby most (77%) of the older Sona-Azuero basalts interpreted as arc plot outside the fields defined by Izu-Bonin Mariana (Ishizuka et al., 2006; Reagan et al., 2010) and Exp. 352 forearc basalts (Expedition 352 Scientists, Preliminary Report, 2015) within the MORB field. This again demonstrates a SIR chemo-temporal evolution from early MORB-like lavas to later arc-like lavas, this time on the basis of oxygen fugacity, in the early Central American forearc.

5.2. Isotopic constraints on the plume-contaminated nature of the source

A myriad of studies show the plume-contaminated nature of Central American forearc lavas as the result of emplacement of the CLIP which immediately preceded and instigated subduction initiation (plume-induced subduction initiation, Whattam and Stern, 2015a and references therein). In terms of source components and source mixing, it was shown by Allibon et al. (2008) that the isotopic compositions of Ecuadorian arc or arc-like lava sequences (which according to Whattam and Stern, 2015a are essentially analogous to arc lavas in Sona-Azuero, Golfito and Chagres-Bayano) built upon CLIP oceanic plateau fragments could
be explained in terms of tripolar mixing of three components: a dominant depleted MORB mantle component; a high $\mu$ (where $\mu$ is $^{239}$U/$^{204}$Pb ratio of an Earth reservoir) component carried by the CLIP; and a subducted pelagic sediment component. It was further shown by Allibon et al. (2008) that an average contribution of 70–75% depleted MORB mantle, 12–15% high-$\mu$, and 7–15% pelagic sediments (cherts) is required to explain their composition. Furthermore, Whattam (2018) showed that the Central American lavas in this study also plot within the $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd vs $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb field defined by the Galapagos Plume at 90 Ma similarly to the western Late Cretaceous Ecuadorian lavas in the study of Allibon et al. (2008). It is for these reasons that we use the term ‘plume contaminated’ to describe the forearc basalts of Sona-Azuero and the VAB of Chagres-Bayano.

5.4. Subduction initiation mechanisms

As explained by Stern (2004) and later by Stern and Gerya (2018), subduction initiation can be either spontaneous or induced. In the case of the former, there are three possible types: transform collapse, passive margin collapse, and plume head margin collapse (i.e., plume-induced subduction initiation). In contrast, induced subduction initiation is triggered by continued plate convergence following collision, for example of an oceanic plateau with a subduction zone. There are two types of induced subduction initiation: a new subduction zone of similar dip to the original subduction zone can initiate behind the collision (transference) or in front of the collision and (polarity reversal).

The earliest workers to propose spontaneous subduction initiation at a lithospheric weakness (transform separating older, denser lithosphere from younger, warmer lithosphere) was Matsumoto and Tomoda (1983). This idea of spontaneous subduction initiation along a transform fault was adopted by Stern and Bloomer (1992) to explain the early evolution of the Izu Bonin Marianas arc system and by others for other regions of forearc formation (e.g., Whattam et al., 2006, 2008; Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2018). Other studies have focused on forced convergence across fracture zones (e.g., Hall et al., 2003), Subduction nucleation along a passive margin (Marques et al., 2014; Ueda et al., 2008; Burov and Cloetingh, 2010; Van der Lee et al., 2008) is implied in the Wilson (1966) cycle but until very recently (Pandey et al., 2019), there are no known Cenozoic examples (Stern, 2004; Pandey et al., 2019) recovered forearc basalts and overlying boninitic-like forearc basalts in the Laxmi Basin, western Indian passive margin, which record geochemical and isotopic attributes identical to first formed lavas of the Izu Bonin Marianas and supra-subduction zone ophiolites and may provide the first evidence for subduction initiation along a passive margin. Perhaps the best example of a induced subduction initiation polarity reversal is that caused by collision of the Ontong Java Plateau, the world’s largest igneous province, which attempted to subduct to the SW at the Vitiaz Trench ~10–4 Ma (Phinney et al., 1999; Cooper and Taylor, 1985). Thick, buoyant lithosphere of the Plateau jammed the subduction zone and caused a subduction polarity flip to the NE. As explained in the Introduction, Buchs et al. (2010) suggested that subduction initiation at the Central American Arc was tectonically induced and resulted from compression of the thickened Caribbean Plate during westward migration of the Americas and collision of the thickened Caribbean Plate with South America. As explained above in Section 5.3, on the basis of a comprehensive set of geochemical, isotopic and geochronologic data and tectonic considerations, we concur with Whattam and Stern (2015a, 2015b) that arc construction in Central America began instead about 90 Ma as the result of plume-induced subduction initiation. A second example of plume-induced subduction initiation has been recently proposed for the Cascadia subduction
zone in the Pacific NW, USA (Stern and Dumitru, 2019) and plume-induced subduction initiation has shown to be viable on the basis of numerical thermomechanical modelling (Gerya et al., 2015).

5.5. Physical nature of the early arc system and tectonic considerations

In their study of Panamanian magmatism circa 75 Ma to present, Wegner et al. (2011) suggest that arc magmatism began in the Sona and Azuero peninsulas at ~71 Ma (Sona-Azuero Arc), then shifted to the Chagres-Bayano region at 66 Ma; Wegner et al. (2011) also apparently consider these as two distinct arcs. We disagree with these notions for several reasons. Firstly, arc lengths are typically not at the 100s of km scale but rather 1000s of km scale. For example, the modern-day Central American Volcanic Arc system is ~1500 km long and the Izu-Bonin Marianas Arc system is ~2800 km

Fig. 10. (a) Chemotemporal relations between the Golfito, Sona-Azuero and Chagres-Bayano as shown on the ‘synthetic tectonostratigraphic chart’ of Buchs et al. (2010). Unit acronyms (from left to right): AP, Azuero (oceanic) Plateau (89–85 Ma); GA, Golfito Arc (75–66 Ma); SA, Sona-Azuero Arc (75–39 Ma); CBA, Chagres-Bayano Arc (70–39 Ma). (b) Stratigraphy of an Azuero section (the Rio Quema Formation) reproduced from Corral et al. (2011) which provides relations between the Azuero oceanic plateau, proto-arc and arc. Other acronyms: PISI, plume-induced subduction initiation. References for ages are provided in Table 1.
The magmatic arc ‘belts’ along the Sona-Azuero peninsula and the Chagres-Bayano region are only ~200–250 km long, but restoration of paleomagnetically derived vertical-axis rotations (Montes et al., 2012a) suggests that the combined length of the Campanian to Eocene Central American arc was at least 1000 km. Furthermore, combined geochemical and geochronological evidence suggests that the nascent Central American arc stretched westwards from the Nicoya peninsula in western Costa Rica some 200 km south of Nicaragua, to either present-day western Ecuador or Colombia some 1400 km to the east (Whattam and Stern, 2015a). Secondly, the age constraints of Lissinna (2005) indicate that Sona-Azuero magmatic activity was contemporaneous with Chagres-Bayano between 70 and 40 Ma. Thirdly, unequivocal ‘arc’ magmatism at the Sona-Azuero and Golfito complexes began by at least 75 Ma (Buchs et al., 2010) but the 89-85 Ma Sona-Azuero CLIP MORB-like oceanic plateau basalts are largely indistinguishable from the younger Sona-Azuero arc and proto-arc basalts. Furthermore, Lissinna et al. (2006) suggest arc magmatism began by ~90 Ma. Finally, as shown by Whattam and Stern (2015a), most (~90-85 Ma) oceanic plateau basalts from the western edge of the CLIP (Costa Rica, e.g., Nicoya, Herradura, Tortugal) including those of Sona-Azuero and the NW segment of the South American Plate in Ecuador and Western Colombia, exhibit subduction modified compositions. Although a lateral west to east transition in chemistry cannot be categorically ruled out, the relations in the chemistry of Sona-Azuero vs. Chagres-Bayano basalts are more consistent with a vertical/stratigraphic transition, although eruptions may have become more arc-like as magmatism retreated from the trench. This chemostratigraphic progression can also be considered as chemotemporal as the change in magmatic affinities is largely vertical and hence a record also of change in chemistry with time: this chemotemporality is identical to that recorded by the slightly older Sona-Azuero and the younger Chagres-Bayano complexes. Thus, we suggest that the Chagres-Bayano arc segment represents a vertical as well as lateral migration of arc magmatism that is also seen for Sona-Azuero circa 71 Ma (Wegner et al., 2011) (Fig. 7). In other words, the Chagres-Bayano arc segment may represent the carapace of the early established Central American Arc system.

If Chagres-Bayano does indeed represent the latest phase of early Central American Arc magmatism, the present position of the Chagres-Bayano arc segment indicates that it is offset to the north. In the tectonic model of Whattam et al. (2012), the early (pre-40 Ma) Central American Arc was shut down at about 40 Ma as the result of collision of an oceanic plateau with the arc system (see also Kerr and Tarney, 2005). As explained by Montes et al. (2012a, 2012b) and Whattam et al. (2012), this collision caused left-lateral offset of the originally contiguous early (pre-40 Ma) Central American Volcanic Arc system which stretched from at least western Costa Rica in the NW to western Ecuador or Colombia in the SE (Whattam and Stern; 2015a) and resulted in a >100 km displacement to the north between 40 and 30 Ma (Lissinna, 2005; Montes et al., 2012a, 2012b). Alternatively, left-lateral offset may have been caused by a shift in the subducted plateau from Azuero to a region to the east (Lissinna et al., 2006) or as the result of collision of South America with the Panamanian Arc system (Farris et al., 2011; Barat et al., 2014). Regardless of the mechanism of displacement or the nature of the colliding body, we suggest that the Chagres-Bayano arc segment was translated to the north after originally forming the carapace and rear of the early arc system subsequent to slab rollback but prior to displacement (Fig. 11).

6. Conclusions

An unambiguous chemotemporal transition from early-formed plume-contaminated MORB-like to VAB-like lavas is seen in Late Cretaceous-Paleogene magmatic arc sequences in Panama as revealed by incompatible trace element compositions which illustrate fundamental differences between the older Sona-Azuero complex and the younger Chagres-Bayano complex. While the
trace element signatures of basalts from both units are similar, the absolute REE concentrations of Chagres-Bayano basalts range to much lower \( \Sigma \) rare earth element concentrations (12–52 ppm) than those of Sona-Azuero (\( \Sigma \) rare earth elements = 33–102 ppm), exhibit more negative high field strength element-anomalies and higher fluid mobile element/immobile element ratios. Relative to Sona-Azuero, the 70–39 Ma Chagres-Bayano basalts reflect higher degrees of partial melting of a more depleted and oxidized mantle source that received greater slab-derived shallow and deep subduction contributions.

Moreover, the Sona-Azuero basalts interpreted as proto-arc and arc are compositionally more similar to the Sona-Azuero basalts interpreted as oceanic plateau than they are to the Chagres-Bayano basalts, which if age estimates are accurate suggest that CAVAS subduction began by ~85 Ma. The overarching chemotemporal trend recorded by Sona-Azuero and Chagres-Bayano sequences may reflect the combined effects of a west to east migration of magmatism at 68 Ma as well as a vertical/stratigraphic transition. We suggest that the arc segment now preserved at Chagres-Bayano was originally generated above and to the rear of Sona-Azuero forearc magmatism and was displaced to the north perhaps due to collision with an oceanic plateau on the Cocos plate, which shut down the early arc system ~40 Ma.

The chemotemporal progression from older MORB-like lavas and intrusives at Sona-Azuero to younger VAB-like lavas at Chagres-Bayano to the east confirms adherence of the Late Cretaceous to Eocene Central American forearc to the SIR, similar to Tethyan ophiolites and the Izu-Bonin-Mariana forearc. Importantly, we note that this adherence is independent of the two competing tectonic models described. In other words, even if arc construction occurred intrusive into and atop a pre-existing oceanic plateau and we exclude the MORB-like affinities of the oceanic plateau, the SIR still applies as the Sona-Azuero Proto-Arc and arc lavas and intrusives are also MORB-like in contrast to those of the Chagres-Bayano Arc which instead exhibit unequivocal volcanic arc affinities.
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