

1 **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS: A TWO-WAY COUPLED MODEL**
2 **OF VISCO-THERMO-ACOUSTIC EFFECTS IN PHOTOACOUSTIC**
3 **TRACE GAS SENSORS***

4 ALI MOZUMDER[†], ARTUR SAFIN[‡], SUSAN MINKOFF[†], AND JOHN ZWECK[†]

5 **SM1. Supplementary Materials.**

6 **SM1.1. The effective absorption coefficient.** The heat source, S , on the
7 right hand side of (2.1) is given by $S = H/(\rho_F C_p)$, where H is the heat power
8 density deposited into the gas due to the interaction between the laser and the trace
9 gas [SM1]. Because quartz tuning forks are sharply resonant, we may assume that H
10 is time harmonic. As in Petra [SM2], we model the laser as a Gaussian beam so that

11 (SM1.1)
$$S = \Re \left[C_S e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2} e^{-i\omega t} \right],$$

12 where $\Re(w)$ denotes the real part of a complex number w , r is the radial distance
13 from the axis of the beam, σ is the beam width, ω is the angular frequency of the
14 periodic interaction between the laser and the trace gas, and

15 (SM1.2)
$$C_S = \frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}}}{\rho_F C_p} \frac{W_L}{4\pi\sigma^2}.$$

16 Here W_L is the laser power, and α_{eff} is the effective absorption coefficient.

17 We now discuss how the effective absorption coefficient, α_{eff} , depends on the
18 ambient pressure, P_0 . In a trace gas sensing experiment, the wavelength of the laser
19 is chosen to excite a particular absorption line of the trace gas. By the Beer-Lambert
20 law, the absorption per unit length of light intensity at wavelength, λ , is of the form

21 (SM1.3)
$$\alpha(\lambda) = A\kappa(\lambda)N,$$

22 where A is the line strength, κ is the line-shape function, and N is the number density
23 of the trace gas. In a typical trace gas sensing experiment, molecules of a trace gas
24 such as ammonia are mixed with molecules of nitrogen in a fixed molecular ratio.
25 This ratio is preserved when the gas sample is depressurized for experiments at low
26 ambient pressure. Consequently, by the ideal gas law, the number density, N , of
27 the trace gas is proportional to the ambient pressure, P_0 . Furthermore, because of
28 pressure-broadening effects, the width of the line-shape function also depends on P_0 .
29 In a QEPAS or ROTADE trace gas sensor, the wavelength of the laser is sinusoidally
30 modulated about the central wavelength, λ_c , of a targeted absorption line, so that
31 $\lambda(t) = \lambda_c + \lambda_{\text{amp}} \sin(2\pi ft/2)$, where f is the resonance frequency of the tuning fork.
32 Therefore, as in Petra et al. [SM2], the effective absorption coefficient is of the form

33 (SM1.4)
$$\alpha_{\text{eff}} = \tilde{A}P_0 \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \kappa(\lambda_c + \lambda_{\text{amp}} \sin s) e^{2is} ds \right|,$$

*Submitted to the editors DATE.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1620293.

[†]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Texas at Dallas (axm164531@utdallas.edu, sminkoff@utdallas.edu, zweck@utdallas.edu, <https://math.utdallas.edu>).

[‡]Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (asafin@gmail.com).

34 for some pressure-independent constant, \tilde{A} . If we assume that the targeted absorption
 35 line is well separated from the other absorption lines, it is reasonable to assume that
 36 the line-shape function is a Lorentzian, with a half width at half maximum, γ , that
 37 depends on P_0 . If the laser modulation amplitude is chosen so that $\lambda_{\text{amp}} = \beta\gamma$, then

$$38 \quad (\text{SM1.5}) \quad \alpha_{\text{eff}}(\beta) = \tilde{A}P_0 \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{2is}}{1 + (\beta \sin s)^2} ds \right|,$$

39 where the integrand is now independent of P_0 and is maximized at $\beta \approx 2$. Under
 40 these assumptions, we conclude that

$$41 \quad (\text{SM1.6}) \quad C_S = \frac{\alpha_{\text{eff,ref}} R_0 T_0}{P_{\text{ref}} C_p} \frac{W_L}{4\pi\sigma^2},$$

42 where $\alpha_{\text{eff,ref}}$ is the absorption coefficient at ambient pressure, P_{ref} , and where R_0
 43 is the ideal gas constant and T_0 is the ambient temperature. We caution however
 44 that in practice the targeted absorption line may not be sufficiently well separated
 45 from neighbouring lines to ensure the accuracy of (SM1.6) once the ambient pressure
 46 exceeds some threshold [SM3].

47 **SM1.2. Eigenfrequency of the undamped structure.** We compute the
 48 eigenfrequency of the undamped structure by solving the eigenproblem

$$49 \quad (\text{SM1.7}) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot C[\nabla \mathbf{u}] + \rho_S \omega_0^2 \mathbf{u} &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega_S, \\ \mathbf{u} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_S^{\text{Fixed}}, \\ C[\nabla \mathbf{u}] \mathbf{n} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_S^{\text{Free}}, \end{aligned}$$

50 where \mathbf{n} is the normal vector field on $\partial\Omega_S^{\text{Free}}$ and ω_0 is an undamped eigenfrequency
 51 to be determined. With the annular geometry, the eigenproblem (SM1.7) reduces to

$$52 \quad (\text{SM1.8}) \quad \begin{aligned} r^2 u'' + r u' + (\kappa_0^2 r^2 - 1) u &= 0, & \text{for } R_1 \leq r \leq R_2, \\ u &= 0, & \text{for } r = R_2, \\ \frac{\lambda_S}{r} u + (\lambda_S + 2\mu_S) u' &= 0, & \text{for } r = R_1, \end{aligned}$$

53 where $\kappa_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_S \omega_0^2}{\lambda_S + 2\mu_S}}$ and λ_S, μ_S are the Lamé parameters. The general solution
 54 of (SM1.8) is

$$55 \quad (\text{SM1.9}) \quad u(r) = d_1 J_1(\kappa_0 r) + d_2 Y_1(\kappa_0 r),$$

57 where d_1 and d_2 are arbitrary constants. The undamped eigenfrequencies, ω_0 , corre-
 58 spond to values of κ_0 for which (SM1.8) has a nontrivial solution, i.e., to nontrivial
 59 solutions of the boundary interface condition equations

$$60 \quad (\text{SM1.10}) \quad \begin{bmatrix} J_1(\kappa_0 R_2) & Y_1(\kappa_0 R_2) \\ \frac{\xi_1 J_1(\kappa_0 R_1)}{R_1} - \kappa_0 \xi_2 J_2(\kappa_0 R_1) & \frac{\xi_1 Y_1(\kappa_0 R_1)}{R_1} - \kappa_0 \xi_2 Y_2(\kappa_0 R_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

62 where $\xi_1 = 2(\lambda_S + \mu_S)$ and $\xi_2 = (\lambda_S + 2\mu_S)$. We use a numerical root finding
 63 method to determine the smallest positive value of ω_0 for which the determinant of
 64 the matrix in (SM1.10) is zero.

65 **SM1.3. Interface and boundary conditions for the two-way coupled**
 66 **model.** In this appendix we provide formulae for the entries in the matrix \mathbf{A} and
 67 vector \mathbf{F} in (3.18) for the two-way coupled model.

68 The first row of \mathbf{A} , which is obtained using the continuity condition (2.6) for
 69 the temperature at the interface, together with formulae (3.10) and (3.12) for the
 70 temperature in the fluid and in the structure, is given by,

$$71 \quad a_{11} = -J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}), \quad a_{12} = -J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}), \quad a_{13} = J_0(\lambda \tilde{R}_{1S}), \quad a_{14} = H_0^{(1)}(\lambda \tilde{R}_{1S}),$$

73 and

$$74 \quad F_1 = c_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + c_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + c_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \\ 75 \quad + c_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}),$$

77 where, $\tilde{R}_{1F} = R_1/r_c$ with $r_c = c/\omega$ and $\tilde{R}_{1S} = R_1/r_s$ with $r_s = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_S + 2\mu_S}{\rho_S \omega^2}}$.

78 The second row of \mathbf{A} , which is obtained by assuming the temperature at the outer
 79 surface of the annulus is zero, together with formula (3.12) for the temperature in the
 80 structure, is given by

$$81 \quad a_{23} = J_0(\lambda \tilde{R}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad a_{24} = H_0^{(1)}(\lambda \tilde{R}_2),$$

83 where $\tilde{R}_2 = R_2/r_s$.

84 The third row of \mathbf{A} , which is obtained using the continuity of heat flux condi-
 85 tion (2.7) at the fluid-structure interface, together with formulae (3.10) and (3.12) for
 86 the temperature in the fluid and in the structure, is given by

$$87 \quad a_{31} = -\frac{K_F}{r_c} \kappa_p J_1(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}), \quad a_{32} = -\frac{K_F}{r_c} \kappa_t J_1(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}), \\ 88 \quad a_{33} = \frac{K_S}{r_s} \lambda J_1(\lambda \tilde{R}_{1S}), \quad a_{34} = \frac{K_S}{r_s} \lambda H_1^{(1)}(\lambda \tilde{R}_{1S}).$$

90 and

$$91 \quad F_3 = \frac{K_F}{r_c} \left[c'_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) - \kappa_p c_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_1(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + c'_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right. \\ 92 \quad \left. - \kappa_p c_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + c'_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) - \kappa_t c_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_1(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right. \\ 93 \quad \left. + c'_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) - \kappa_t c_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right].$$

95 The fourth row of \mathbf{A} is obtained by using the fact that the structure is clamped at
 96 the outer boundary, $\mathbf{u}(R_2) = 0$. Together with the formula (3.6) for the displacement
 97 of the structure, we obtain

$$98 \quad a_{43} = \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \left[J_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_2) \int_{\tilde{R}_{1S}}^{\tilde{R}_2} s Y_1(\kappa_u s) J_1(\lambda s) ds - Y_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_2) \int_{\tilde{R}_{1S}}^{\tilde{R}_2} s J_1(\kappa_u s) J_1(\lambda s) ds \right] \\ 99 \quad a_{44} = \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \left[J_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_2) \int_{\tilde{R}_{1S}}^{\tilde{R}_2} s Y_1(\kappa_u s) H_1^{(1)}(\lambda s) ds - Y_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_2) \int_{\tilde{R}_{1S}}^{\tilde{R}_2} s J_1(\kappa_u s) H_1^{(1)}(\lambda s) ds \right] \\ 100 \quad a_{45} = J_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_2), \quad a_{46} = Y_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_2).$$

102 The fifth row of \mathbf{A} is obtained from the interface condition (3.3) for the fluid
 103 pressure and temperature on the structure. Together with the formulae (3.9), (3.10)
 104 and (3.3) for the pressure and temperature in the fluid and displacement of the struc-
 105 ture, we obtain

$$106 \quad a_{51} = \frac{p_0}{r_c} \kappa_p J_1(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) [(1 - i\gamma\Lambda) m_p + i\gamma\Lambda], \quad a_{55} = u_c \rho_F \omega^2 J_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S})$$

$$107 \quad a_{52} = \frac{p_0}{r_c} \kappa_t J_1(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) [(1 - i\gamma\Lambda) m_t + i\gamma\Lambda], \quad a_{56} = u_c \rho_F \omega^2 Y_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}),$$

109 and

$$110 \quad F_5 = (1 - i\gamma\Lambda) \left[m_p c'_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) - m_p \kappa_p c_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_1(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right. \\
 111 \quad + m_p c'_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) - m_p \kappa_p c_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + m_t c'_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \\
 112 \quad \left. - m_t \kappa_t c_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_1(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) + m_t c'_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) - m_t \kappa_t c_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right] \\
 113 \quad + i\gamma\Lambda \left[c'_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) - \kappa_p c_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_1(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + c'_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right. \\
 114 \quad \left. - \kappa_p c_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + c'_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) - \kappa_t c_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_1(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right. \\
 115 \quad \left. + c'_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) - \kappa_t c_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right].$$

117 The sixth row of \mathbf{A} is obtained by the interface condition (2.16) on the structure
 118 due to the fluid. Together with the formulae (3.9), (3.10), (3.12), and (3.3) for the
 119 pressure and temperature in the fluid and the temperature and displacement in the
 120 structure, we obtain,

$$121 \quad a_{61} = p_0 m_p J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}), \quad a_{62} = p_0 m_t J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}),$$

$$122 \quad a_{63} = -\frac{\zeta_1 p_0}{\alpha} J_0(\lambda \tilde{R}_{1S}), \quad a_{64} = -\frac{\zeta_1 p_0}{\alpha} H_0^{(1)}(\lambda \tilde{R}_{1S}),$$

123
 124
 125

$$126 \quad a_{65} = (\zeta_0 + i\omega\zeta_2) \frac{u_c}{r_s} \zeta_4 + (\lambda_S + i\omega\zeta_3) \frac{u_c}{r_s \tilde{R}_{1S}} J_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}),$$

$$127 \quad a_{66} = (\zeta_0 + i\omega\zeta_2) \frac{u_c}{r_s} \zeta_5 + (\lambda_S + i\omega\zeta_3) \frac{u_c}{r_s \tilde{R}_{1S}} Y_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}),$$

128
 129 where,

$$130 \quad \zeta_0 = (\lambda_S + 2\mu_S), \quad \zeta_1 = \alpha_S(3\lambda_S + 2\mu_S), \quad \zeta_2 = (\eta_F + \frac{4}{3}\mu_F), \quad \zeta_3 = (\eta_F - \frac{2}{3}\mu_F),$$

$$133 \quad \zeta_4(\tilde{R}_{1S}) = \kappa_u \left[\frac{1}{\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}} J_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}) - J_2(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}) \right],$$

$$134 \quad \zeta_5(\tilde{R}_{1S}) = \kappa_u \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}} Y_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}) - Y_2(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}) \right),$$

135
 136 and

$$137 \quad F_6 = -p_0 \left[m_p c_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + m_p c_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right. \\
 138 \quad \left. + m_t c_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) + m_t c_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right].$$

140 **SM1.4. Interface and Boundary conditions for the one-way coupled**
 141 **model.** For the one-way coupled model, the last two rows of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{F} are given as
 142 follows. The fifth row of \mathbf{A} , which is obtained using the zero Neumann boundary
 143 condition for the fluid pressure at the fluid-structure interface, together with the
 144 formula (3.9) for the pressure in the fluid, is given by

$$145 \quad a_{51} = m_p \kappa_p J_1(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) \quad \text{and} \quad a_{52} = m_t \kappa_t J_1(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}),$$

147 and

$$148 \quad F_5 = m_p c'_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) - m_p \kappa_p c_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_1(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + m_p c'_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) \\
 149 \quad - m_p \kappa_p c_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + m_t c'_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) - m_t \kappa_t c_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_1(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \\
 150 \quad + m_t c'_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) - m_t \kappa_t c_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_1^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}).$$

152 The sixth row is obtained using the interface condition (2.16) on the structure due to
 153 the fluid. Together with formulae (3.9), (3.10), (3.12), and (3.3) for the pressure and
 154 temperature in the fluid and the temperature and displacement in the structure, we
 155 obtain,

$$156 \quad a_{61} = p_0 m_p J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}), \quad a_{62} = p_0 m_t J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}), \\
 157 \quad a_{63} = -\frac{\zeta_1 p_0}{\alpha} J_0(\lambda \tilde{R}_{1S}), \quad a_{64} = -\frac{\zeta_1 p_0}{\alpha} H_0^{(1)}(\lambda \tilde{R}_{1S}), \\
 158 \quad a_{65} = \frac{u_c}{r_s} \zeta_0 \zeta_5 + \frac{u_c}{r_s \tilde{R}_{1S}} \lambda_S J_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}), \quad a_{66} = \frac{u_c}{r_s} \zeta_0 \zeta_4 + \frac{u_c}{r_s \tilde{R}_{1S}} \lambda_S Y_1(\kappa_u \tilde{R}_{1S}), \\
 159$$

160 and

$$161 \quad F_6 = -p_0 \left[m_p c_1(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) + m_p c_2(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_p \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right. \\
 162 \quad \left. + m_t c_3(\tilde{R}_{1F}) J_0(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) + m_t c_4(\tilde{R}_{1F}) H_0^{(1)}(\kappa_t \tilde{R}_{1F}) \right]. \\
 163$$

164 REFERENCES

- 165 [SM1] A. MIKLÓS, S. SCHÄFER, AND P. HESS, *Photoacoustic spectroscopy, theory*, in Encyclopedia of
 166 Spectroscopy and Spectrometry, J. C. Lindon, G. E. Tranter, and J. L. Holmes, eds., vol. 3,
 167 Academic Press, 2000, pp. 1815–1822.
 168 [SM2] N. PETRA, J. ZWECK, A. KOSTEREV, S. MINKOFF, AND D. THOMAZY, *Theoretical analysis of*
 169 *a quartz-enhanced photoacoustic spectroscopy sensor*, Appl Phys B, 94 (2009), pp. 673–680.
 170 [SM3] M. E. WEBBER, D. S. BAER, AND R. K. HANSON, *Ammonia monitoring near 1.5 μm with*
 171 *diode-laser absorption sensors*, Appl. Opt., 40 (2001), pp. 2031–2042.