## Bonding

There are two main ways of thinking about how the electrons of a molecule are involved in bonding.

1. Valence Bond (VB) Theory: regards all bonds as localized interactions involving two electrons shared between two atoms. In polyatomic molecules this leads to the use of orbital hybridization as a mathematical and pictorial procedure of manipulating the atomic orbitals to permit the bonding to be described in terms of a collection of simple two-center, two-electron bonds.

Molecular Orbital (MO) Theory: assigns electrons to molecular orbitals, which are in general delocalized over the entire molecule.

Which approach is better? Both are approximations. VB theory fails to explain bonding in aromatic compounds. It also fails to predict that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is paramagnetic. MO theory is generally more consistent with the results of spectroscopic measurements.

Why do we need approximate methods? Two reasons:

1. exact solutions are not possible even for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.
2. approximate methods can give insight and physical understanding into chemical bonding.

## MO Theory

MO theory consistents of 3 approximations: the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, the independent electron approximation, and the linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) approximation.

## Born-Oppenheimer Approximation

In the BO approximation we treat the nuclear and eletronic motions independently.

- clamp the nuclei; find the electronic energies and wavefunctions
- repeat for different nuclear positions to construct a potential energy function $U(R)$ which is a function of the nuclear coordinates

The total energy of the "unfrozen" molecule is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {total }}=E_{e}+E_{\mathrm{vrt}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{e}$ is the electronic energy which includes the total energy of the electrons in their molecular environment and the internuclear repulsion, and where $E_{\text {vrt }}$ represents the vibrational, rotational, and translational energy of the nuclei.

In the electronic part of the problem, there are electron-electron repulsion terms like

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{12}=\frac{e^{2}}{r \pi \epsilon_{0} r_{12}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{12}=\left|\boldsymbol{r}_{1}-\boldsymbol{r}_{2}\right|$ depends simultaneously on the coordinates of two different electrons. We cannot solve the Schroedinger equation with these terms present, and so we make the

## Independent Electron Approximation, also called the Orbital Approximation

In this approximation we consider each electron to move in some sort of "average potential" which incorporates the interactions with the nucliei and an "averaged interaction" with the other electrons.

The electronic Hamiltonian can then be separated into one-electron contributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{e}=\hat{H}_{1}+\hat{H}_{2}+\cdots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{H}_{1}$ depends only on electron \#1 and on $R$. This is a major step forward because we can now look for solutions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{e}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}, \ldots\right)=\psi_{a}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}\right) \psi_{b}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{2}\right) \cdots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{a}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}\right)$ stands for molecular orbital "a" containing electron $\# 1$ and where $\hat{H}_{1} \psi_{a}=$ $E_{a} \psi_{a}$ with $E_{a}$ the energy of orbital "a". The total electronic energy (at fixed nuclear coordinates $R$ ) is $E_{e}=E_{a}+E_{b}+\cdots$, namely the sum of the energies of the individual occupied molecular orbitals.

So now all we need to do is to solve the one-electron Schroedinger equation $\hat{H}_{1} \psi_{a}=E_{a} \psi_{a}$ where $\hat{H}_{1}$ is an effective one-electron Hamiltonian and $\psi_{a}$ is a one-electron wavefunction called a molecular orbital (MO). The solutions are the MO wavefunctions $\psi_{a}$ and the MO energies $E_{a}$. To actually do this we make one final approximation:

Linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) approximation
where we construct MOs from linear combinations of atomic orbitals on individual atoms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\sum_{i} c_{i} \phi_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\psi$ a molecular orbital, $c_{i}$ a mixing coefficient, and $\phi_{i}$ an atomic orbital. In general, mixing $n$ atomic orbitals together gives $n$ molecular orbitals (bonding, antibonding, etc.)

[^0]The LCAO approximation can be made as accurate as possible by using the variational principle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{LCAO}} \geq E_{\text {exact }} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the best approximate solution is obtained by finding the LCAO which minimizes the energy.

Proof for the ground state
The one-electron Schroedinger equation we are trying to solve is $\hat{H}_{1} \psi_{a}=E_{a} \psi_{a}$ with ground state energy $E_{a, 0}$ and wavefunction $\psi_{a, 0}$. We are approximating $\psi_{a, 0}$ by $\sum_{i} c_{i} \phi_{i}$ with $\phi_{i}$ atomic orbitals. Recall that the set of eigenfunctions $\left\{p s i_{a, n}\right\}_{n=0}^{n=\infty}$ form an orthonormal basis. A consequence of this is that any wavefunction, say $\phi_{i}$, can be expressed as a linear combination of these eigenfunctions: $\phi_{i}=\sum_{j} d_{j} \psi_{a, j}$. This is not useful in practice because we do not know the $\psi_{a, j}$ (or else we would not need to approximate them) but it is useful for the proof. We can thus write the approximate wavefunction as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\sum_{i} c_{i}\left(\sum_{j} d_{j} \psi_{a, j}\right)=\sum_{k} e_{k} \psi_{a, k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by defining the $e_{k}$ appropriately. Then, assuming $\psi$ is normalized,

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{\mathrm{LCAO}}=\int \psi^{*} \hat{H}_{1} \psi=\int\left(\sum_{j} e_{j} \psi_{a, j}\right)^{*} \hat{H}_{1}\left(\sum_{i} e_{i} \psi_{a, i}\right)  \tag{8}\\
=\sum_{i, j} e_{j}^{*} e_{i} \int \psi_{a, j}^{*} \hat{H}_{1} \psi_{a, i}=\sum_{i, j} e_{j}^{*} e_{i} \int \psi_{a, j}^{*} E_{a, i} \psi_{a, i}  \tag{9}\\
=\sum_{i, j} e_{j}^{*} e_{i} E_{a, i} \int \psi_{a, j}^{*} \psi_{a, i}=\sum_{i, j} e_{j}^{*} e_{i} E_{a, i} \delta_{i j}  \tag{10}\\
=\sum_{i} e_{i}^{*} e_{i} E_{a, i}=\sum_{i}\left|e_{i}\right|^{2} E_{a, i} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now substract $E_{a, 0}=E_{\text {exact }}$ from both sides:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{LCAO}}-E_{\text {exact }}=\sum_{i}\left|e_{i}\right|^{2}\left(E_{a, i}-E_{a, 0}\right) \geq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof. Note: we used the resolution of the identity as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\langle 1\rangle=\int \psi^{*} 1 \psi=\int\left(\sum_{j} e_{j} \psi_{a, j}\right)^{*}\left(\sum_{i} e_{i} \psi_{a, i}\right)=\sum_{i, j} e_{j}^{*} e_{i} \int \psi_{a, j}^{*} \psi_{a, i}=\sum_{i}\left|e_{i}\right|^{2}=1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

How do we make use of this principle?

1. Choose which atomic orbitals $\phi_{i}$ to include, giving $\psi=\sum_{i} c_{i} \phi_{i}$ where the $c_{i}$ are undetermined.
2. Write an expression for $E_{\text {LCAO }}$. If we do not want to worry about $\psi$ being normalized, which would put restrictions on the $c_{i}$ 's that are inconvenient, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\langle H\rangle=\frac{\int \psi^{*} \hat{H} \psi}{\int \psi^{*} \psi} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the denominator compensates for $\psi$ not being normalized.
3. determine the values $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ which minimize $E$. Then the LCAO wavefunction is $\psi=\sum_{i} c_{i} \phi_{i}$ and the orbital energy is $E_{\text {min }}$.

## Overlap of two atomic orbitals

Consider just two orbitals on two different atoms: the MO is then $\psi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{\int \psi^{*} \hat{H} \psi}{\int \psi^{*} \psi}=\frac{\int\left(c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \hat{H}\left(c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}\right)}{\int\left(c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we will assume $\psi$ is real from now on.
Numerator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{2} \underbrace{\int \phi_{1} \hat{H} \phi_{1}}_{\alpha_{1}}+c_{1} c_{2} \underbrace{\int \phi_{1} \hat{H} \phi_{2}}_{\beta_{12}}+c_{1} c_{2} \underbrace{\int \phi_{2} \hat{H} \phi_{1}}_{\beta_{21}}+c_{2}^{2} \underbrace{\int \phi_{2} \hat{H} \phi_{2}}_{\alpha_{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ is the energy of an electron in atomic orbital 1 (in the molecular environment) and $\beta_{12}=\beta_{21}$ is a measure of the strength of the bonding interaction between $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$.

Denominator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{2} \underbrace{\int \phi_{1}^{2}}_{1}+2 c_{1} c_{2} \underbrace{\int \phi_{1} \phi_{2}}_{s}+c_{2}^{2} \underbrace{\int \phi_{2}^{2}}_{1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is the overlap integral and where we will assume that the atomic orbitals are normalized.

Illustration of the overlap $s$ for two 1s orbitals: $s=\int \phi_{1} \phi_{2}$ Recall that the 1s radial wavefunction looks like $R(r) \sim r^{0}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ and $R(r) \sim e^{-\alpha r}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. (figure here)

Summarizing:
$\alpha$ : Coulomb integral. The energy of an electron in an AO $(<0)$
$\beta$ : resonance integral. The strength of the bonding interaction between AO's $(<0)$
$s$ : overlap integral. Measures the AO overlap ( $>0$ but $\ll 1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{c_{1}^{2} \alpha_{1}+2 c_{1} c_{2} \beta+c_{2}^{2} \alpha_{2}}{c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{1} c_{2} s} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our task is to minimize $E$ by varying $c_{1}, c_{2}$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{1} c_{2} s\right)=c_{1}^{2} \alpha_{1}+2 c_{1} c_{2} \beta+c_{2}^{2} \alpha_{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the extrema, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial c_{1}}=\frac{\partial E}{\partial c_{2}}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will implicitly differentiate by taking $\frac{\partial}{\partial c_{1}}$ on both sides, and then by setting $\frac{\partial E}{\partial c_{1}}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 E c_{1}+2 E c_{2} s=2 c_{1} \alpha_{1}+2 c_{2} \beta \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly for $\frac{\partial}{\partial c_{2}}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 E c_{2}+2 E c_{1} s=2 c_{2} \alpha_{2}+2 c_{1} \beta \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can rearrange to obtain the pair of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}\left(E-\alpha_{1}\right)+c_{2}(E s-\beta)=0 \\
& c_{1}(E s-\beta)+c_{2}\left(E-\alpha_{2}\right)=0 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

or in matrix form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E-\alpha_{1} & E s-\beta  \tag{24}\\
E s-\beta & E-\alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

For non-trivial solutions we need

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E-\alpha_{1} & E s-\beta  \tag{25}\\
E s-\beta & E-\alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{26}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right]=a d-c b
$$

Case 1: overlap of two identical orbitals
In this case $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha$ since $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are the same. The determinant condition is

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
E-\alpha & E s-\beta  \tag{27}\\
E s-\beta & E-\alpha
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

If we neglect the overlap integral $s \approx 0$ we get

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
E-\alpha & -\beta  \tag{28}\\
-\beta & E-\alpha
\end{array}\right]=0 \Rightarrow(\alpha-E)^{2}=\beta^{2} \Rightarrow E=\alpha \pm \beta
$$

Since $\beta<0, E_{+}=\alpha+\beta$ is the energy of the bonding orbital, and $E_{-}=\alpha-\beta$ is the energy of the antibonding orbital.
(orbital energy diagram here)
If we do not neglect $s$ (more realistic) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(E-\alpha)^{2}=(E s-\beta)^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the determinant condition, whose solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+}=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{1+s} \quad \text { and } \quad E_{-}=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{1-s} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analyzing these expressions, we find that the bonding and antibonding orbital energies aer now not symmetric: the antibonding orbital is higher in energy than the stabilizing energy decrease of the bonding orbital
(orbital energy diagram here)
One consequence of this asymmetry is that $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ is not a stable molecule.


[^0]:    Variational Principle

