Transient Photoinactivation of Cell Membrane Protein Activity without Genetic Modification by Molecular Hyperthermia
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ABSTRACT: Precise manipulation of protein activity in living systems has broad applications in biomedical sciences. However, it is challenging to use light to manipulate protein activity in living systems without genetic modification. Here, we report a technique to optically switch off protein activity in living cells with high spatiotemporal resolution, referred to as molecular hyperthermia (MH). MH is based on the nanoscale-confined heating of plasmonic gold nanoparticles by short laser pulses to unfold and photoinactivate targeted proteins of interest. First, we show that protease-activated receptor 2 (PAR2), a G-protein-coupled receptor and an important pathway that leads to pain sensitization, can be photoinactivated in situ by MH without compromising cell proliferation. PAR2 activity can be switched off in laser-targeted cells without affecting surrounding cells. Furthermore, we demonstrate the molecular specificity of MH by inactivating PAR2 while leaving other receptors intact. Second, we demonstrate that the photoactivation of a tight junction protein in brain endothelial monolayers leads to a reversible blood–brain barrier opening in vitro. Lastly, the protein inactivation by MH is below the nanobubble generation threshold and thus is predominantly due to the nanoscale heating. MH is distinct from traditional hyperthermia (that induces global tissue heating) in both its time and length scales: nanoseconds versus seconds, nanometers versus millimeters. Our results demonstrate that MH enables selective and remote manipulation of protein activity and cellular behavior without genetic modification.
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Selective and remote manipulation of protein function in living systems is important to elucidate the protein function and develop precise therapeutics for disease treatment. Advances in tool development have made
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significant impact in this direction. Among the various modalities, optical control of protein activity in live cells offers unparalleled spatial and temporal resolution. Current approaches include optogenetics, chromophore-assisted light inactivation (CALI), and synthetic photoswitches. Optogenetics uses light to control cell activity, especially in neurons that have been genetically modified to express photosensitive proteins. Over the past decade, this technique has been instrumental in our understanding on how specific cell types contribute to brain activity and neurological diseases. While it has had a transformative impact in the field, optogenetics requires genetic modification to enable the photosensitivity of target proteins. CALI is probably the most general method for optical control of protein activity with high spatial and temporal resolution and has been used in many areas in cell biology. CALI utilizes a photosensitizer to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) and inactivate the adjacent proteins during light exposure. While small-molecule photosensitizers (typically xanthene-based) have a high efficiency to generate ROS, it is challenging to design small molecules to target proteins in live cells. On the other hand, photosensitizers such as KillerRed are genetically encoded in target cells. Importantly, CALI efficiency is dependent on the expression level of photosensitizer when genetically encoded and on the cellular microenvironment such as oxygen concentration and endogenous reducing agent (glutathione). Synthetic photoswitches (e.g., based on azobenzene) have also emerged as a promising strategy to control protein activity with light, but currently there are a very limited number of photoswitches and thus narrow options of light source (i.e., wavelength). Therefore, it remains challenging to optically control protein activity in live cells without genetic modification and independent of the cellular microenvironment.

Nanoparticles provide an interface to manipulate the function of living systems from cells to macromolecules such as proteins. Cellular behavior control with noninvasive stimulation such as magnetic, acoustic and optical methods can be achieved with the assistance of nanoparticles. Among these stimulation methods, optical control of cellular behavior using plasmonic nanoparticles provides the highest spatiotemporal resolution, including neuron firing, heat shock protein expression, and optoporation. Continuous photothermal stimulation of plasmonic nanoparticles leads to global heating in the tissue and has been used for hyperthermia therapy of cancer. Physically, it is possible to apply short laser pulses to excite plasmonic nanoparticles and create a nanoscale hotspot, or "thermal confinement" when the pulse duration is less than the time scale of heat diffusion. The possibility of "molecular hyperthermia" (MH) was introduced recently, defined as using ultrashort laser pulses (nanosecond) to excite a plasmonic gold nanoparticle (AuNP) as a nanoheater to unfold and inactivate targeted proteins with a very well-defined impact zone (on the nanometer length scale). The heating is confined within nanometers of the nanoparticle (nanoscale hotspots) and does not cause bulk heating, which allows for the possibility to control protein activity without affecting the cell viability. While it has been shown that MH can photoinactivate isolated proteins of interest, it remains unclear whether MH works in complex cellular environments.

In this report, we demonstrate that MH can inactivate protein in situ and control cellular activity with two examples. In the first example, we targeted a membrane receptor, protease activated receptor 2 (PAR2), which is important in pain sensitization signaling. Ca$^{2+}$ imaging analysis demonstrates that PAR2 can be inactivated in situ by MH without compromising cell proliferation. In the second example, we demonstrate the photoinactivation of a tight junction protein in brain endothelial monolayers, which is important in maintaining the blood–brain barrier (BBB). but also limits therapeutic delivery to the brain. MH of tight junction protein (junctional adhesion molecule A, or JAM-A) leads to a reversible BBB opening in vitro that recovers within 6 h. We further demonstrated that the energy levels for MH are insufficient to form vapor nanobubbles around AuNPs. Our results indicate that MH is a promising approach to manipulate protein activity in live cells without genetic modification and develop therapeutics with high spatiotemporal resolution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

AuNP–Antibody Conjugation and Characterization. First, we designed and characterized an antibody-modified AuNP to target PAR2. PAR2 is an important G-protein coupled receptor implicated in pain. During inflammation or cancer, protease-activated receptors (PAR) are activated by proteolytic cleavage of the extracellular amino terminus and lead to diverse pathologies including pain sensitization. Proteolytic cleavage of the N terminus of PAR2 results in exposure of a tethered ligand that activates the receptor to induce signaling (Figure 2A). One consequence of the PAR2 activation in the peripheral nervous system is sensitization of neurons responsible for transmitting noxious information to the central nervous system (CNS). Importantly, nociceptive neurons express PAR2, and PAR2 activation on these neurons leads to enhanced signaling via a variety of channels including the capsaicin and noxious heat receptor, TRPV1. For instance, PAR2 is responsible for protease sensitization of TRPV1 in vivo, leading to thermal hyperalgesia. Thus, targeting and photoinactivating PAR2 will allow us to control pain signaling.
Figure 2. Photoinactivation of PAR2 by molecular hyperthermia. (A) Schematic of PAR2 inactivation by molecular hyperthermia. (B) Schematic illustrating the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) signaling due to PAR2 activation. \( \alpha_q \) is heterotrimeric G protein subunit, PLC is phospholipase C, IP3 is inositol trisphosphate. (C) Fluorescent immunocytochemistry imaging of HEK293 cells. Nucleus is in blue (Hoechst 33342), FRET \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) sensor protein TN-XXL is in green, and PAR2-targeting antibody modified gold nanoparticle (AuNP-MAB3949) is in red (stained by Alexa 647 conjugated secondary antibody, indicated by white arrows), Control antibody modified gold nanoparticles (AuNP-Ctrl Ab) as a control show minimum signal (scale bar: 10 \( \mu \)m), AuNP concentration \([\text{AuNP}] = 0.5 \text{nM}\). (D) FRET ratio (\( \Delta R / R \)) signal for different groups: (a) AuNP-Ctrl Ab, no laser; (b) AuNP-MAB3949, no laser; (c) AuNP-Ctrl Ab, with laser; (d) AuNP-MAB3949, with laser. \([\text{AuNP}] = 0.32 \text{nM}\). Laser conditions: 532 nm wavelength, fluence at 100 mJ/cm², and 10 pulses. The incubation time for AuNPs is 5 min. (E) Dose–response curve for PAR2 activity under different particle concentrations for different groups. The groups are color-coded as in D. (F) Dose–response curve for PAR2 activity under different laser fluence and pulse numbers. \([\text{AuNP}] = 0.32 \text{nM}\) and AuNP incubation time is 5 min. (G) PAR2 function recovery and cell proliferation after molecular hyperthermia treatment. The experimental conditions are the same as (d) group in D. Laser irradiation occurred at 0 h time point (indicated by red arrow). The normalized \( \Delta R / R \) and cell proliferation indicate normalization with the control group (without AuNP incubation or laser treatment).
As a routinely used cell line in the PAR2 research community, human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells were used in this study to investigate PAR2 activity. PAR2 activation leads to intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration increase through the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3) signaling pathway. Here, the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) sensor (TN-XXL) monitors Ca\(^{2+}\) during PAR2 activation by a specific PAR2 agonist (Figure 2B). We selected gold nanospheres with a diameter of 45 nm as nanoheaters due to their relatively high absorption cross section and because they facilitate protein denaturation farther than 10 nm away from themselves.

The prepared AuNP was characterized by transmission electron microscope (TEM) and dynamic light scattering (DLS) to confirm its size and lack of aggregation (Figure S1B and C). Conjugation of poly(ethylene glycol) 2-mercaptoethyl ether acetic acid (Thiol-PEG-Carboxyl) onto AuNP increased the hydrodynamic diameter by 15 nm, in agreement with previous reports. Both PAR2 target antibody (MAB3949) and control antibody (Ctrl Ab) coating further increased the hydrodynamic size by 11−12 nm. UV−vis measurements showed that the AuNP absorbance peak shifted slightly from 531 nm to 532 nm after conjugating with Thiol-PEG-Carboxyl and then again to 536 nm after anti-PAR2 antibody (MAB3949) conjugation (Figure S1D). A Western blot experiment was then performed to confirm MAB3949 antibody specificity to PAR2. PAR2 was observed by MAB3949 staining in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) sample from wild-type mouse (PAR2\(^{+/+}\)) at around 50 kDa, with a negative staining for PAR2 knockout mouse (PAR2\(^{−/−}\), Figure S1E). PAR2 expression on HEK293 cells was also confirmed by immunocytochemistry (ICC) experiments with methanol-fixed cells (Figure S1F). Furthermore, we show that MAB3949 antibody binds to PAR2 after conjugation onto the AuNP surface by ICC staining on HEK293 cells (Figure 2C). Specifically, cells incubated with AuNP-MAB3949 show a clear binding on the cell membrane, while control antibody modified AuNP (AuNP-Ctrl Ab) does not.

Figure 3. High spatial resolution and molecular specificity for PAR2 photoinactivation by molecular hyperthermia. (A) Experimental design: after targeting PAR2 with AuNP-MAB3949, half of the cells were blocked from laser irradiation. (B) Experimental setup including two-photon imaging of cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\) release. (C) Fluorescence images of cells after laser irradiation (scale bar: 50 μm). The line indicates the border of laser irradiation, with the bottom left side receiving laser irradiation (ROI in red) and the top right side without laser irradiation (ROI in blue). (D) Ca\(^{2+}\) signals for different ROIs. The red line is the FRET ratio from the cells in the red semicircle (with laser), and the blue line indicates the FRET ratio from the blue semicircle (no laser). ACSF refers to artificial cerebral spinal fluid. (E) Schematic to illustrate the photoinactivation of PAR2 without compromising somatostatin receptor 2 (SSTR2) activity. (F) PAR2 and SSTR2 activity after molecular hyperthermia treatment. [AuNP] = 0.32 nM, and AuNP incubation time is 5 min. Laser conditions: 532 nm wavelength, fluence at 100 mJ/cm\(^2\), and 10 pulses.

(Figure 2A).
not bind with the cell membrane. It is worth noting that the cells incubated with AuNP-MAB3949 have a relatively stronger signal than the case with MAB3949 in methanol-treated cells. This is because multiple antibodies (57 ± 28 antibodies/AuNP, Figure S2) conjugated on the AuNP amplify the secondary antibody binding and the resulting fluorescent intensity.

**Figure 4. Photoinactivation of a tight junction protein (JAM-A) by molecular hyperthermia to manipulate the blood–brain barrier (BBB).** (A) Schematic illustrating the JAM-A photoinactivation by molecular hyperthermia. (B) Fluorescent immunocytochemistry staining for brain endothelial cells (hCMEC/D3) targeted by AuNP-BV16. Nucleus is in blue and JAM-A is in green (Alexa 488) (scale bar: 20 μm). (C) Cell proliferation measurements with and without laser irradiation and AuNP incubation. Ctrl indicates control group (no AuNP). (D) Schematic illustrating the transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) measurement. (E) TEER measurements before and after molecular hyperthermia. (F) Schematic of permeability measurement. (G) Permeability measurements of different sized macromolecules diffusing across the cellular barrier. FD-4 indicates 4 kDa FITC-labeled dextran.

**Photoinactivation of PAR2 by Molecular Hyperthermia in Situ.** Next, we demonstrated that PAR2 can be inactivated by MH on live HEK293 cells. We first tested and confirmed that the engineered HEK293 cell with Ca\(^{2+}\) indicator is a robust model to study PAR2 activity. The addition of PAR2 agonist 2-aminothiazol-4-yl-LIGRL-NH\(_2\) (2AT, 330 nM) leads to a robust increase in the citrine channel and decrease in the ECFP channel (Figure S2B). A
results suggest that the region without laser irradiation had an obvious Ca^{2+} release after 2AT loading, while the region with laser irradiation had a much attenuated Ca^{2+} release (Figure 3D). The 10 s delay of Ca^{2+} release after 2AT loading is probably due to the drug diffusion from the loading pipet to cells. This result suggests MH allows laser targeting of selected cells to photoinactivate PAR2 activity.

**Molecular Selectivity of PAR2 Inactivation by MH.** Finally, we tested the molecular specificity of PAR2 inactivation. We used HEK293 cells transfected with somatostatin receptor 2 (SSTR2), another GPCR protein that can be activated by somatostatin (SST) (Figure 3E). We then inactivated PAR2 by MH and measured SSTR2 and PAR2 activities. The results show that the SSTR2 activity remains the same as the control groups, while the PAR2 activity reduces by 50% (Figure 3F and Figure S7). This demonstrates that MH can selectively switch off the activity of targeted cell receptors while not affecting other cell membrane receptors. We note that the molecular specificity is not due to laser focusing on specific receptors since this is well below the diffraction limit and not physically possible. Instead, the nanoparticles target specific receptors and the laser excites the targeted nanoparticles to inactivate the receptor, while leaving other nontargeted receptors intact.

**Targeting of JAM-A by Surface-Modified AuNPs.** JAM-A is one of the tight junction proteins that are located between brain endothelial cells and is an important component of the blood–brain barrier. Here we test whether targeting and photoinactivation of JAM-A leads to BBB opening (Figure 4A). To target JAM-A, we first modified AuNPs with BV16 (antibody to human JAM-A) and observed similar changes in hydrodynamic size and absorbance peak shift (Figure S8) as with PAR2 antibody conjugation. JAM-A expression was confirmed between cells by immunocytochemistry staining (Figure S9). The immunocytochemistry staining of the AuNP-BV16 group showed a clear signal between cell boundaries, while the AuNP-PEG group did not show any obvious signal, confirming antibody binding activity after conjugation to AuNP (Figure 4B).

**Molecular Hyperthermia Inactivation of JAM-A.** Next, we investigated MH to photoinactivate JAM-A and potentially manipulate BBB. First, we showed that the AuNP accumulation and laser irradiation do not affect cell proliferation (Figure 4C). To characterize the changes in the BBB, the transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) was measured (Figure 4D,E). With MH, the TEER value dropped to 60% in 30 min (AuNP-BV16 group), while no significant drop was observed for AuNP-PEG groups and control groups (without AuNP incubation). Also, in the absence of laser irradiation, we did not observe any significant drop in TEER values for all three groups. After 6 h, the TEER value for the MH group recovers to the original level comparable with the control groups. This is important since it indicates that MH treatment leads to a reversible BBB opening. The endothelial barrier function was also assessed by measuring the permeability of macromolecules (Figure 4F). The results show that the permeability of FITC-labeled dextran increased more than 25 times (molecule weight from 4 kDa to 70 kDa) (Figure 4G).

**Comparison of Laser Fluence for MH and Vapor Nanobubble Generation.** The heating of plasmonic nanoparticles by a pulsed laser can lead to vapor nanobubble formation around the nanoparticle. To determine whether there is vapor nanobubble formation under MH, we measured the heating of plasmonic nanoparticles (Figure 5A).
the AuNP under a pump–probe system that detects the presence of vapor nanobubble scattering. The laser fluence (100 mJ/cm²) that induces PAR2 inactivation is much lower than the nanobubble generation threshold for 45 nm AuNPs (295 mJ/cm² for 5% probability and 415 mJ/cm² for 50% probability, Figure S5A). The nanobubble threshold (835 mJ/cm²) for a 30 nm AuNP is higher than that for a 45 nm AuNP. The measured nanobubble threshold agrees with a previous study. For comparison, we also included our previous results of photoinactivation of an enzyme (α-chymotrypsin, α-Cht) by 30 nm AuNPs (in solution) adapted with permission from Kang, P.; Chen, Z.; Nielsen, S. O.; Hoyt, K.; D’Arcy, S.; Gassensmith, J. J.; Qin, Z. Molecular Hyperthermia: Spatiotemporal Protein Unfolding and Inactivation by Nanosecond Plasmonic Heating. Small 2017, 13, 1700841–1700847. Copyright 2017 John Wiley and Sons. The blue dashed and solid lines are Boltzmann fittings for nanobubble probability measurements for 30 and 45 nm AuNPs, respectively. The blue-shaded zone indicates >5% bubble generation probability. (B) Laser fluence at 50% protein inactivation and nanobubble probability.

![Figure 5](image)

Figure 5. Protein inactivation by molecular hyperthermia (MH) does not lead to nanobubble generation. (A) Protein inactivation and nanobubble generation probability as a function of laser fluence. Photoactivation of α-chymotrypsin (α-Cht) by 30 nm AuNPs (in solution) can be adapted with permission from Kang, P.; Chen, Z.; Nielsen, S. O.; Hoyt, K.; D’Arcy, S.; Gassensmith, J. J.; Qin, Z. Molecular Hyperthermia: Spatiotemporal Protein Unfolding and Inactivation by Nanosecond Plasmonic Heating. Small 2017, 13, 1700841–1700847. Copyright 2017 John Wiley and Sons. The blue dashed and solid lines are Boltzmann fittings for nanobubble probability measurements for 30 and 45 nm AuNPs, respectively. The blue-shaded zone indicates >5% bubble generation probability. (B) Laser fluence at 50% protein inactivation and nanobubble probability.

Estimation of AuNP and Surrounding Medium Heating. To understand the local temperature change during MH, we simulated the laser plasmonic heating process of a AuNP and its surrounding medium. The optical properties of a 45 nm AuNP were obtained by Mie theory, and the simulation accuracy was confirmed by comparing with experimental measurement (Figure S10A). A nanosecond laser pulse (full width at half-maximum, fwhm = 6 ns) is applied to the AuNP (Figure S10B). Finite element modeling (FEM) of the 3D temperature profile (at 8 ns, Figure S11A) shows that the particle temperature increases dramatically and the immediate surrounding medium is heated. The heating process by the nanosecond laser pulse is fast and lasts around 40 ns (Figure S11B). Although the temperature increases of AuNP and the surrounding medium are dramatic, the heating area is confined to tens of nanometers from the AuNP surface (Figure S11C).

Next, we compared the FEM with molecular dynamics (MD) simulation for a smaller 3 nm AuNP. A small AuNP is modeled here due to the computational limitations of MD (Figure S11D). The gold temperature given by MD is much higher than the FEM result, while the water temperature at the interface is lower than the FEM result (Figure S8E,F). This indicates that there may be some size-dependent properties that are not considered in the FEM simulation. Further studies are required to elucidate the temperature changes during MH combining computational and experimental approaches.

Protein Manipulation by Plasmonic Nanoparticles and Short Laser Pulses. In this study, we show that molecular hyperthermia allows optical switch-off of protein activity in live cells with two distinct examples (PAR2 and JAM-A). First, PAR2 is a G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) and is implicated in disease conditions such as allergic asthma, cancer, arthritis, and chronic pain. PAR2 can be activated in response to various exogenous and endogenous proteases, usually elevated in inflammation or cancer. Proteolytic cleavage of the N terminus of PAR2 results in exposure of a tethered ligand that activates the receptor to induce signaling. In the peripheral nervous system, PAR2 has become an important target to understand and treat diseases such as chronic pain. Second, JAM-A is part of the tight junction protein complex, which is a key component of the BBB. The BBB is an important mechanism to protect brain functions from toxins and pathogens in blood circulation. However, it also presents a major challenge and leads to insufficient drug delivery to treat brain diseases. Optical switch-off of JAM-A by MH causes temporary disruption of the BBB and offers a promising way to enhance drug delivery to the brain for a variety of brain diseases including gliomas.

In contrast to photothermal heating that leads to global tissue heating including cancer hyperthermia, optical control neuron firing, and optical control of cell behavior with thermally sensitive ion channels, molecular hyperthermia utilizes the thermal confinement enabled by ultrashort nanosecond lasers. Specifically, the nanoscale-confined heating of MH operates in a fundamentally different time and spatial scale (10⁻⁹ s, 10⁻⁹ m, Figure 1) when compared with global heating (1–100 s, 10⁻³–10⁻² m). Excitation of plasmonic nanoparticles with even shorter laser pulses (picosecond or femtosecond) leads to fundamentally different mechanisms from photothermal effects and has been explored for optoporation of cells and the release of DNA/RNA from AuNP surface.}
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There are several unanswered questions in this area. First, what is the temperature of the nanoparticle and surrounding water during plasmonic heating of gold nanoparticles? It is not trivial to obtain temperature values of both gold nanoparticles and water directly from experiment. The numerical model in this study used thermal properties of bulk materials and thermal interface conductance measured by femtosecond excitation. The thermal interface conductance on the nanoscale is still an emerging area with many unknowns. The nanoscale thermal properties, including the thermal conductivity of water, are reported to have a recognizable difference from their bulk values. It remains a challenge to develop new methods to reliably predict and validate temperature changes of nanoparticles during short laser excitations (e.g., nanosecond pulses). Second, what is the fate of AuNPs? There have been some reports on nanosecond pulse induced particle reshaping and fragmentation. It is known that nanosecond laser pulses can lead to nanoparticle reshaping toward a more spherical geometry (for example 20–30 mJ/cm² for 40 nm AuNPs). The fluence we use in this paper is higher than this value, and we anticipate some particle reshaping and even fragmentation. Further investigation will be necessary if it is desirable to avoid particle fragmentation. For example, it may be possible to reduce the chance of particle fragmentation by further stretching the pulse duration to reduce the local temperature and extend the nanoscale heating duration. Third, how does the protein structure react to such intense heating? Previous studies suggest changes not only in the secondary structures of proteins but also in the primary structure. However, the mechanism of this protein inactivation phenomenon remains unclear, although there have been some molecular dynamics simulations in this area. The fate of the protein under MH needs further investigation. Lastly, how does the protein expression level affect the MH? The exact number of PAR2 and JAM-A expressed on the cell surface remains to be quantified. An important next step would be to determine the receptor density on the cell membrane and number of AuNPs targeted on the membrane. Toward this, MH may be a useful tool for precisely probing and manipulating protein activity at a single-cell level. Another question is how the cell reacts to MH in real time. It may be an interesting topic to investigate cellular responses such as intracellular pathways in real time after MH.

**Broad Applicability of MH.** There has been significant interest in the use of plasmonic nanoparticles to control cell behavior. However, most of the techniques require thermally sensitive ion channels such as expressing TRPV-1 or nanoshelles. This is a significant advantage compared with other approaches such as CALI and synthetic photoswitches where few photosensitizers and photoswitches work in the near-infrared window.

**Intracellular Proteins as Targets.** The two proteins in this work are both located on cell surfaces for ease of targeting. GPCRs are a class of the most important transmembrane proteins that transfer extracellular messages to intracellular signaling. More than 40% of all modern drugs and almost 25% of the top 200 best-selling drugs target GPCRs. While the importance of membrane proteins cannot be overstated, there are potential methods to deliver nanoparticles to target intracellular proteins. For example, one study suggests that hydrophobic surface modification of silicon nanoparticle conjugates enhances intracellular protein delivery to target intracellular protein machinery. In addition, it is possible to use other targeting methods besides antibodies as was used in this report. In particular, antibodies may have limited applicability for intracellular targets due to their large size, complex structures, and disulfide bonds. Some promising methods utilize relatively smaller molecules such as aptamers and nanobodies to provide more flexibility for intracellular protein targeting. Also, the majority of therapeutics utilize small molecules due to their small size and amphiphilic properties. Thus, an alternative is to use a small molecule as a target ligand instead of using antibodies to achieve intracellular MH.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, we demonstrate that molecular hyperthermia allows us to optically switch off protein activity in live cells without genetic modification. MH is based on the nanoscale plasmonic heating of AuNPs to inactivate targeted proteins of interest. To demonstrate the broad applicability of MH, we show that MH can inactivate PAR2, a G-protein-coupled receptor implicated in pain, and JAM-A, one of the tight junction proteins in the blood–brain barrier. In both cases, the photoinactivation of the target protein did not compromise cell proliferation. Furthermore, the laser fluence used for MH is not sufficient to generate vapor nanobubbles around AuNPs. MH is a promising method with broad applicability to switch off protein activity without genetic modification and will find many applications in biomedical sciences.

**METHODS**

**Reagents and Cells.** Tetrachloroauric(III) trihydrate (HAuCl₄·3H₂O; 99.9%), sodium citrate tribasic dehydrate (≥99%), and hydroquinone (≥99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Heterobifunctional polyethylene glycol OPSS-PEG-SVA (3.4 kDa, OPSS-PEG-SVA-3400) was purchased from Laysan Bio Inc. Poly(ethylene glycol) 2-mercaptoethyl ether acetic acid (600 Da, PG2-CATH-600) was purchased from Nanocs Inc. Fluorescein isothiocyanate–dextran (FITC-dextran) and WST-1 cell proliferation reagent (05015944001) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Propidium iodide was purchased from Cayman Chemical (14289). Mouse IgG isotype control (Ctrl Ab, 31901) was purchased from Invitrogen. Anti-PAR2 antibody (MAB3949) was purchased from R&D Systems. Anti-JAM-A antibody BV16 was kindly provided by Dr. Elisabetta Dejana's lab. Human cerebral microvessel endothelial cells (hCMEC/D3) were purchased from EMD Millipore. HEK293 cells with Cat− indicator TN-XXL were kindly provided by Dr. Paul Slesinger's lab. Human cerebral microvessel endothelial cells (hCMEC/D3) were purchased from EMD Millipore. HEK293 cells with Cat− indicator TN-XXL were kindly provided by Dr. Paul Slesinger's lab.

**Gold Nanoparticle Synthesis.** AuNP seeds were synthesized following the modified Frens' method. Briefly, 1 mL of HAuCl₄ (25 mM) was added to 98 mL of pure water and allowed to boil on a hot plate with vigorous stirring. A 1 mL amount of sodium citrate (112.2 mM) was then added swiftly and reacted for 10 min. After cooling the solution to room temperature, water was added to bring the volume to 100 mL. The AuNP seeds had a concentration of 2.23 nM and...
average size of 15 nm in diameter. AuNP seeds were stored at room temperature and used within 1 week.

To synthesize 45 nm AuNPs, we first mixed 94.4 mL of pure water with 973  μL of HAuCl₄ (25 mM), 973  μL of sodium citrate (15 mM), and 3.7  mL of AuNP seeds (2.23 mM). With vigorous stirring, 973  μL of hydroquinone solution (25 mM) was injected rapidly. The solution quickly switched color to purple and then to red in a few minutes. The reaction was done overnight at room temperature. Then the 45  nm AuNPs were concentrated by centrifugation (13000 rpm, 30 min) and stored at 4 °C for use within one month.

Surface Modification of AuNPs and Characterization.
Antibody conjugation to AuNPs was performed following a previously reported protocol. First, the antibody for PAR2 or JAM-A was dissolved in phosphate buffer saline (PBS, 1X, pH = 7.4) with a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. To modify the PEG onto the antibody, the antibody solution was then added in OPSS-PEG-SVA solution (0.03 mg/mL in 2 mM borate buffer, pH = 8.5) with a molar ratio of 1:132. The tubes were slowly rotated for 3 h at room temperature. To remove extra PEG molecules, the solution was dialyzed (20 kDa dialysis bag, Spectra/Pro Biotech, 131342T) overnight at 4 °C. To modify the AuNP surface with antibody, a 45 nm AuNP colloidal solution was added to a PEG–antibody conjugate solution with a molar ratio of 1:150 and reacted at room temperature for 3 h. Then 100  μL of Thiol-PEG-Carbosil (4 mg/mL, in 2 mM borate buffer, pH = 8.0) was added into a AuNP solution with the molar ratio 1:150. Particles were then washed with borate buffer three times (1300g, 30 min, with 0.5% Tween-20) and then resuspended in cell medium for further experiments.

To confirm the antibody conjugation efficiency, we measured protein concentration in the supernatant after centrifugation by the Pierce-modified Bradford method. To measure protein concentration in the supernatant after centrifugation, 1 mL of supernatant was mixed into each well, and the signal was measured in a plate reader (Biotek Synergy H4), with light excitation of 436 nm, and the cyan filter (ECFP) and yellow (cytine) signals were collected at 485 ± 10 and 527 ± 12 nm with 9 s intervals. After reading the baseline for 3 min, 50 μL of agonist in ACSF was mixed into each well, and the signal was then collected for another 4 min. The FRET ratio change ΔR/R was calculated with the following equation:

\[ \frac{\Delta R}{R} = \frac{\text{Fluorescence 527} - \text{Fluorescence 485}}{\text{Baseline 527} - \text{Baseline 485}} - 1 \]  

(3)

The maximum FRET ratio change (max. ΔR/R) indicates the PAR2 activity. SSTR2 activity was measured following the same procedure as for PAR2.

Transendothelial Electrical Resistance Measurement.
The hCMEC/D3 cells were incubated in EndoGro-MV complete media with FGF-2 supplement (Millipore, SCMEM004) on a collagen-coated porous membrane in a Transwell filter insert (Falcon, 353097, 6.4 mm membrane diameter). Cells were cultured for 6 to 7 days at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ to form a tight monolayer.

TEER measurements were performed to monitor the cellular monolayer formation as well as the BBB opening by MH. Briefly, a Millicell ERS-2 Voltomhrometer (Millipore, MERS00002) was used to measure the electrical resistance across the cellular monolayer. The resistance of a collagen-coated culture membrane (no cells) was used as a baseline. The TEER value was obtained by subtracting the baseline from the resistance of the end point.

Permeability Measurement. Permeability measurement was performed to quantify the molecular transport across the cell monolayer barrier. The culture insert was placed in the serum-free cell medium. Cells were incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ for 30 min. FITC-dextran solution with different molecular weights (300 μL/well, 1 mg/mL) in cell medium) was added into the well. Culture medium from the bottom well was sampled at different times to obtain the concentration of FITC-dextran that had crossed the cellular barrier. The permeability (P_app cm/s) was calculated with the following equation:

\[ P_{\text{app}} = \frac{dQ}{dt \times AC} \]  

(4)

Here Q is the quantity (mg) of molecules that have diffused through the cell monolayer, t is time duration (s), A is the membrane area (cm²), and C is the initial concentration of FITC-dextran (mg/mL).

Immunochemistry Staining. Cells were first seeded on a glass-bottom Petri dish (MatTek, P35G-0-10-C) 1 day before the experiment. To check the AuNP targeting site on live cells, the AuNP was labeled with secondary antibody for Alexa Fluor 647. Then the sample was then centrifuged at 14 000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. Subsequently, membranes were washed with 1× Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TTBS, pH = 7.5, VWR) three times for 5 min each. Then primary antibody (MAB3949, 5 μg/mL) was used to incubate overnight at 4 °C. The membrane was then washed with 1× TTBS three times for 5 min each. The band signal was then generated with Immobilon Western chemiluminescent HRP substrate (Millipore, WBKLS0500) and detected by Bio-Rad ChemiDoc Touch (Hercules, CA, USA).
samples (0.5 nM) were then incubated with cells for 15 min at 4 °C. The cells were rinsed by ACSF three times. Then 100% methanol was used to fix the cell. The secondary antibody (Alexa 488 or Alexa 647 conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG, 0.2 µg/mL) was then incubated with cells for 1 h under room temperature. Free secondary antibody was removed by washing with PBS. Then cells were washed carefully with PBS buffer. Cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (5 µg/mL) for 7 min. Cells were finally washed with PBS three times and imaged under a confocal microscope (Olympus FV3000RS). We also conducted ICC experiments on prefixed cells. Briefly, 100% methanol was used to fix the cells first. After 5 min and washing with PBS (1×, pH = 7.4), cells were incubated with blocking buffer (2% BSA, 5% donkey serum, 0.05% Tween-20) for 2 h at room temperature. The primary antibodies or AuNP samples were then incubated with cells (MAB3949 (10 µg/mL), BV16 (5 µg/mL), AuNP samples (0.5 nM)) overnight at 4 °C. The secondary antibody staining steps and Hoechst staining steps are the same as the procedure described before. The green channel (FITC filter) shows TN-XXL in HEK293 cells transferred with TN-XXL. The red channel (Alexa 647 filter) shows that secondary antibodies (conjugated with Alexa 647) bind to MAB3949.

Molecular Hyperthermia Inactivation in Situ. Cells were first incubated in 40 µL of antibody-modified AuNPs in cell culture medium for 30 min. To remove free particles, cells were then carefully washed with bath solutions (ACSF for HEK293 cells, PBS for hCMEC/D3 cells). Then laser pulses (Quantel Q-smart Nd:YAG 320 laser, fwhm = 6 ns, 532 nm, diameter of laser beam is 10 mm (intensity = 1/ε2)) were used to irradiate directly each well. The laser energy was monitored with a laser energy meter (FieldMaxII, Coherent USA). After laser irradiation, cells were incubated in the working solution (ACSF for HEK 293 cells, cell medium for hCMEC/D3 cells) for further experiments. To check whether MAB3949 affects PAR2 activity, we incubated HEK293 cells with MAB3949 (0.02–67 nM in ACSF, 100 µL/well) 30 min before the Ca2+ assay.

Nanobubble Signal Measurement. AuNP solution was flowed through a glass capillary tube (VitroTube, 82400-050). The pump laser (532 nm, fwhm = 6 ns, frequency = 50 Hz) and the probe laser (MKS R-30989, 633 nm, continuous wave) were focused and colocalized at the center of the capillary tube. The probe laser signal was recorded by a photodetector (Menlo Systems, FPDS10). The probe laser energy was adjusted by an optical filter (Thorlab, NDC-2SC-2M). The data and nanobubble probability were processed by MATLAB 2016a. The Boltzmann fitting of the probability was calculated in Origin 9.1 software.

Propidium Iodide Staining. HEK293 cells were seeded on a circular glass-bottom dish for 24 h before the experiment. The cells were first incubated with AuNP-MAB3949 (0.32 nM) or cell culture medium for 30 min. Extra AuNPs were washed away by ACSF. The positive control group was obtained by killing cells with 100% methanol (10 min incubation). Cells of the MH group and laser-only group were irradiated with the laser (100 µJ/cm², 10 pulses). After laser irradiation, cells were incubated in ACSF for 30 min followed by staining with PI for 10 min (10 µg/mL in ACSF). Cells were then washed by ACSF. The cells were then fixed with 100% methanol for 10 min (including positive control). Hoechst 33342 was used to stain the cell nucleus for counting purposes. Cells were finally washed with PBS three times and imaged under a confocal microscope (Olympus FV3000RS).

Ca2+ Imaging with Two-Photon Imaging System. HEK293 cells were seeded on a circular glass slide in a 24-well plate 2 days before the experiment. On the day of the experiment, glass slides were transferred to Petri dishes. AuNP-MAB3949 (0.2 nM, 70 µL) was added carefully on top of the slide to cover the cells for 30 min under 4 °C. Then extra particles were removed from cells, and ACSF was used to wash away free particles. We used a black mark to mark the outside of the Petri dish, and the laser irradiation partially compromised the marker, a convenient method to indicate the laser-treated cells. Half of the Petri dish was covered with cardboard to block the laser beam (6 mm in diameter) while leaving the other half exposed to the laser. The laser fluence was 100 µJ/cm², and 10 pulses were used. A multichannel perfusion system (DWV, 64-1940) was used to load ACSF and drugs (1 µM 2AT in ACSF). To image the fluorescent signal on the two-photon system (Olympus MPE-RS twin), the laser excitation wavelength was set at 820 nm. The cyan (ECFP) and yellow (citrine) emission were set at 485 and 527 nm, respectively. ACSF was perfused to cells for the first 52 s to obtain the baseline followed by perfusing 2AT for 16 s. ImageJ was used to analyze the images. The regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn near the edge of the laser irradiation. ΔR/R was calculated using eq 3.

WST-1 Cell Proliferation Measurement. Cells were cultured in a 96-well plate with 50 000 cells per well. Cells of the experimental groups were incubated with 35 µL of AuNP-MAB3949 (in cell medium) for 5 to 30 min as indicated in the figures, while the control group was incubated with the same amount of cell medium. Extra AuNPs were washed away with ACSF. Then cells were irradiated with the laser (marked as 0 h time point). ACSF was replaced with 0.1 mL of cell culture medium, and the cells were then incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO2. At various time points (30 min to 8.5 h) after laser irradiation, 10 µL of WST-1 stock solution was added to each well. The cells were further incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO2 for 2.5 h. The absorbance was read by a plate reader at 450 nm. The signals were normalized with signals from control samples, i.e., cells without laser treatment or AuNP incubation.

Simulation of AuNP Plasmonic Heating and Protein Inactivation. Absorption cross section area (Cabs) was calculated by Mie theory and the discrete dipole approximation method. Then the temperature profile was obtained by simulating the heating process of AuNPs by a one nanosecond laser pulse (45 nm AuNP: fluence F = 100 mJ/cm², fwhm τ = 6 ns; 3 nm AuNP: F = 280 mJ/ cm², τ = 1 ns) using COMSOL 5.3. We assume a homogeneous heating source inside the particle, and the volumetric heat generation of the laser pulse with Gaussian shape is defined by eq 5:

\[ G_v = \frac{C_{abs} F}{V_{NP} \tau \sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^4}\right) \]  

where \( V_{NP} \) is AuNP volume, \( t \) is time, and \( t_0 = 7.64 \text{ ns} \), which is the center of the pulse. \( G_v \) has units of W/m³. The thermal interface conductance of the AuNP surface was set as 105 MW/(m²·K).

Molecular Dynamics Simulation of AuNP Heating. Molecular dynamics simulation was performed using the LAMMPS software package. The interactions between gold atoms are described by an embedded atom method potential,71 and the CHARMM TIP3P water model was utilized in the simulation.72 The interaction between water and gold atoms was considered by prescribing a Lennard-Jones (1\( \text{J} \)) 12–6 interaction between oxygen and gold atoms. A cutoff radius of 10 Å was used in the LJ potential to reduce the computational cost. Table S3 reports the parameters for the nonbonded interactions used in the MD simulation. The water domain was set as a cubic box with side length 15 nm. The AuNP was placed in the center of the water domain with a diameter of 3 nm. The water molecules between the box domain and the outside of a spherical domain of 6.5 nm radius were maintained at a constant temperature of 300 K to serve as a heat sink. The laser pulse was used as a heating source of the gold atoms. The absorption cross section of the 3 nm AuNP was calculated by Mie theory.73 During the laser heating, an isenthalpic–isobaric ensemble (NPH) was prescribed to maintain the overall pressure of the remaining water region at 1 atm.

Statistical Information. Except where otherwise noted, values are reported as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical significance analysis was calculated using the two-tailed Student’s t test in MATLAB R2016a. A statistically significant value was denoted with two asterisks (** for \( p < 0.005 \)).
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